

FIXED POINT AND CONVERGENCE THEOREMS FOR CERTAIN CLASSES OF MAPPINGS

F. O. ISIOGUGU¹ AND M. O. OSILIKE²

ABSTRACT. We prove fixed point theorems for nonspreading-type mappings and obtain convergence theorems for approximation of common fixed points of k -strictly pseudocontractive mappings of Browder-Petryshyn type and β -strictly pseudononspreading mappings.

Keywords and phrases: Hilbert spaces, β -strictly pseudononspreading mappings, k -strictly pseudocontractive mappings, fixed points.

2010 Mathematical Subject Classification: 47A35, 47J05, 47J25, 47H09

1. INTRODUCTION

Let H be a real Hilbert space. A mapping $T : D(T) \subseteq H \rightarrow H$ is said to be *L-Lipschitzian* if there exists $L > 0$ such that

$$\|Tx - Ty\| \leq L\|x - y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in D(T). \quad (1)$$

If $L < 1$ in (1), T is said to be *strictly contractive*, while T is said to be *nonexpansive* if $L = 1$. T is said to be *Quasi-nonexpansive* if $F(T) = \{x \in D(T) : Tx = x\} \neq \emptyset$ and

$$\|Tx - p\| \leq \|x - p\|, \quad \forall p \in F(T), x \in D(T). \quad (2)$$

T is said to be *firmly nonexpansive* if

$$\|Tx - Ty\|^2 \leq \langle x - y, Tx - Ty \rangle, \quad \forall x, y \in D(T). \quad (3)$$

Every nonexpansive mapping with nonempty fixed point set $F(T)$ is quasi-nonexpansive, and firmly nonexpansive mappings are important examples of nonexpansive mappings.

A mapping $T : D(T) \subseteq H \rightarrow H$ is called a *k-strictly pseudocontractive* mapping of *Browder-Petryshyn* type (see [2]) if there exists

Received by the editors February 14, 2012; Revised: April 13, 2012; Accepted: April 18, 2012

¹Corresponding author

²Supported by ICTP, grant number

$k \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$\|Tx - Ty\|^2 \leq \|x - y\|^2 + k\|(x - Tx) - (y - Ty)\|^2, \quad \forall x, y \in D(T). \quad (4)$$

The class of nonexpansive mappings is properly contained in the class of k -strictly pseudocontractive mappings.

Recently, Kohsaka and Takahashi [6],[7] introduced an important class of mappings which they called the class of *nonspreading mappings*. Let E be a real smooth, strictly convex and reflexive Banach space, and let $j : E \rightarrow 2^E$ denote the duality mapping of E . Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of E . They called a mapping $T : C \rightarrow C$ *nonspreading* if

$$\phi(Tx, Ty) + \phi(Ty, Tx) \leq \phi(Tx, y) + \phi(Ty, x),$$

for all $x, y \in C$ where $\phi(x, y) = \|x\|^2 - 2\langle x, j(y) \rangle + \|y\|^2$, for all $x, y \in E$. They considered the class of nonspreading mappings to study the resolvents of a maximal monotone operator in real smooth, strictly convex and reflexive Banach spaces. This class of mappings is deduced from the class of firmly nonexpansive mappings (see [4],[6]). Observe that if E is a real Hilbert space, then j is the identity and

$$\phi(x, y) = \|x\|^2 - 2\langle x, y \rangle + \|y\|^2.$$

Thus if C is a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space, then $T : C \rightarrow C$ is nonspreading if

$$2\|Tx - Ty\|^2 \leq \|Tx - y\|^2 + \|Ty - x\|^2 \quad \forall x, y \in C. \quad (5)$$

It is shown in [5] that (5) is equivalent to

$$\|Tx - Ty\|^2 \leq \|x - y\|^2 + 2\langle x - Tx, y - Ty \rangle, \quad \forall x, y \in C. \quad (6)$$

Observe that if T is nonspreading and $F(T) \neq \emptyset$, then T is quasi-nonexpansive. In [10], we introduced another important class of mappings more general than the class of nonspreading mappings which we called the class of *k -strictly pseudononspreading mapping of Browder-Petryshyn type*. We called a mapping $T : D(T) \subseteq H \rightarrow H$ a *k -strictly pseudononspreading* if there exists $k \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$\|Tx - Ty\|^2 \leq \|x - y\|^2 + k\|(x - Tx) - (y - Ty)\|^2 + 2\langle x - Tx, y - Ty \rangle, \quad (7)$$

for all $x, y \in D(T)$. We showed that the class of nonspreading mappings is properly contained in the class of β -strictly pseudononspreading mappings and obtained a weak mean convergence theorem of Baillon's type [1] which is similar to the one obtained in

[8]. Also using an idea of mean convergence, we proved a strong convergence theorem similar to that obtained in [8].

In [5], Iemoto and Takahashi studied the approximation of common fixed points of a nonexpansive mapping T and a nonspreading mapping S of C into itself in a Hilbert space. They considered the iterative procedure similar to the one used in Moudafi [9].

If $T, S : C \rightarrow C$ are respectively nonexpansive and nonspreading mappings, they considered the iterative scheme $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ generated from an arbitrary $x_1 \in C$ by

$$x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n[\beta_n Sx_n + (1 - \beta_n)Tx_n], \quad n \geq 1$$

where $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{\beta_n\}$ are suitable sequences in $[0, 1]$.

They proved the following main theorem:

Theorem 1 ([5]) **Theorem 4.1**: Let H be a real Hilbert space. Let C be a nonempty closed and convex subset of H . Let S be a nonspreading mapping of C into itself and T a nonexpansive mapping of C into itself such that $F(T) \cap F(S) \neq \emptyset$. Define a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in C as follows:

$$\begin{cases} x_1 \in C, \\ x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n[\beta_n Sx_n + (1 - \beta_n)Tx_n], \end{cases}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, \{\beta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset [0, 1]$

Then the following hold:

- (i) If $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n) > 0$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - \beta_n) < \infty$, then $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges weakly to $v \in F(S)$;
- (ii) if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n) = \infty$, and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n < \infty$, then $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges weakly to $v \in F(T)$;
- (iii) if $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n) > 0$, $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n(1 - \beta_n) > 0$, then $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges weakly to $v \in F(T) \cap F(S)$.

