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#### Abstract

In this article, we extended the recently introduced concepts of I-statistical limit superior and I-statistical limit inferior to $I_{2}$-statistical limit superior and $I_{2}$-statistical limit inferior and examine some of their properties for double sequences of real numbers. Findings of the study revealed that all the properties of the single sequence are also preserved in the double sequences.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

The concept of statistical convergence was formally introduced by Fast [11] and Schoenberg [23] independently. Although statistical convergence was introduced over fifty years ago, it has become an active area of research in recent years. This has been applied in various areas such as summability theory (Fridy [12] and Salat [21]), topological groups (Cakalli [3], [4]), topological spaces (Maio and Kocinac [15]), locally convex spaces (Maddox [16]), measure theory (Cheng et al [5]), (Connor and Swardson [7]) and (Miller [17]), Fuzzy Mathematics (Nuray and Savas [20] and Savas [22]). In recent years, generalization of statistical convergence has appeared in the study of strong summability and the structure of ideals of bounded functions, (Connor and Swardson [8]). Kostyrko et al [13] further extended the idea of statistical convergence to I-convergence using the notion of ideals of N with many interesting consequences. Das

[^0]and Savas [9] introduced and studied I-statistical and I-lacunary statistical convergence of order $\alpha$. Quite recently Brono et al. [1] introduced the concept of $I_{2}$-statistical and $I_{2}$-lacunary statistical convergence for double sequence of order $\alpha$ in line of Das and Savas [9]. Demirci [10] introduced the definition of I-limit superior and inferior of a real sequence and proved several properties. Later on it was further investigated by Lahiri and Das [14]. Mursaleen et al.[19] introduced the concept of $I$-statistical limit superior and Istatistical limit inferior. In this article, we in analogy to Mursaleen et al. [19], extend these concepts to $I_{2}$-statistical limit superior and $I_{2}$-statistical limit inferior for double sequences. Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ be a two-dimensional set of positive integers and let $K(n, m)$ be the numbers and $(i, j)$ in $K$ such that $i \leq n$ and $j \leq m$. Then the two dimensional analogue of natural density can be defined as follows:
The lower asymptotic density of a set $K \subseteq \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ is defined as:
$$
\underline{\delta_{2}}(K)=\lim _{n, m} \inf \frac{K(n, m)}{n, m}
$$

In case the sequence $\left(\frac{K(n, m)}{n, m}\right)$ has a limit in Pringsheim's sense, then we say that K has a double natural density and is defined by

$$
\lim _{n, m} \inf \frac{K(n, m)}{n, m}=\delta_{2}(K)
$$

For example, let $K=\left\{\left(i^{2}, j^{2}\right) ; i, j \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$

$$
\underline{\delta_{2}}(K)=\liminf _{n, m} \frac{K(n, m)}{n, m}=\lim _{n, m} \frac{\sqrt{n} \sqrt{m}}{n m}=0
$$

i.e, the set $K$ has double natural density zero, while the set $K=\left\{\left(i^{2}, j^{2}\right) ; i, j \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ has double natural density $\frac{1}{2}$. Note that if $n=m$, we have a two-dimensional natural density considered by Christopher [6].
Statistical convergence of double sequences $x=\left(x_{j k}\right)$ is defined as follows:
Definition 1.1 [Mursaleen and Edely, (2003)]: A real double sequence $x=\left(x_{j k}\right)$ is statistically convergent to a number $l$ if for each $\varepsilon>0$, the set

$$
\left\{(j, k), j \leq n, k \leq m:\left|\left(x_{j k}\right)-L\right| \geq \varepsilon\right\}
$$

has double natural density zero. In this case, we write $s t_{2}-\lim _{n, m} x_{j k}=$ $L$ and the set of all statistically convergent double sequences were denote by $s t_{2}$.

