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#### Abstract

In this paper, we prove strong convergence of implicit and explicit iterative method to solutions of variational inequality problems on fixed point sets of finite family of nonexpansive mappings in $q$-uniformly smooth real Banach spaces. As an application, we obtain strong convergence of modifications of these iterative methods to solutions of the variational inequality problems on fixed point sets of finite family of strictly pseudocontractive mappings. Our theorems complement some recently announced results.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $(E,\|\cdot\|)$ be a real normed space. Let $S:=\{x \in E:\|x\|=1\}$. The space $E$ is said to have a Gâteaux differentiable norm if the limit

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|x+t y\|-\|x\|}{t}
$$

exists for each $x, y \in S$; and the space $E$ is said to have a uniformly Gâteaux differentiable norm if for each $y \in S$ the limit is attained uniformly for $x \in S$. The space $E$ is said to be uniformly smooth if and only if for all $\epsilon>0$, there exists $\delta>0$ such that for all $x, y \in E$ with $\|x\|=1$ and $\|y\| \leq \delta$, the inequality

$$
\frac{\|x+y\|+\|x-y\|}{2}-1<\epsilon\|y\|
$$

holds. It is well known that every uniformly smooth real Banach space is a reflexive real Banach space and has uniformly Gâteaux

[^0]differentiable norm (see e.g., [13]).
Let $E$ be a real normed linear space with dimension, $\operatorname{dim}(E) \geq 2$. The modulus of smoothness of $E$ is the function $\rho_{E}:[0, \infty) \rightarrow$ $[0, \infty)$ defined by
$$
\rho_{E}(t)=\sup \left\{\frac{\|x+y\|+\|x-y\|}{2}-1:\|x\|=1,\|y\|=t\right\} .
$$

In terms of the modulus of smoothness (see e.g. [13]), the space $E$ is called uniformly smooth if and only if $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\rho_{E}(t)}{t}=0$. The space $E$ is called $q$-uniformly smooth if and only if there exists a constant $c>0$ such that $\rho_{E}(t) \leq c t^{q}, t>0$. It is easy to see that for $1<q<+\infty$, every $q$-uniformly smooth Banach space is uniformly smooth; and thus has a uniformly Gâteaux differentiable norm.

Let $E$ be a real normed linear space with dual $E^{*}$. We denote by $J_{q}$ the generalized duality mapping from $E$ to $2^{E^{*}}$ defined by

$$
J_{q} x:=\left\{f^{*} \in E^{*}:\left\langle x, f^{*}\right\rangle=\|x\|^{q},\left\|f^{*}\right\|=\|x\|^{q-1}\right\}
$$

where $\langle.,$.$\rangle denotes the generalized duality pairing between mem-$ bers of $E$ and members of $E^{*}$. For $q=2$, the mapping $J=J_{2}$ from $E$ to $2^{E^{*}}$ is called the normalized duality mapping. It is well known that if $E$ is uniformly smooth or $E^{*}$ is strictly convex, then the duality mapping is single-valued; and if $E$ has a uniformly Gâteaux differentiable norm then the duality mapping is norm-to-weak* uniformly continuous on bounded subsets of $E$. If $E=H$ is a Hilbert space then the duality mapping becomes the identity map of $H$ (see e.g., $[13,48]$ ). In the sequel, we shall denote the single-valued generalized duality mapping by $j_{q}$ and the single valued normalized duality mapping by $j$.

A real normed space $E$ with strictly convex dual is said to have a weakly sequentially continuous generalized duality mapping $j_{q}$ if and only if for each sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ in $E$ such that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ converges weakly to $x^{*}$ in $E$, we have that $\left\{j_{q}\left(x_{n}\right)\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ converges in the weak ${ }^{*}$ topology to $j_{q}\left(x^{*}\right)$. A real Banach space $E$ is said to satisfy Opial's condition if for any sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ in $E$ such that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ converges weakly to $x^{*}$ in $E$, we have that

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|<\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-y\right\|
$$

for all $y \in E, y \neq x^{*}$. By Theorem 1 of Gossez and Lami Dozo [15], it is known that if $E$ admits weakly sequentially continuous duality mapping, then $E$ satisfies Opial's condition (see [15] for more details). Aside from Hilbert spaces, it was noted in [5] that the most significant class of Banach spaces having a weakly sequentially continuous generalized duality mapping are the sequence spaces $\ell_{q}$ for $1<q<+\infty$ (see [6] and [7], where it is also shown that $L_{q}(\mathbb{R})$ has no weakly sequentially continuous duality mapping for $q \neq 2$ ).