It is our purpose in this paper to first prove fixed point theorems for β -strictly pseudononspreading mappings. We then extend the above theorem of Iemoto and Takahashi [5] to the more general case where T is a k -strictly pseudocontractive mapping and S is β -strictly pseudononspreading mapping.

2. PRELIMINARY

Throughout this paper, we denote by \mathbb{N} the set of positive integers, and by \mathbb{R} the set of real numbers. Let H be a real Hilbert space with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and norm $\|\cdot\|$, then the following well known

results hold:

$$(i) \|\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y\|^2 = \alpha\|x\|^2 + (1 - \alpha)\|y\|^2 - \alpha(1 - \alpha)\|x - y\|^2, \quad (8)$$

for all $x, y \in H$, $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

$$(ii) 2\langle x - y, u - v \rangle = \|x - v\|^2 + \|y - v\|^2 - \|x - u\|^2 - \|y - u\|^2, \quad (9)$$

for all $x, y, u, v \in H$ (see [5]).

(iii) If $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a sequence in H which converges weakly to $z \in H$, then

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - y\| = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - z\| + \|z - y\| \quad \forall y \in H. \quad (10)$$

Let C be a closed convex subset of H and let T be a mapping of C into itself. We denote the set of fixed points of T by $F(T)$. A point $p \in C$ is called *asymptotic fixed point of T* if there exists a sequence $\{x_n\} \subset C$ such that $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converges weakly to p and $\{x_n - Tx_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converges strongly to 0. We denote the set of asymptotic fixed points of T by $\hat{F}(T)$.

Theorem 2([10]): Let H be a real Hilbert space, let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of H and let S be a k -strictly pseudononspreading mapping of C into itself. Then $F(S)$ is closed and convex.

Lemma 1 ([11,14]): Let $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, \{b_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be sequences of non-negative real numbers such that $a_{n+1} \leq a_n + b_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $\sum_{n=1}^\infty b_n < \infty$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n$ exists.

Lemma 2 ([10]): Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H . Let $T : C \rightarrow C$ be a β -strictly pseudononspreading mapping of C into itself, then $(I - T)$ is demiclosed at zero.

Lemma 3 ([12]): Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H . let $T : C \rightarrow C$ be a k -strictly pseudocontractive mapping of C into itself, then $(I - T)$ is demiclosed at zero. An example of a nonspreading mapping which is not nonexpansive is given in [4]. The following example shows that a nonexpansive mapping need not be nonspreading so that the class of nonspreading mappings and the class nonexpansive mappings are independent.

Example 1: Let \mathfrak{R} denote the reals with the usual norm and define $T : \mathfrak{R} \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$ by

$$Tx = -x.$$

Then T is nonexpansive. Now for arbitrary $x \neq 0$, $y = -x$ we have $|Tx - Ty|^2 = 4x^2 > -4x^2 = |x - y|^2 + 2\langle x - Tx, y - Ty \rangle$. Hence, T is not nonspreading.

The following examples show that the class of k -strictly pseudocontractive mappings and the class of β -strictly pseudononspreading mappings are independent.

Example 2: Let \mathfrak{R} denote the reals with the usual norm and define $T : \mathfrak{R} \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$ by

$$Tx = -3x.$$

Then for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{R}$ we have

$$|Tx - Ty|^2 = 9|x - y|^2 = |x - y|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|x - Tx - (y - Ty)|^2.$$

Thus T is $\frac{1}{2}$ -strictly pseudocontractive. However, if we take $x = \frac{1}{2}$, $y = -\frac{1}{2}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |Tx - Ty|^2 = 9 &= |x - y|^2 + |x - Tx - (y - Ty)|^2 \\ &\quad + 2\langle x - Tx, y - Ty \rangle \\ &> |x - y|^2 + \beta|x - Tx - (y - Ty)|^2 \\ &\quad + 2\langle x - Tx, y - Ty \rangle \end{aligned}$$

for all $\beta \in [0, 1)$. Thus T is not β -strictly pseudononspreading.

Example 3: Let \mathfrak{R} denote the reals with the usual norm, for each $x \in \mathfrak{R}$ define $T : \mathfrak{R} \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$ by

$$Tx = \begin{cases} 0, & x \in (-\infty, 2] \\ 1, & x \in (2, \infty). \end{cases}$$

Then for all $x, y \in (-\infty, 2]$ and for all $\beta \in [0, 1)$, we have $|x - y|^2 + \beta|x - Tx - (y - Ty)|^2 + 2\langle x - Tx, y - Ty \rangle = x^2 + y^2 + \beta|x - y|^2 \geq 0 = |Tx - Ty|^2$.

Furthermore, for all $x, y \in (2, \infty)$ and for all $\beta \in [0, 1)$, we have $|x - y|^2 + \beta|x - Tx - (y - Ty)|^2 + 2\langle x - Tx, y - Ty \rangle = |x - y|^2 + \beta|x - y|^2 + 2(x - 1)(y - 1) > 0 = |Tx - Ty|^2$.

Finally, if $x \in (-\infty, 2]$ and $y \in (2, \infty)$, then $|x - y|^2 + \beta|x - Tx - (y - Ty)|^2 + 2\langle x - Tx, y - Ty \rangle \geq (x - 1)^2 + y^2 - 1 > 3 > 1 = |Tx - Ty|^2$.

Thus, for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{R}$ and for all $\beta \in [0, 1)$, we have

$$|Tx - Ty|^2 \leq |x - y|^2 + \beta|x - Tx - (y - Ty)|^2 + 2\langle x - Tx, y - Ty \rangle$$

$Ty\rangle$. Hence T is β -strictly pseudononspreading. Since every k -strictly pseudocontractive mapping $T : D(T) \subseteq H \rightarrow H$ satisfies the Lipschitz condition

$$\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \frac{1 + \sqrt{k}}{1 - \sqrt{k}} \|x - y\|,$$

it is clear that T is not k -strictly pseudocontractive.

Definition 1: Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space. A mapping $T : C \rightarrow C$ is said to be a δ -type nonspreading if there exists $\delta \in \mathfrak{R}$ such that

$$\|Tx - Ty\|^2 \leq \|x - y\|^2 + \delta \langle x - Tx, y - Ty \rangle, \quad \forall x, y \in C.$$

3. MAIN RESULTS

We now prove the following:

Proposition 1:

Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space and let $T : C \rightarrow C$ be a δ -type nonspreading mapping with nonempty fixed-point-set $F(T)$. Then $F(T)$ is closed and convex.