## 2. PRELIMINARY

In this section we study the concepts of $I_{2}$-statistical limit superior and $I_{2}$-statistical limit inferior for real double sequences. For a real double sequence $x=\left(x_{j k}\right)$ let $B_{x}$ denote the set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{x}=\left\{b \in \mathbb{R}:\left\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}: \frac{1}{m n}\left|j \leq m, k \leq n: x_{j k} \geq b\right| \geq \delta\right\} \notin I_{2}\right\} \\
& A_{x}=\left\{a \in \mathbb{R}:\left\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}: \frac{1}{m n}\left|j \leq m, k \leq n: x_{j k} \leq a\right| \geq \delta\right\} \notin I_{2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 3.1: Let $x=\left(x_{j k}\right)$ be a real double sequence. Then $I_{2}$-statistical limit superior of $x$ is given by:

$$
I_{2}-s t \lim \sup x= \begin{cases}\sup B_{x}, & \text { if } B_{x} \neq \phi \\ -\infty & \text { if } B_{x}=\phi\end{cases}
$$

Also, $I_{2}$-statistical limit inferior of $x$ is given by,

$$
I_{2}-s t \lim \inf x= \begin{cases}\sup B_{x}, & \text { if } A_{x} \neq \phi \\ -\infty & \text { if } A_{x}=\phi\end{cases}
$$

Theorem 3.1: If $\gamma=I_{2}-s t \lim \sup x$ is finite, then, for every posiive number $\varepsilon$,

$$
\left\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}: \frac{1}{m n}\left|j \leq m, k \leq n: x_{j k} \geq \gamma-\varepsilon\right| \geq \delta\right\} \notin I_{2}
$$

and

$$
\left\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}: \frac{1}{m n}\left|j \leq m, k \leq n: x_{j k} \geq \gamma+\varepsilon\right| \geq \delta\right\} \in I_{2}
$$

Similarly, if $\mu=I_{2}-$ stliminf $x$ is finite, then for every positive number $\varepsilon$,

$$
\left\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}: \frac{1}{m n}\left|j \leq m, k \leq n: x_{j k} \geq \gamma-\varepsilon\right| \geq \mu\right\} \notin I_{2}
$$

and

$$
\left\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}: \frac{1}{m n}\left|j \leq m, k \leq n: x_{j k} \geq \gamma+\varepsilon\right| \geq \mu\right\} \in I_{2}
$$

Proof: It follows from the definition.
Theorem 3.2: For any real double sequence $\left.x=\left(x_{j k}\right)\right), I_{2}-$ $s t \lim \inf x \leq I_{2}-s t \lim \sup x$

## Proof:

Case-I: If $I_{2}-\lim \sup x=-\infty$, then, we have $B_{x}=0$. So for every $b \in \mathbb{R}$

$$
\left\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}: \frac{1}{m n}\left|j \leq m, k \leq n: x_{j k} \geq b\right| \geq \delta\right\} \in I_{2}
$$

which implies,

$$
\left\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}: \frac{1}{m n}\left|j \leq m, k \leq n: x_{j k} \geq b\right| \leq \delta\right\} \in F(I)
$$

i.e.,

$$
\left\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}: \frac{1}{m n}\left|j \leq m, k \leq n: x_{j k} \leq b\right| \geq \delta\right\} \in F(I)
$$

so for every
$a \in \mathbb{R}\left\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}: \frac{1}{m n}\left|j \leq m, k \leq n: x_{j k} \leq a\right| \geq \delta\right\} \notin F(I)$
Hence, $I_{2}-$ st $\inf x=-\infty\left(\right.$ since $\left.A_{x}=\mathbb{N}\right)$
Case-II: If $I_{2}-s t \lim \sup x=\infty$, then, we need no proof.
Case-III: If $\gamma=I_{2}-s t \lim \sup x$ is finite and $\mu=I_{2}-s t \liminf x$ so for
$\epsilon \geq 0, \delta \geq 0,\left\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}: \frac{1}{m n}\left|j \leq m, k \leq n: x_{j k} \geq \gamma+\varepsilon\right| \geq \delta\right\} \in$ $I_{2}$
this implies,
$\left\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}: \frac{1}{m n}\left|j \leq m, k \leq n: x_{j k} \leq \gamma+\varepsilon\right| \geq \delta\right\} \in F(I)$
i.e. $\left\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}: \frac{1}{m n}\left|j \leq m, k \leq n: x_{j k} \leq \gamma+\varepsilon\right| \geq \delta\right\} \in I_{2}$
so, $\gamma+\varepsilon \in A_{x}$. Since $\epsilon$ is arbitrary and by definition $\mu=\inf A_{x}$. Therefore,