A mapping $f: E \rightarrow E$ is said to be a strict contraction or simply a contraction if and only if there exists $\gamma_{0} \in[0,1)$ such that for all $x, y \in E$,

$$
\|f(x)-f(y)\| \leq \gamma_{0}\|x-y\|
$$

A mapping $T: E \rightarrow E$ is called nonexpansive if and only if for all $x, y \in E$,

$$
\|T x-T y\| \leq\|x-y\| .
$$

A point $x \in E$ is called a fixed point of an operator $T$ if and only if $T x=x$. The set of fixed points of an operator $T$ is denoted by $F(T)$, that is, $F(T):=\{x \in E: T x=x\}$.

A mapping $A: E \rightarrow E$ is said to be accretive if and if for all $x, y \in E$ there exists $j_{q}(x-y) \in J_{q}(x-y)$ such that

$$
\left\langle A x-A y, j_{q}(x-y)\right\rangle \geq 0
$$

A mapping $A: E \rightarrow E$ is called strongly accretive if and only if there exists a constant $\eta>0$ and for all $x, y \in E$ there exist $j_{q}(x-y) \in J_{q}(x-y)$ such that

$$
\left\langle A x-A y, j_{q}(x-y)\right\rangle \geq \eta\|x-y\|^{q} .
$$

When $E=H$ is a Hilbert space, accretive and strongly accretive mappings coincide with monotone and strongly monotone mappings, respectively.

A linear operator $A: H \rightarrow H$ is called a $k$-strongly positive operator if and only if there exists a constant $k>0$ such that for all $x \in H$,

$$
\langle A x, x\rangle \geq k\|x\|^{2} .
$$

Thus, every strongly positive bounded linear operator on $H$ is strongly monotone.

Iterative approximation of fixed points and zeros of nonlinear operators has been studied extensively by many authors to solve nonlinear operator equations as well as variational inequality problems (see e.g., [18], [23]-[28], [32]-[38]). In particular, iterative approximation of fixed points of nonexpansive mappings is an important subject in nonlinear operator theory and its applications in image recovery and signal processing is well known (see e.g., [12, 31, 47]). Most published results on nonexpansive mappings centered on the iterative approximation of fixed points of the nonexpansive mappings or approximation of a common fixed point of a given family of nonexpansive mappings. Iterative methods for nonexpansive mappings are now also applicable in solving convex minimization problems (see, for example, [45] and references therein).

Let $H$ be a real Hilbert space with inner product $\langle.,$.$\rangle . Let C$ be a closed convex nonempty subset of $H$, let $T: C \rightarrow C$ be a nonexpansive mapping such that $F(T) \neq \emptyset$. Given $u \in C$ and a real sequence $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ in the interval $(0,1)$, starting with an arbitrary initial $x_{0} \in C$, let a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ be defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=\alpha_{n+1} u+\left(1-\alpha_{n+1}\right) T x_{n}, n \geq 0 . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under appropriate conditions on the iterative parameter $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$, it has been shown by Halpern [16], Lions [21], Wittmann [41] and Bauschke [2] that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ converges strongly to $P_{F(T)} u$, the projection of $u$ to the fixed point set, $F(T)$ of $T$.
H. K. Xu [45] studied the following quadratic minimization problem: find $x^{*} \in F(T)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}\left\langle A x^{*}, x^{*}\right\rangle-\left\langle x^{*}, u\right\rangle=\min _{x \in F(T)}\left(\frac{1}{2}\langle A x, x\rangle-\langle x, u\rangle\right), \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u \in H$ is fixed and $A: H \rightarrow H$ a bounded linear strongly positive operator. Let $C_{1}, C_{2}, \ldots, C_{N}$ be $N$ closed convex subsets of a real Hilbert space $H$ having a nonempty intersection $C$. Suppose also that each $C_{i}$ is a fixed point set of nonexpansive mappings $T_{i}: H \rightarrow H, i=1,2, \ldots, N, \mathrm{Xu}[45]$ proved strong convergence of the iterative algorithm