Proof:

Let $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq F(T)$ be a sequence which converges to x . We show that $x \in F(T)$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tx - x\| &\leq \|Tx - Tx_n\| + \|x_n - x\|, \\ &\leq 2\|x_n - x\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

It then follows that $x = Tx$. Hence $x \in F(T)$.

Next, let $p_1, p_2 \in F(T)$, we show that $\lambda p_1 + (1 - \lambda)p_2 \in F(T)$. Let $z = \lambda p_1 + (1 - \lambda)p_2$. Then $p_1 - z = (1 - \lambda)(p_1 - p_2)$, $p_2 - z = \lambda(p_2 - p_1)$

$$\begin{aligned} \|z - Tz\|^2 &= \|\lambda p_1 + (1 - \lambda)p_2 - Tz\|^2 \\ &= \|\lambda(p_1 - Tz) + (1 - \lambda)(p_2 - Tz)\|^2 \\ &= \lambda\|p_1 - Tz\|^2 + (1 - \lambda)\|p_2 - Tz\|^2 \\ &\quad - \lambda(1 - \lambda)\|p_1 - p_2\|^2 \\ &\leq \lambda \left[\|p_1 - z\|^2 + \delta \langle p_1 - Tp_1, z - Tz \rangle \right] \\ &\quad + (1 - \lambda) \left[\|p_2 - z\|^2 + \delta \langle p_2 - Tp_2, z - Tz \rangle \right] \\ &\quad - \lambda(1 - \lambda)\|p_1 - p_2\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$= \lambda \|p_1 - z\|^2 + (1 - \lambda) \|p_2 - z\|^2 - \lambda(1 - \lambda) \|p_1 - p_2\|^2 = 0.$$

Hence, $z = Tz$ which implies that $z \in F(T)$. \square

Proposition 2: Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H , and let $T : C \rightarrow C$ be a δ -type nonspreading mapping. Then $(I - T)$ is demiclosed at 0.

Proof: Let $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence in C which converges weakly to p and $\{x_n - Tx_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converges strongly to 0, we prove that $p \in F(T)$. Since $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converges weakly, it is bounded. For each $x \in H$ define $f : H \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by

$$f(x) := \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x\|^2.$$

Then using (10) we obtain

$$f(x) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - p\|^2 + \|p - x\|^2 \quad \forall x \in H.$$

Thus

$$f(x) = f(p) + \|p - x\|^2 \quad \forall x \in H \text{ and}$$

$$f(Tp) = f(p) + \|p - Tp\|^2. \quad (11)$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} f(Tp) &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - Tp\|^2 \\ &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - Tx_n + Tx_n - Tp\|^2 \\ &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Tx_n - Tp\|^2 \\ &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\|x_n - p\|^2 + \delta \langle x_n - Tx_n, p - Tp \rangle \right] \\ &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - p\|^2 = f(p). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Hence it follows from (11) and (12) that $\|p - Tp\| = 0$. \square

Proposition 3: Let H be a real Hilbert space, and C a nonempty closed and convex subset of H . Let $T : C \rightarrow C$ be a δ -type nonspreading mapping. Then $F(T) = \widehat{F}(T)$.

Proof: Let $p \in F(T)$ be arbitrary, from Proposition 1 $F(T)$ is closed therefore there exists a sequence $\{x_n\} \subseteq F(T) \subseteq C$ such that $x_n \rightarrow p$. Observe that $x_n - Tx_n = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $x_n - Tx_n \rightarrow 0$. Hence, $p \in \widehat{F}(T)$. Next, let $p \in \widehat{F}(T)$ then there

exists a sequence $\{x_n\} \subseteq C$ such that $x_n \rightharpoonup p$ and $x_n - Tx_n \rightarrow 0$. From Proposition 2 $(I - T)$ is demiclosed at zero, therefore $p \in F(T)$. \square

Theorem 3: Let H be a real Hilbert space and C a nonempty closed and convex subset of H . Let $T : C \rightarrow C$ be a δ -type non-spreading mapping. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i). There exists $x \in C$ such that $\{T^n x\}_{n \geq 0}$ is bounded;
- (ii). $F(T) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof: (ii) implies (i) is obvious since $T^n p = p$ for all n . Now let us assume (i) and prove (ii).

Suppose there exists $x \in C$ such $\{T^n x\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is bounded. Then for all $y \in C$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^{m+1}x - Ty\|^2 &= \|T(T^m x) - Ty\|^2 \\ &\leq \|T^m x - y\|^2 + \delta \langle T^m x - T(T^m x), y - Ty \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \|T^m x - y\|^2 + \delta \langle T^m x - T^{m+1}x, y - Ty \rangle \\ &\quad - \|T^{m+1}x - Ty\|^2 \\ &= \|T^m x - y\|^2 + \delta \langle T^m x - T^{m+1}x, y - Ty \rangle \\ &\quad - \left[\|T^{m+1}x - y\|^2 + \|y - Ty\|^2 + 2 \langle T^{m+1}x - y, y - Ty \rangle \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Summing this inequality with respect to $m = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, n-1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \|x - y\|^2 - \|T^n x - y\|^2 - n\|y - Ty\|^2 \\ &\quad + 2 \left\langle \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} T^{m+1}x - ny, Ty - y \right\rangle + \delta \langle x - T^n x, y - Ty \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Dividing this inequality by n , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{1}{n} \left[\|x - y\|^2 - \|T^n x - y\|^2 \right] - \|y - Ty\|^2 \\ &\quad + 2 \left\langle \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} T^{m+1}x - y, Ty - y \right\rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{\delta}{n} \langle x - T^n x, y - Ty \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{n} \left[\|x - y\|^2 - \|T^n x - y\|^2 \right] - \|y - Ty\|^2 \\
&\quad + 2\langle S_n Tx - y, Ty - y \rangle + \frac{\delta}{n} \langle x - T^n x, y - Ty \rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