$$
\gamma \leq \mu+\varepsilon
$$

This proves that

$$
\gamma \leq \mu
$$

Definition 3.2: A real double sequence $x=\left(x_{j k}\right)$ is said to be $I_{2}$ - st bound if there is number $H$ such that:
$\left\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}: \frac{1}{m n}\left|j \leq m, k \leq n:\left|x_{j k}\right| \geq H\right| \geq \delta\right\} \in I_{2}$
Remark 3.1: If a double sequence is $I_{2}-s t$ bounded then $I_{2}-$ st $\lim \sup$ and $I_{2}-s t \lim \inf$ of that sequence are finite.
Definition 3.3: An element $l$ is said to be an $I_{2}$-statistical cluster point of a double sequences $x=\left(x_{j k}\right)$ ifforeach $\varepsilon \geq 0$ and $\delta \geq 0$

$$
\left\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}: \frac{1}{m n}\left|j \leq m, k \leq n:\left|x_{j k}-l\right| \geq \varepsilon\right| \leq \delta\right\} \notin I_{2}
$$

Theorem 3.3: If a $I_{2}$-statistically bounded sequence has one cluster point, then, it is $I_{2}$-statistically convergent.
Proof: Let $x=\left(x_{j k}\right)$ be a $I_{2}$-statistically bounded double sequence which has one cluster point. Then,

$$
T=\left\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}: \frac{1}{m n}\left|j \leq m, k \leq n:\left|x_{j k}\right| \geq H\right| \geq \delta\right\} \in I_{2}
$$

so, there exists a set $T^{\prime}=m_{1}, n_{1} \leq m_{2}, n_{2} \leq \ldots \supset \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ such that $T^{\prime} \notin I_{2}$ and $\left(x_{m_{j} n_{k}}\right)$ is statistically bounded double sequence.
Now, since ( $x_{m n}$ ) has only one cluster point and $\left(x_{m_{j} n_{k}}\right)$ is a statistically bounded sub-sequence of $\left(x_{m n}\right)$, so $\left(x_{m_{j} n_{k}}\right)$ also has only one cluster point. Hence $\left(x_{m_{j} n_{k}}\right)$ is statistically convergent double sequence.
Let

$$
S t_{2}-\lim x_{m_{j} n_{k}}=l
$$

then for any $\varepsilon \geq 0$ and $\delta \geq 0$, we have the inclusion

$$
\left\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}: \frac{1}{m n}\left|j \leq m, k \leq n:\left|x_{j k}-l\right| \geq \varepsilon\right| \geq \delta\right\} \subseteq T \cup A \in I_{2}
$$

where A is a finite set i.e., $\left(x_{m n}\right)$ is $I_{2}$-statistically convergent to $l$.

Theorem 3.4: A double sequence $x=\left(x_{j k}\right)$ is $I_{2}-s t$ convergent if and only if $I_{2}-s t \lim \sup x$, provided $x$ is $I_{2}-s t$ bounded.
Proof: Let $\gamma=I_{2}-s t \lim \inf x$ and $\mu=I_{2}-s t \lim \sup x$ Let $I_{2}-s t \lim x=L$, so,
$\left\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}: \frac{1}{m n}\left|j \leq m, k \leq n:\left|x_{j k}-L\right| \geq \varepsilon\right| \geq \delta\right\} \in I_{2}$
that is

$$
\left\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}: \frac{1}{m n}\left|j \leq m, k \leq n: x_{j k} \geq L+\varepsilon\right| \geq \delta\right\} \in I_{2}
$$

we also have

$$
\left\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}: \frac{1}{m n}\left|j \leq m, k \leq n: x_{j k} \leq L-\varepsilon\right| \geq \delta\right\} \in I_{2}
$$

which implies $L \leq \gamma$. Therefore, $\mu \leq \gamma$. But we know that, $\gamma \leq \mu$. i.e. $\gamma=\mu$.