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{0} \in H, x_{n+1}=\left(I-\alpha_{n+1} A\right) T_{n+1} x_{n}+\alpha_{n+1} u, n \geq 0 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(where $T_{n}=T_{n \bmod N}$ and the mod function takes values in $\{1,2, \ldots$ $, N\}$ ) to a unique solution of the quadratic minimization problem

Marino and Xu [24], proved that the iteration scheme given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{0} \in H, x_{n+1}=\alpha_{n} \gamma f\left(x_{n}\right)+\left(I-\alpha_{n} A\right) T x_{n}, n \geq 0 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

converges strongly to a unique solution $x^{\prime} \in F(T)$ of the variational inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle(\gamma f-A) x^{\prime}, y-x^{\prime}\right\rangle \leq 0 \forall y \in F(T) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the optimality condition for the minimization problem

$$
\min _{x \in F(T)}\left(\frac{1}{2}\langle A x, x\rangle-h(c)\right),
$$

where $h$ is a potential function for $\gamma f$ (that is, $h^{\prime}(x)=\gamma f(x)$ for all $x \in H$ ); provided $f: H \rightarrow H$ is a contraction, $T: H \rightarrow H$ is nonexpansive and the iterative parameter $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ satisfies appropriate conditions.

In [46], Yamada introduced the following hybrid iterative method

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{0} \in H, x_{n+1}=T x_{n}-\mu \lambda_{n} A\left(T x_{n}\right), n \geq 0 \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T$ is nonexpansive, $A$ is $L$-Lipschitzian and strongly monotone operator with constant $\eta>0$ and $0<\mu<\frac{2 \eta}{L^{2}}$. He proved that if $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ satisfied appropriate conditions, then (1.6) converges strongly to a unique solution $x^{\prime} \in F(T)$ of the varational inequality

$$
\left\langle A x^{\prime}, y-x^{\prime}\right\rangle \geq 0 \forall y \in F(T)
$$

Recently, M. Tian [40] studied the following iterative method:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{0} \in H, x_{n+1}=\alpha_{n} \gamma f\left(x_{n}\right)+\left(I-\mu \alpha_{n} A\right) T x_{n}, n \geq 0 . \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Tian [40] proved that if $f: H \rightarrow H$ is a contraction, $A: H \rightarrow H$ is an $\eta$-strongly monotone mapping, $T: H \rightarrow H$ a nonexpansive mapping and the parameter $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ satisfies appropriate conditions, then the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ converges strongly to a unique solution $x^{\prime} \in F(T)$ of the variational inequality

$$
\left\langle(\gamma f-\mu A) x^{\prime}, y-x^{\prime}\right\rangle \leq 0 \forall y \in F(T) .
$$

Motivated by the results of the authors mentioned above, it is our aim in this paper to prove strong convergence of implicit and explicit iterative method to solutions of variational inequality problems on fixed point sets of nonexpansive mappings in $q$-uniformly smooth real Banach spaces. As an application, we obtain strong
convergence of modifications of these iterative methods to solutions of the variational inequality problems on fixed point sets of strictly pseudocontractive mappings. Our theorems complement some recently announced results.

## 2. Preliminary

In what follows, we shall make use of the following Lemmas:
Lemma 2.1. Let $E$ be a real normed linear space, then for $1<q<$ $+\infty$, the following inequality holds:
$\|x+y\|^{q} \leq\|x\|^{q}+q\left\langle y, j_{q}(x+y)\right\rangle \forall x, y \in E, \forall j_{q}(x+y) \in J_{q}(x+y)$.
Lemma 2.2. (See e.g., $[4,43,45])$ Let $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of nonnegative real numbers satisfying the condition

$$
\lambda_{n+1} \leq\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) \lambda_{n}+\sigma_{n}, n \geq 0
$$