where $S_n v = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} T^m v$, for all $v \in C$. Since $\{T^n x\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is bounded by assumption, $\{S_n(Tx)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is also bounded. Thus we have a subsequence $\{S_{n_i}(Tx)\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of $\{S_n(Tx)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ such that $\{S_{n_i}(Tx)\}_{i=1}^\infty$ converges weakly to $p \in C$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq \frac{1}{n_j} \left[\|x - y\|^2 - \|T_j^n x - y\|^2 \right] - \|y - Ty\|^2 \\
&\quad + 2\langle S_{n_j} Tx - y, Ty - y \rangle + \frac{\delta}{n_j} \langle x - T_j^n x, y - Ty \rangle.
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Letting $i \rightarrow \infty$ in (13) we have

$$0 \leq -\|y - Ty\|^2 + 2\langle p - y, Ty - y \rangle. \tag{14}$$

Setting $y = p$ in (14) we have

$$\|p - Tp\|^2 \leq 0.$$

Hence $p = Tp$. Therefore $F(T)$ is nonempty. This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 1: Let H be a real Hilbert space and C a nonempty closed convex subset of H . Let T be a k -strictly pseudononspreading mapping of C into itself. Define $T_\beta : C \rightarrow C$ by $T_\beta x = \beta x + (1 - \beta)Tx$. Suppose there exists $x \in C$ such that $\{T_\beta^n x\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is bounded. Then $F(T_\beta) = F(T) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T_\beta x - T_\beta y\|^2 &\leq \|x - y\|^2 + \frac{2}{(1 - \beta)} \langle x - T_\beta x, y - T_\beta y \rangle \\
&= \|x - y\|^2 + \delta \langle x - T_\beta x, y - T_\beta y \rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\delta = \frac{2}{(1 - \beta)}$. Hence the result follows from Theorem 3.2. \square

Corollary 2: Every nonempty bounded closed convex subset of a Hilbert space H has the fixed point property for k -strictly pseudononspreading self mappings.

To Prove a common fixed point theorem we need the following Lemma.

Lemma 4: Let H be a real Hilbert space and C a nonempty bounded closed convex subset of H . Let $\{T_1, T_2, T_3, \dots, T_N\}$ be a commutative finite family of k -strictly Pseudononspreading mappings of C into itself. Then $\{T_1, T_2, T_3, \dots, T_N\}$ has a common fixed point.

Proof: We prove by induction. For $N = 2$ by Proposition 1 and Corollary 2, $F(T_1)$ is nonempty bounded closed convex. It follows from $T_1T_2 = T_2T_1$ that $F(T_1)$ is T_2 -invariant. In fact, if $p \in F(T_1)$, then we have $T_1T_2p = T_2T_1p = T_2p$.

Thus we have $T_2p \in F(T_1)$. Hence the restriction of T_2 to $F(T_1)$ is k -strictly Pseudononspreading self mapping. By Corollary 2 T_2 has a fixed point in $F(T_1)$, that is we have $p \in F(T_1)$ such that $T_2p = p$. Consequently $p \in F(T_1) \cap F(T_2)$.

Suppose for some $n \geq 2$, $\mathbb{P} = \bigcap_{k=1}^n F(T_k)$ is nonempty. Then \mathbb{P} is nonempty bounded closed convex subset of C and the restriction T_{n+1} to \mathbb{P} is k -strictly Pseudononspreading self mapping. By Corollary 2 T_{n+1} has a fixed point in \mathbb{P} . This shows that $\mathbb{P} \cap F(T_{n+1})$ is nonempty, that is $\bigcap_{k=1}^{n+1} F(T_k)$ is nonempty. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 4: Let H be a real Hilbert space and C a nonempty bounded closed convex subset of H . Let $\{T_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ be a commutative family of k -strictly Pseudononspreading mappings of C into itself. Then $\{T_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ has a common fixed point.

Proof: By Lemma 1 $F(T_\alpha)$ is closed convex subset of C for each $\alpha \in A$. Since H is reflexive and C is bounded, closed and convex, C is weakly compact. Therefore to show that $\bigcap_{\alpha \in A} F(T_\alpha)$ is nonempty, it suffices to show that $\{F(T_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in A}$ has finite intersection property. By Lemma 4 $\{F(T_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in A}$ has this property. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 5: Let H be a real Hilbert space, and C a nonempty closed and convex subset of H . Let S be a β -strictly pseudononspreading mapping of C into itself and T a k -strictly pseudocontractive mapping of C into itself such that $F(T) \cap F(S) \neq \emptyset$. Define a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in C as follows:

$$\begin{cases} x_1 \in C, \\ x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n[\beta_n Sx_n + (1 - \beta_n)Tx_n], \end{cases}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, \{\beta_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ are sequences in $(0,1)$ satisfying $0 < \alpha_n \leq 1 - \max\{\beta, k\}, 0 \leq \beta_n \leq 1$.

Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - u\|$ exists for all $u \in F(T) \cap F(S)$, and hence $\{x_n\}, \{Tx_n\}$ and Sx_n are bounded.

Proof: Observe: Put $u_n = \beta_n S + (1 - \beta_n)T$. We show that $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is bounded. Now for each $u \in F(T) \cap F(S)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|x_{n+1} - u\|^2 &= \|(1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n(\beta_n Sx_n + (1 - \beta_n)Tx_n) - u\|^2 \\
 &= \|(1 - \alpha_n)(x_n - u) + \alpha_n(u_n x_n - u)\|^2 \\
 &= (1 - \alpha_n)\|x_n - u\|^2 + \alpha_n\|u_n x_n - u\|^2 \\
 &\quad - \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n)\|x_n - u_n x_n\|^2.
 \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|u_n x_n - u\|^2 &= \|\beta_n(Sx_n - Su) + (1 - \beta_n)(Tx_n - Tu)\|^2 \\
 &= \beta_n\|Sx_n - Su\|^2 + (1 - \beta_n)\|Tx_n - Tu\|^2 \\
 &\quad - \beta_n(1 - \beta_n)\|Sx_n - Tx_n\|^2 \\
 &\leq \beta_n[\|x_n - u\|^2 + \beta\|x_n - Sx_n\|^2] \\
 &\quad + (1 - \beta_n)[\|x_n - u\|^2 + k\|x_n - Tx_n\|^2] \\
 &\quad - \beta_n(1 - \beta_n)\|Sx_n - Tx_n\|^2 \\
 &= \|x_n - u\|^2 + \beta_n\beta\|x_n - Sx_n\|^2 \\
 &\quad + (1 - \beta_n)k\|x_n - Tx_n\|^2 \\
 &\quad - \beta_n(1 - \beta_n)\|Sx_n - Tx_n\|^2.
 \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