Now we let $\gamma=\mu$ and define $\mathrm{Ł}=\gamma$. For each $\varepsilon \geq 0, \delta \geq 0$

$$
\left\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}: \frac{1}{m n}\left|j \leq m, k \leq n: x_{j k} \geq L+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right| \geq \delta\right\} \in I_{2}
$$

and

$$
\left\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}: \frac{1}{m n}\left|j \leq m, k \leq n: x_{j k} \leq L-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right| \geq \delta\right\} \in I_{2}
$$

that is

$$
\left\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}: \frac{1}{m n}\left|j \leq m, k \leq n:\left|x_{j k}-L\right| \geq \varepsilon\right| \geq \delta\right\} \in I_{2}
$$

so $x$ is $I_{2}$-statistically convergent.
Theorem 3.5: If $x, y$ are two $I_{2}-s t$ bounded sequence, then,
(i) $I_{2}-s t \lim \sup (x+y) \leq I_{2}-s t \lim \sup x+l_{2}-s t \lim \sup y$
(ii) $I_{2}-s t \lim \sup (x+y) \leq I_{2}-s t \lim \inf x+l_{2}-s t \lim \inf y$

## Proof:

(i) Let, $l_{1}=l_{2}-s t \lim \sup x$ and $l_{2}=l_{2}-s t \lim \sup y$ So,

$$
\left\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}: \frac{1}{m n}\left|j \leq m, k \leq n: x_{j k} \geq l_{1}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right| \geq \delta\right\} \in I_{2}
$$

and

$$
\left\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}: \frac{1}{m n}\left|j \leq m, k \leq n: y_{j k} \geq l_{1}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right| \geq \delta\right\} \in I_{2}
$$

Now,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}: \frac{1}{m n}\left|j \leq m, k \leq n: x_{j k}+y_{j k} \geq l_{1}+l_{2}+\varepsilon\right| \geq \delta\right\} \\
\subset\left\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}: \frac{1}{m n}\left|j \leq m, k \leq n: x_{j k} \geq l_{1} \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right| \geq \delta\right\} \\
\cup
\end{gathered}
$$

so,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}: \frac{1}{m n}\left|j \leq m, k \leq n: x_{j k}+y_{j k} \geq l_{1}+l_{2}+\varepsilon\right| \geq \delta\right\} \in I_{2} \\
& \text { if } \\
& \qquad r \in B_{x+y}
\end{aligned}
$$

then, by definition

$$
\left\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}: \frac{1}{m n}\left|j \leq m, k \leq n: x_{j k}+y_{j k} \geq r\right| \geq \delta\right\} \notin I_{2}
$$

We show that

$$
r \leq l_{1}+l_{2}+\varepsilon
$$

. If

$$
r \geq l_{1}+l_{2}+\varepsilon
$$

then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}: \frac{1}{m n}\left|j \leq m, k \leq n: x_{j k}+y_{j k} \geq r\right| \geq \delta\right\} \\
\subseteq & \left\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}: \frac{1}{m n}\left|j \leq m, k \leq n: x_{j k}+y_{j k} \geq l_{1}+l_{2}+\varepsilon\right| \geq \delta\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,
$\left\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}: \frac{1}{m n}\left|j \leq m, k \leq n: x_{j k}+y_{j k} \geq r\right| \geq \delta\right\} \in I_{2}$
which is a contradiction
Hence, $r \leq l_{1}+l_{2}+\varepsilon$. As this is true for all $r \in B_{(x+y)}$, so $I_{2}-s t \limsup (x+y)=\sup B_{(x+y)} \leq l_{1}+l_{2}+\varepsilon$
Since, $\varepsilon \geq 0$ is arbitrary, so, $I_{2}-s t \lim \sup (x+y) \leq I_{2}-$ st $\lim \sup y$. Definition 3.4: A double sequence $x=\left(x_{j k}\right)$ is said to be $I_{2}-$ st convergent to $+\infty($ or $-\infty)$ if for every real number $H \geq 0$,
Theorem 3.6: If $I_{2}-s t \lim \sup x=l$, then, there exist a subsequence of $x$ that is $I_{2}-s t$ convergent to $l$.