where $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ and $\left\{\sigma_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ are sequences of real numbers such that $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1} \subset[0,1], \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n}=+\infty$. Suppose that $\sigma_{n}=o\left(\alpha_{n}\right), n \geq 0$ (i.e., $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sigma_{n}}{\alpha_{n}}=0$ ) or $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|\sigma_{n}\right|<+\infty$ or $\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sigma_{n}}{\alpha_{n}} \leq 0$, then $\lambda_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 2.3. (Compare with Lemma 3 pg. 257 of Bruck [11]) Let $C$ be a nonempty closed and convex subset of a real strictly convex Banach space $E$. Let $\left\{T_{i}\right\}_{i \geq 1}$ be a sequence of nonself nonexpansive mappings $T_{i}: C \rightarrow E$ such that $F:=\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F\left(T_{i}\right) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\left\{\sigma_{i}\right\} \subset$ $(0,1)$ be such that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{i}=1$. Then the mapping $T:=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{i} T_{i}:$ $C \rightarrow E$ is well defined, nonexpansive and $F(T)=\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F\left(T_{i}\right)$.
Lemma 2.4. (See [49], p.202 Lemma 3). Let E be a strictly convex Banach space and $C$ be a closed convex subset of $E$. Let $T_{1}$, $T_{2}, \ldots, T_{r}$ be nonexpansive mappings of $C$ into itself such that the set of common fixed points of $T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots, T_{r}$ is nonempty. Let $S_{1}$, $S_{2}, \ldots, S_{r}$ be mappings of $C$ into itself given by $S_{i}=\left(1-\gamma_{i}\right) I+\gamma_{i} T_{i}$ for any $0<\gamma_{i}<1, i=1,2, \ldots, r$, where $I$ denotes the identity mapping on $C$. Then $S_{1}, S_{2}, \ldots, S_{r}$ satisfies the following: $\bigcap_{i=1}^{r} F\left(S_{i}\right)=$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bigcap_{i=1}^{r} F\left(T_{i}\right) \text { and } \bigcap_{i=1}^{r} F\left(S_{i}\right)=F\left(S_{r} S_{r-1} \ldots S_{1}\right)=F\left(S_{1} S_{r-1} \ldots S_{2}\right)=\ldots= \\
& F\left(S_{r-1} \ldots S_{1} S_{r}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 2.5. (See e.g. [44]) Let E be a q-uniformly smooth real Banach space for some $q>1$, then there exists some positive constant $d_{q}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x+y\|^{q} \leq\|x\|^{q}+q\left\langle y, j_{q}(x)\right\rangle+d_{q}\|y\|^{q} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\forall x, y \in E, \forall j_{q}(x) \in J_{q}(x)$.
If $E$ is $L_{q}$ (or $\ell_{q}$ ) space, the constant $d_{q}$ in (2.1) has been calculated. This is shown in the following lemma.

Lemma 2.6. (See e.g. [19]) Let $E$ be $L_{q}$ (or $\ell_{q}$ ) space $(1<q<$ $+\infty)$ and $x, y \in E$,
(1) if $1<q<2$, then

$$
\|x+y\|^{q} \leq\|x\|^{q}+q\left\langle y, j_{q}(x)\right\rangle+d_{q}\|y\|^{q}
$$

$\forall x, y \in E, \forall j_{q}(x) \in J_{q}(x)$, where $d_{q}=\frac{1+b_{q}^{q-1}}{\left(1+b_{q}\right)^{q-1}}$, and $b_{q}$ is the unique solution of the equation $(q-2) b^{q-1}+(q-1) b^{q-2}-1=0,0<b<1$.
(2) if $2 \leq q<+\infty$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|x+y\|^{2} & \leq\|x\|^{2}+2\langle y, j(x)\rangle+(q-1)\|y\|^{2} \\
\forall x, y \in E, & \forall j(x) \in J(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 2.7. (Lemma 2.2 of [42], p. 1411) Let $C$ be a closed convex nonempty subset of a reflexive Banach space which satisfies Opial's condition and suppose $T: C \rightarrow E$ is nonexpansive, then the mapping $I-T$ is demiclosed at zero, that is $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ is a sequence in $C$ such that $x_{n} \rightharpoonup x^{*}$ and $x_{n}-T x_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $x^{*}=T x^{*}$