(15) and (16) imply that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|x_{n+1} - u\|^2 &\leq (1 - \alpha_n)\|x_n - u\|^2 + \alpha_n\|x_n - u\|^2 \\
 &\quad + \alpha_n\beta_n\beta\|x_n - Sx_n\|^2 \\
 &\quad + \alpha_n(1 - \beta_n)k\|x_n - Tx_n\|^2 \\
 &\quad - \alpha_n\beta_n(1 - \beta_n)\|Sx_n - Tx_n\|^2 \\
 &\quad - \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n)\|x_n - u_n x_n\|^2 \\
 &= \|x_n - u\|^2 + \alpha_n\beta_n\beta\|x_n - Sx_n\|^2 \\
 &\quad + \alpha_n(1 - \beta_n)k\|x_n - Tx_n\|^2 \\
 &\quad - \alpha_n\beta_n(1 - \beta_n)\|Sx_n - Tx_n\|^2 \\
 &\quad - \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n)\|x_n - u_n x_n\|^2.
 \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_n - u_n x_n\|^2 &= \|\beta_n Sx_n + (1 - \beta_n)Tx_n - x_n\|^2 \\
&= \|\beta_n Sx_n - \beta_n x_n + \beta_n x_n - x_n + (1 - \beta_n)Tx_n\|^2 \\
&= \|\beta_n(Sx_n - x_n) + (1 - \beta_n)(Tx_n - x_n)\|^2 \\
&= \beta_n \|Sx_n - x_n\|^2 + (1 - \beta_n) \|Tx_n - x_n\|^2 \\
&\quad - 2\beta_n(1 - \beta_n) \|Sx_n - Tx_n\|^2. \tag{18}
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from (17) and (18) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_{n+1} - u\|^2 &\leq \|x_n - u\|^2 + \alpha_n \beta_n \beta \|x_n - Sx_n\|^2 \\
&\quad + \alpha_n(1 - \beta_n)k \|x_n - Tx_n\|^2 \\
&\quad - \alpha_n \beta_n(1 - \beta_n) \|Sx_n - Tx_n\|^2 \\
&\quad - \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n)[\beta_n \|x_n - Sx_n\| \\
&\quad + (1 - \beta_n) \|x_n - Tx_n\|^2 \\
&\quad - \beta_n(1 - \beta_n) \|Sx_n - Tx_n\|^2] \\
&= \|x_n - u\|^2 + [\alpha_n \beta_n \beta - \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n)\beta_n] \|x_n - Sx_n\|^2 \\
&\quad + [\alpha_n(1 - \beta_n)k - \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \beta_n)] \|x_n - Tx_n\|^2 \\
&\quad + [\alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n)\beta_n(1 - \beta_n) \\
&\quad - \alpha_n \beta_n(1 - \beta_n)] \|Sx_n - Tx_n\|^2 \\
&= \|x_n - u\|^2 - \alpha_n \beta_n [(1 - \alpha_n) - \beta] \|x_n - Sx_n\|^2 \\
&\quad - \alpha_n(1 - \beta_n) [(1 - \alpha_n) - k] \|x_n - Tx_n\|^2 \\
&\quad - \alpha_n \beta_n(1 - \beta_n) [1 - (1 - \alpha_n)] \|Sx_n - Tx_n\|^2. \tag{19} \\
&\leq \|x_n - u\|^2. \tag{20}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from Lemma 1 and (20), we have that $\lim \|x_n - u\|$ exists so that $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is bounded and so are $\{Tx_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ and $\{Sx_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$. \square

Lemma 6: Let H be a real Hilbert space, and C a nonempty closed and convex subset of H . Let S be a β -strictly pseudononspreading mapping of C into itself and T a k -strictly pseudocontractive mapping of C into itself. Let $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence in C generated as follows:

$$\begin{cases} x_1 \in C, \\ x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n[\beta_n Sx_n + (1 - \beta_n)Tx_n], \end{cases}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$, $\{\beta_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ are sequences in $[0, 1]$ satisfying the condition $0 < \alpha_n \leq 1 - k$. Then

$$\|x_{n+1} - Tx_{n+1}\|^2 \leq \|x_n - Tx_n\|^2 + D\beta_n$$

for some positive real number D .