## Proof:

Case I: If $l=-\infty$ then, $B_{x}=\varnothing$.
so for any real $H \geq 0$,

$$
\left\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}: \frac{1}{m n}\left|j \leq m, k \leq n: x_{j k} \geq-H\right| \geq \delta\right\} \in I_{2}
$$

i.e. $I_{2}-s t \lim x=-\infty$

Case II: If $l=+\infty$, then, $B_{x}=\mathbb{R}$.

So for any $b \in \mathbb{R},\left\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}: \frac{1}{m n}\left|j \leq m, k \leq n: x_{j k} \geq b\right| \geq \delta\right\} \notin$ $I_{2}$

$$
A_{m_{1} n_{1}}=\left\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}: \frac{1}{m n}\left|j \leq m, k \leq n: x_{j k} \geq x_{m_{1} n_{1}}\right| \geq \delta\right\} \notin I_{2}
$$

Since, $I_{2}$ is an admissible ideal, so, $A_{m_{1} n_{1}}$ must be an infinite set.

$$
\text { i.e., } d\left(j \geq m, k \geq n: x_{j k} \geq x_{m_{1} n_{1}}+1\right) \neq 0 \text {. }
$$

We claim that there are at least
$j, k \in j \leq m, k \leq n: x_{j k} \geq x m_{1} n_{1}+1 \subseteq 1,2, \ldots, m_{1}, n_{1}, m_{1}+1, n_{1}+1$ i.e.
$d\left(j \leq m, k \leq n: x_{j k} \geq x_{m_{1} n_{1}}+1\right) \leq d\left(1,2, \ldots, m_{1}, n_{1}, m_{1}+1, n_{1}+1\right)=$ 0 ,
which is a contradiction.
We call these $j, k$ as $m_{2}, n_{2}$ thus $x_{m_{2} n_{2}} \geq x_{m_{1} n_{1}}+1$. Proceeding in this way we obtain a sub-sequence $x_{m_{j} n_{k}}$ of x with $x_{m_{j} k}+x_{m_{j-1} n_{k-1}}+$ 1. Since for any $H \geq 0$,
$\left\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}: \frac{1}{m n}\left|j \leq m, k \leq n: x_{j k} \leq H\right| \geq \delta\right\} \in I_{2} s o, I_{2}-$ $s t \lim x_{m_{j} n_{j}}=+\infty$.
Case III: $-\infty \leq l \leq+\infty$. So,

$$
\left\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}: \frac{1}{m n}\left|j \leq m, k \leq n: x_{j k} \geq l+\frac{1}{2}\right| \geq \delta\right\} \in I_{2}
$$

and

$$
\left\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}: \frac{1}{m n}\left|j \leq m, k \leq n: x_{j k} \geq l-1\right| \geq \delta\right\} \notin I_{2}
$$

So there must be integers $p$ and $q$ in this set for which
${ }_{\delta}^{\frac{p}{q}}\left|j \leq p, k \leq q: x_{j k} \geq l-1\right| \geq \delta$ and $\frac{p}{q}\left|j \leq p, k \leq q: x_{j k} \geq l+\frac{1}{2}\right| \geq$
For otherwise

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}: \frac{1}{m n}\left|j \leq m, k \leq n: x_{j k} \geq l-1\right| \geq \delta\right\} \\
\subset & \left\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}: \frac{1}{m n}\left|j \leq m, k \leq n: x_{j k} \geq l+\frac{1}{2}\right| \geq \delta\right\} \in I_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a contradiction. Now for the maximum $j \leq p, k \leq q$ will satisfy $x_{j k} \geq l-1$ and $x_{j k} \leq l+\frac{1}{2}$ sp we ,must have $m_{1}, n_{1}$ for whcih $l-1 \leq x_{m_{1}, n_{1}} \leq l+\frac{1}{2} \leq l+1$