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_{n+1} - Tx_{n+1}\|^2 &= \|(1 - \alpha_n)(x_n - Tx_{n+1}) \\
&\quad + \alpha_n(u_n x_n - Tx_{n+1})\|^2 \\
&= (1 - \alpha_n)\|x_n - Tx_{n+1}\|^2 \\
&\quad + \alpha_n\|u_n x_n - Tx_{n+1}\|^2 \\
&\quad - \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n)\|x_n - u_n x_n\|^2. \tag{21}
\end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_n - Tx_{n+1}\|^2 &= \|x_n - x_{n+1} + x_{n+1} - Tx_{n+1}\|^2 \\
&= \|x_n - x_{n+1}\|^2 + \|x_{n+1} - Tx_{n+1}\|^2 \\
&\quad + 2\langle x_n - x_{n+1}, x_{n+1} - Tx_{n+1} \rangle \\
&= \alpha_n^2\|x_n - u_n x_n\|^2 + \|x_{n+1} - Tx_{n+1}\|^2 \\
&\quad + 2\alpha_n\langle x_n - u_n x_n, x_{n+1} - Tx_{n+1} \rangle \\
&= \alpha_n^2\|x_n - Tx_n - \beta_n(Sx_n - Tx_n)\|^2 \\
&\quad + \|x_{n+1} - Tx_{n+1}\|^2 \\
&\quad + 2\alpha_n\langle x_n - Tx_n, x_{n+1} - Tx_{n+1} \rangle \\
&\quad - 2\alpha_n\beta_n\langle Sx_n - Tx_n, x_{n+1} - Tx_{n+1} \rangle \\
&\leq \alpha_n^2\|x_n - Tx_n\|^2 \\
&\quad + 2\alpha_n^2\beta_n\|x_n - Tx_n\|\|Sx_n - Tx_n\| \\
&\quad + \alpha_n^2\beta_n^2\|Sx_n - Tx_n\|^2 + \|x_{n+1} - Tx_{n+1}\|^2 \\
&\quad + 2\alpha_n\langle x_n - Tx_n, x_{n+1} - Tx_{n+1} \rangle \\
&\quad - 2\alpha_n\beta_n\langle Sx_n - Tx_n, x_{n+1} - Tx_{n+1} \rangle. \tag{22}
\end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u_n x_n - Tx_{n+1}\|^2 &= \|Tx_n - Tx_{n+1} + \beta_n(Sx_n - Tx_n)\|^2 \\
&\leq \|Tx_n - Tx_{n+1}\|^2 + 2\beta_n\|Tx_n - Tx_{n+1}\| \\
&\quad \times \|Sx_n - Tx_n\| + \beta_n^2\|Sx_n - Tx_n\|^2 \\
&\leq \|x_n - x_{n+1}\|^2 \\
&\quad + k\|x_n - Tx_n - (x_{n+1} - Tx_{n+1})\|^2 \\
&\quad + 2\beta_n\|Tx_n - Tx_{n+1}\|\|Sx_n - Tx_n\| \\
&\quad + \beta_n^2\|Sx_n - Tx_n\|^2 \\
&= \alpha_n^2\|x_n - u_n x_n\|^2 + k\|x_n - Tx_n\|^2 \\
&\quad - 2k\langle x_n - Tx_n, x_{n+1} - Tx_{n+1} \rangle \\
&\quad + k\|x_{n+1} - Tx_{n+1}\|^2 + 2\beta_n\|Tx_n - Tx_{n+1}\| \\
&\quad \times \|Sx_n - Tx_n\| + \beta_n^2\|Sx_n - Tx_n\|^2
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \alpha_n^2 \|x_n - Tx_n - \beta_n(Sx_n - Tx_n)\|^2 \\
&\quad + k \|x_n - Tx_n\|^2 + k \|x_{n+1} - Tx_{n+1}\|^2 \\
&\quad - 2k \langle x_n - Tx_n, x_{n+1} - Tx_{n+1} \rangle \\
&\quad + 2\beta_n \|Tx_n - Tx_{n+1}\| \|Sx_n - Tx_n\| \\
&\quad + \beta_n^2 \|Sx_n - Tx_n\|^2 \\
&\leq \alpha_n^2 \|x_n - Tx_n\|^2 + \alpha_n^2 \beta_n^2 \|Sx_n - Tx_n\|^2 \\
&\quad + 2\alpha_n^2 \beta_n \|x_n - Tx_n\| \|Sx_n - Tx_n\| \\
&\quad + k \|x_n - Tx_n\|^2 + k \|x_{n+1} - Tx_{n+1}\|^2 \\
&\quad - 2k \langle x_n - Tx_n, x_{n+1} - Tx_{n+1} \rangle \\
&\quad + 2\beta_n \|Tx_n - Tx_{n+1}\| \|Sx_n - Tx_n\| \\
&\quad + \beta_n^2 \|Sx_n - Tx_n\|^2. \tag{23}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_n - u_n x_n\|^2 &= \|x_n - Tx_n\|^2 + \beta_n^2 \|Sx_n - Tx_n\|^2 \\
&\quad - 2\beta_n \langle x_n - Tx_n, Sx_n - Tx_n \rangle. \tag{24}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus using (22), (23) and (24) in (21) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_{n+1} - Tx_{n+1}\|^2 &\leq (1 - \alpha_n) \left[\alpha_n^2 \|x_n - Tx_n\|^2 \right. \\
&\quad + 2\alpha_n^2 \beta_n \|x_n - Tx_n\| \|Sx_n - Tx_n\| \\
&\quad + \alpha_n^2 \beta_n^2 \|Sx_n - Tx_n\|^2 + \|x_{n+1} - Tx_{n+1}\|^2 \\
&\quad + 2\alpha_n \langle x_n - Tx_n, x_{n+1} - Tx_{n+1} \rangle \\
&\quad \left. - 2\alpha_n \beta_n \langle Sx_n - Tx_n, x_{n+1} - Tx_{n+1} \rangle \right] \\
&\quad + \alpha_n \left[\alpha_n^2 \|x_n - Tx_n\|^2 + \alpha_n^2 \beta_n^2 \|Sx_n - Tx_n\|^2 \right. \\
&\quad + 2\alpha_n^2 \beta_n \|x_n - Tx_n\| \|Sx_n - Tx_n\| \\
&\quad + k \|x_n - Tx_n\|^2 + k \|x_{n+1} - Tx_{n+1}\|^2 \\
&\quad - 2k \langle x_n - Tx_n, x_{n+1} - Tx_{n+1} \rangle \\
&\quad + 2\beta_n \|Tx_n - Tx_{n+1}\| \|Sx_n - Tx_n\| \\
&\quad + \beta_n^2 \|Sx_n - Tx_n\|^2 \left. \right] - \alpha_n (1 - \alpha_n) \\
&\quad \times \left[\|x_n - Tx_n\|^2 + \beta_n^2 \|Sx_n - Tx_n\|^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. - 2\beta_n \langle x_n - Tx_n, Sx_n - Tx_n \rangle \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_{n+1} - Tx_{n+1}\|^2 &\leq [(1 - \alpha_n) + \alpha_n k] \|x_{n+1} - Tx_{n+1}\|^2 \\
&\quad + \alpha_n [(1 - \alpha_n) \alpha_n + \alpha_n^2 + k - (1 - \alpha_n)] \\
&\quad \times \|x_n - Tx_n\|^2 + [2(1 - \alpha_n) \alpha_n - 2\alpha_n k] \\
&\quad \times \langle x_n - Tx_n, x_{n+1} - Tx_{n+1} \rangle + [2(1 - \alpha_n) \\
&\quad \times \alpha_n^2 \beta_n + 2\alpha_n^3 \beta_n] \|x_n - Tx_n\| \|Sx_n - Tx_n\| \\
&\quad + [(1 - \alpha_n) \alpha_n^2 \beta_n^2 + \alpha_n^3 \beta_n^2 + \alpha_n \beta_n^2 \\
&\quad - \alpha_n (1 - \alpha_n) \beta_n^2] \|Sx_n - Tx_n\|^2 \\
&\quad - 2\alpha_n \beta_n (1 - \alpha_n) \langle Sx_n - Tx_n, x_{n+1} - Tx_{n+1} \rangle \\
&\quad + 2\alpha_n (1 - \alpha_n) \beta_n \langle x_n - Tx_n, Sx_n - Tx_n \rangle \\
&\quad + 2\alpha_n \beta_n \|Tx_n - Tx_{n+1}\| \|Sx_n - Tx_n\| \\
&\leq (1 - \alpha_n^2) \|x_{n+1} - Tx_{n+1}\|^2 + \alpha_n^2 \|x_n - Tx_n\|^2 \\
&\quad + 2\alpha_n^2 \beta_n \|x_n - Tx_n\| \|Sx_n - Tx_n\| \\
&\quad + 2\alpha_n^2 \beta_n^2 \|Sx_n - Tx_n\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \beta_n (1 - \alpha_n) \\
&\quad \times \langle x_n - Tx_n - (x_{n+1} - Tx_{n+1}), Sx_n - Tx_n \rangle \\
&\quad + 2\alpha_n \beta_n \|Tx_n - Tx_{n+1}\| \|Sx_n - Tx_n\| \\
&\leq (1 - \alpha_n^2) \|x_{n+1} - Tx_{n+1}\|^2 + \alpha_n^2 \|x_n - Tx_n\|^2 \\
&\quad + 2\alpha_n^2 \beta_n \|x_n - Tx_n\| \|Sx_n - Tx_n\| \\
&\quad + 2\alpha_n^2 \beta_n^2 \|Sx_n - Tx_n\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \beta_n (1 - \alpha_n) \\
&\quad \times \|x_n - x_{n+1} - (Tx_n - Tx_{n+1})\| \|Sx_n \\
&\quad - Tx_n\| + 2\alpha_n \beta_n L \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| \|Sx_n - Tx_n\| \\
&\leq (1 - \alpha_n^2) \|x_{n+1} - Tx_{n+1}\|^2 + \alpha_n^2 \|x_n - Tx_n\|^2 \\
&\quad + 2\alpha_n^2 \beta_n \|x_n - Tx_n\| \|Sx_n - Tx_n\| \\
&\quad + 2\alpha_n^2 \beta_n^2 \|Sx_n - Tx_n\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \beta_n (1 - \alpha_n) \\
&\quad \times (1 + L) \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \|Sx_n - Tx_n\| \\
&\quad + 2\alpha_n^2 \beta_n L \|x_n - u_n x_n\| \|Sx_n - Tx_n\| \\
&\leq (1 - \alpha_n^2) \|x_{n+1} - Tx_{n+1}\|^2 + \alpha_n^2 \|x_n - Tx_n\|^2 \\
&\quad + 2\alpha_n^2 \beta_n \|x_n - Tx_n\| \|Sx_n - Tx_n\| \\
&\quad + 2\alpha_n^2 \beta_n^2 \|Sx_n - Tx_n\|^2 + 2\alpha_n^2 \beta_n (1 - \alpha_n) \\
&\quad \times (1 + L) \|x_n - u_n x_n\| \|Sx_n - Tx_n\| \\
&\quad + 2\alpha_n^2 \beta_n L \|x_n - u_n x_n\| \|Sx_n - Tx_n\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_{n+1} - Tx_{n+1}\|^2 &\leq \|x_n - Tx_n\|^2 \\
&\quad + 2\beta_n \|x_n - Tx_n\| \|Sx_n - Tx_n\| \\
&\quad + 2\beta_n^2 \|Sx_n - Tx_n\|^2 + [2\beta_n(1 - \alpha_n)(1 + L) \\
&\quad + 2\beta_n L] \|x_n - u_n x_n\| \|Sx_n - Tx_n\| \\
&= \|x_n - Tx_n\|^2 + \beta_n [2\|x_n - Tx_n\| \\
&\quad \times \|Sx_n - Tx_n\| + 2\beta_n \|Sx_n - Tx_n\|^2 \\
&\quad + [2(1 - \alpha_n)(1 + L) + 2L] \\
&\quad \times \|x_n - u_n x_n\| \|Sx_n - Tx_n\|] \\
&\leq \|x_n - Tx_n\|^2 + D\beta_n. \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 5: Let H be a real Hilbert space, and C a nonempty closed and convex subset of H . Let S be a β -strictly pseudononspreading mapping of C into itself and T a k -strictly pseudocontractive mapping of C into itself such that $F(T) \cap F(S) \neq \emptyset$. Define a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in C as follows:

$$\begin{cases} x_1 \in C, \\ x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n[\beta_n Sx_n + (1 - \beta_n)Tx_n], \end{cases}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\{\alpha_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, \{\beta_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ are sequences in $(0,1)$ satisfying $0 < \alpha_n \leq 1 - \max\{\beta, k\}$, $0 \leq \beta_n \leq 1$.

Then the following hold:

- (i) If $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n - \beta) > 0$, $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n > 0$, then $\{x_n\}$ converges weakly to $p \in F(S)$.
- (ii) If $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n - k) = \infty$, $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \beta_n < \infty$, then $\{x_n\}$ converges weakly to $p \in F(T)$.
- (iii) If $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n - \max\{\beta, k\}) > 0$, $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n(1 - \beta_n) > 0$, then $\{x_n\}$ converges weakly to $p \in F(T) \cap F(S)$.

Proof: Since $0 < \alpha_n \leq 1 - \max\{\beta, k\}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then, we have from (19) that

$$\alpha_n \beta_n [(1 - \alpha_n) - \beta] \|x_n - Sx_n\|^2 \leq \|x_n - u\|^2 - \|x_{n+1} - u\|^2.$$

Hence, $\sum \alpha_n \beta_n [(1 - \alpha_n) - \beta] \|x_n - Sx_n\|^2 < \infty$. Since $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n - \beta) > 0$, $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n > 0$ we have that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - Sx_n\| = 0$. But $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is bounded therefore there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_j}\} \subseteq \{x_n\}$ such that $\{x_{n_j}\}$ converges weakly p . Also, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - Sx_n\| = 0$ implies that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n_j} - Sx_{n_j}\| = 0$. From Lemma 2, $(I - S)$ is demiclosed at zero and hence we obtain $p \in F(S)$. To show our

conclusion, it suffices to show that for another subsequence $\{x_{n_i}\} \subseteq \{x_n\}$ such that $\{x_{n_i}\}$ converges weakly to $q \in F(S)$, $p = q$. Suppose $p \neq q$ we have from Opial's Theorem [13] that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - p\| &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n_j} - p\| < \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n_j} - q\| \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - q\| = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n_i} - q\| \\ &< \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n_i} - p\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - p\|. \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction. Therefore, $\{x_n\}$ converges weakly to $p \in F(S)$.