Next, we choose an element $x_{m_{2}, n_{2}}$ from $x m_{2}, n_{2} \geq m_{1} n_{1}$ such that $l-\frac{1}{2} \leq x_{m_{2}, n_{2}} \leq l+\frac{1}{2}$
Now

$$
\left\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}: \frac{1}{m n}\left|j \leq m, k \leq n: x_{j k} \geq l-\frac{1}{2}\right| \geq \delta\right\}
$$

is an infinite set. So

$$
d\left(\left\{j \leq m, k \leq n: x_{j k} \geq l-\frac{1}{2}\right\}\right) \neq 0
$$

We observed that there are at least $j, k \geq m_{1}, n_{1}$ for which $x_{j k} \geq$ $l-\frac{1}{2}$, or otherwise.

$$
d\left(\left\{j \leq m, k \leq n: x_{j k} \geq l-\frac{1}{2}\right\}\right) \leq d\left(1,2, \ldots, m_{1}, n_{1}\right)=0
$$

which is a contradiction.
Let $E_{m_{1} n_{1}}=\left\{j \leq m, k \leq n: j \geq m_{1}, k \geq n_{1}, x_{j k} \geq l-\frac{1}{2}\right\} \neq \varnothing$ if $j, k \in E_{m_{1} n_{1}}$ always implies $x_{j k} \geq l+\frac{1}{2}$
then,
$E_{m_{1} n_{1}} \subset\left\{j \leq m, k \leq n: x_{j k} \geq l+\frac{1}{2}\right\}$
i.e.
$d\left(E_{m_{1} n_{1}}\right) \leq d\left(\left\{j \leq m, k \leq n: x_{j k} \geq l+\frac{1}{2}\right\}\right)=0$.
Since $\left\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}: \frac{1}{2}\left|\left\{j \leq m, k \leq n: x_{j k} \geq l+\frac{1}{2}\right\}\right| \leq \delta\right\} \in$ $F(I)$
Thus, $\left\{j \leq m, k \leq n: x_{j k} \geq l-\frac{1}{2}\right\} \subseteq 1,2, \ldots, m_{1}, n_{1} \cup E_{m_{1} n_{1}}$ So $d\left(\left\{j \leq m, k \leq n: x_{j k} \geq l-\frac{1}{2}\right\}\right) \leq d 1,2, \ldots, m_{1}, n_{1}+\left(E_{m_{1} n_{1}}\right) \leq 0$, which is a contradiction.
This shows that there are $m_{2} n_{2} \geq m_{1} n_{1}$ such that $l-\frac{1}{2} \leq x_{m_{2} n_{2}} \leq$ $l+\frac{1}{2}$. Proceeding in this way, we obtain a sub-sequence $x_{m_{2} n_{2}}$ of $x, m_{j}, n_{k} \geq m_{j-1} n_{k-1}$ such that $l-\frac{1}{j k} \leq x_{m_{j} n_{k}} \leq l+\frac{1}{j k}$ for each $j, k$. This sequence $x_{m_{j} n_{k}}$ ordinarily converges to $l$ and thus $I_{2}-s t$ convergent to $l$.
Theorem 3.7: If $I_{2}-s t \lim \inf x=l$, then, there exists a subsequence of $x$ that is $I_{2}-s t$ convergent to $l$.
Proof: The proof is analogous to Theorem 3.6.
Theorem 3.8: Every $I_{2}-s t$ bounded double sequence $x$ has a sub-sequence which is $I_{2}-s t$ convergent to a finite real number.
Proof: The proof follows from Remark 3.1 and Theorem 3.6.

## 3. CONCLUDING REMARKS

The concept of $I$-statistical Limit superior and $I$-statistical Limit inferior of single sequence has been extended to $I_{2}$-statistical Limit superior and $I_{2}$-statistical Limit inferior of double sequence and all the properties of the single sequence were preserved in the double sequence.
In Fig. 1, the critical value of the temperature is plotted against Newtonian parameter $\delta$.
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