(ii). From (19) we have

$$\alpha_n(1 - \beta_n)[(1 - \alpha_n) - k]\|x_n - Tx_n\|^2 \leq \|x_n - u\|^2 - \|x_{n+1} - u\|^2.$$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 - \beta_n) = 1$, then there exists a positive integer N such that $(1 - \beta_n) \geq \frac{1}{2} \forall n \geq N$. Therefore $\frac{1}{2} \sum \alpha_n[(1 - \alpha_n) - k]\|x_n - Tx_n\|^2 < \infty$. Since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n - k) = \infty$, we have that $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - Tx_n\| = 0$. It now follows from Lemma 5 that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - Tx_n\| = 0$. Using Lemma 3 and argument similar to the proof of (i) the conclusion follows.

(iii). Since $\liminf \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n - \max\{\beta, k\}) > 0$, $\liminf \beta_n(1 - \beta_n) > 0$, we have from (19), (20), Lemma 2 and Lemma 3 that there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_j}\} \subseteq \{x_n\}$ such that $\{x_{n_j}\}$ converges weakly $p \in F(S) \cap F(T)$. Using argument similar to ones in the proofs of (i) and (ii), the conclusion follows. \square

As direct consequences of Theorem 3, we get the followings.

Corollary 3: Let H be a real Hilbert space and let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of H . Let S be a β -strictly pseudononspreading mapping of C into itself such that $F(S) \neq \emptyset$. Define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ as follows:

$$\begin{cases} x_1 \in C, \\ x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n Sx_n, \end{cases}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\{\alpha_n\} \subset [0, 1]$. If $\liminf \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n - \beta) > 0$, Then $\{x_n\}$ converges weakly to $p \in F(S)$.

Proof: Putting $\beta_n = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ in Theorem 3, we get the conclusion. \square

Corollary 4: Let H be a real Hilbert space and let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of H . Let T be a k -strictly pseudocontractive mapping of C into itself such that $F(T) \neq \emptyset$. Define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ as follows:

$$\begin{cases} x_1 \in C, \\ x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n T x_n, \end{cases}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\{\alpha_n\} \subset [0,1]$. If $0 \leq \alpha_n \leq 1-k$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n - k) = \infty$, then $\{x_n\}$ converges weakly to $p \in F(T)$.

Proof: Putting $\beta_n = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ in Theorem 5, we get the conclusion. \square

4. CONCLUDING REMARKS

Remark 1: Theorem 1 follows as a simple corollary of our Theorem 3 since every nonexpansive mapping is a special case of k -strictly pseudocontractive mapping for which $k = 0$ and every nonspreading mapping is a special case of β -strictly pseudononspreading mapping for which $\beta = 0$.

Corollary 4 is Theorem 3 of a popular result of Marino and Xu [3].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The second author is grateful to the Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics (ICTP) Trieste, Italy for their facilities and for hospitality. He contributed to the work during his visits to the Centre as a regular associate. The work was completed while the first author was visiting the University of Kwazulu Natal, South Africa under the OWSDW [formally TWOWS] Postgraduate Training Fellowship. She is grateful to OWSDW [formally TWOWS] for the Fellowship and to University of Kwazulu Natal for making their facilities available and for hospitality.

REFERENCES

- [1] J.Baillon, Un théorème de type ergodique pour les contractions nonlinéaires dans un espace de Hilbert, *C.R. Acad Sci. Paris Ser. A-B* 280 (1975) Aii, A1511-A1514 (in French)
- [2] F.E. Browder and W.V. Petryshyn, Construction of fixed points of nonlinear mappings in Hilbert spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **20** (1967) 197-228.
- [3] Giuseppe Marino and Hong-Kun Xu, weak and strong convergence theorems for strictly pseudocontractions in Hilbert spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **329**(1) (2007), 336-346.

- [4] T. Igarashi, W. Takahashi and K. Tanaka, Weak convergence theorems for non-spreading mappings and equilibrium problems, in S. Akashi, W. Takahashi, T. Tanaka (Eds), *Nonlinear Analysis and Optimization*, Yokohama, (2009), 75-85.
- [5] S. Iemoto and W. Takahashi, Approximating common fixed points of nonexpansive mappings and nonspreading mappings in a Hilbert space, *Nonlinear Analysis* **71** (2009), 2080-2089.
- [6] F. Kohsaka and W. Takahashi, Fixed point theorems for a class of nonlinear mappings relate to maximal monotone operators in Banach spaces, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **91** (2008), 166-177.
- [7] F. Kohsaka and W. Takahashi, Existence and approximation of fixed points of firmly nonexpansive-type mappings in Banach spaces, *Siam J. Optim.* **19** (2008), 824-835.
- [8] Y. Kurokawa and W. Takahashi, weak and strong convergence theorems for non-spreading mappings in Hilbert spaces, *Nonlinear Analysis* (2010), doi:10.1016/j.na.2010.04.060.
- [9] A. Moudafi, Krasnoselski-mann iteration for heirarchical fixed point problems, *Inverse problems* **23**(2007) 1635-1640.
- [10] M.O. Osilike and F.O. Isiogugu, Weak and strong convergence theorems for non-spreading - type mappings in Hilbert spaces, *Nonlinear Analysis*, **74** (2011) 1814-1822.
- [11] M.O. Osilike and S.C. Aniagbosor, Weak and strong convergence theorems for fixed points of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings, *Mathematical and Computer Modelling* **32** (2000) 1181-1191.
- [12] M.O. Osilike and A. Udomene, Demiclosedness Principle and Convergence Results for Strictly Pseudocontractive Mappings of Browder-Petryshyn Type, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **256** (2001), 431-445.
- [13] Z. Opial, Weak convergence of the sequence of successive approximations for non-expansive mappings, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **73** (1967), 591-597.
- [14] K. K. Tan and H. K. Xu Approximating fixed points on nonexpansive mappings by the Ishikawa iteration process, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **178**(1993)301-308.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NIGERIA, NSUKKA, NIGERIA
 E-mail address: obifeli2001@yahoo.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NIGERIA, NSUKKA, NIGERIA
 E-mail address: osilike@yahoo.com