Let $\mu$ be a bounded linear functional defined on $\ell_{\infty}$ satisfying $\|\mu\|=$ $1=\mu(1)$. It is known that $\mu$ is a mean on $\mathbb{N}$ if and only if

$$
\inf \left\{a_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\} \leq \mu\left(a_{n}\right) \leq \sup \left\{a_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}
$$

for every $a=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, \ldots\right) \in \ell_{\infty}$. In the sequel, we shall use $\mu_{n}\left(a_{n}\right)$ instead of $\mu(a)$. A mean $\mu$ on $\mathbb{N}$ is called a Banach limit if $\mu_{n}\left(a_{n}\right)=$ $\mu_{n}\left(a_{n+1}\right)$ for every $a=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, \ldots\right) \in \ell_{\infty}$. It is well known that if $\mu$ is a Banach limit, then

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n} \leq \mu_{n} a_{n} \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n}
$$

for all $a=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, \ldots\right) \in \ell_{\infty}$. It is now easy to see that for $a=$ $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right), b=\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots\right) \in \ell_{\infty}$, if $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n}=a^{*}$, then $\mu_{n}\left(a_{n}\right)=a^{*}$ and if $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} b_{n}$, then $\mu_{n}\left(a_{n}\right)=\mu_{n}\left(b_{n}\right)$.

## 3. Main results.

We start as follows.
Lemma 3.1. Let $E$ be a real normed linear space. Let $A: E \rightarrow E$ be a $\eta$-strongly accretive mapping. Let $T: E \rightarrow E$ be a nonexpansive mapping such that $F(T) \neq \emptyset, \lambda>0$ and $u \in E$ be a fixed vector. Suppose that the solution $x^{\prime} \in F(T)$ of the variational inequality $\left\langle u-\lambda A x^{\prime}, j_{q}\left(p-x^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle \leq 0 \forall p \in F(T)$ exists, then $x^{\prime}$ is unique.

Proof. Suppose for contradiction that the variational inequality has two solutions in $F(T)$, say $x^{\prime} \neq y^{\prime}$, then we have, in particular, that

$$
\left\langle u-\lambda A x^{\prime}, j_{q}\left(y^{\prime}-x^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle \leq 0
$$

and

$$
-\left\langle u-\lambda A y^{\prime}, j_{q}\left(y^{\prime}-x^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle \leq 0
$$

Thus, adding these two inequalities and using strong accretivity of $A$, we obtain that

$$
\lambda \eta\left\|y^{\prime}-x^{\prime}\right\|^{q} \leq\left\langle\lambda A y^{\prime}-\lambda A x^{\prime}, j_{q}\left(y^{\prime}-x^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle \leq 0
$$

a contradiction. Hence, the variational inequality has a unique solution, provided the solution exists. This completes the proof.

Remark 3.2. If $E$ is a $q$-uniformly smooth real Banach space; a mapping $A: E \rightarrow E$ an $L$-Lipschitzian $\eta$-strongly accretive and $T: E \rightarrow E$ a nonexpansive mapping, then for the mapping ( $I-$ $t \lambda A) T: E \rightarrow E$ (where $I$ is the identity map of $E, \lambda>0$ and
$t \in(0,1))$, we obtain using Lemma 2.5 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|(I-t \lambda A) T x-(I-t \lambda A) T y\|^{q}= & \|T x-T y-t \lambda(A T x-A T y)\|^{q} \\
\leq & \|T x-T y\|^{q}-q t \lambda\langle A T x-A T y \\
& \left.j_{q}(T x-T y)\right\rangle+d_{q}(t \lambda)^{q} \\
& \times\|A T x-A T y\|^{q} \\
\leq & \|T x-T y\|^{q}-q t \eta \lambda\|T x-T y\|^{q} \\
& +d_{q}(t L \lambda)^{q}\|T x-T y\|^{q} \\
\leq & \left(1-t \lambda\left(q \eta-d_{q} L^{q} \lambda^{q-1}\right)\right) \\
& \times\|T x-T y\|^{q}
\end{aligned}
$$

So that if $\lambda$ is such that $0<\lambda<\left(\frac{q \eta}{L^{q} d_{q}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}}$, we have that

$$
0<1-t \lambda\left(q \eta-d_{q} L^{q} \lambda^{q-1}\right)<1
$$

and since $T$ is a nonexpansive mapping of $E$ into $E$ and $1<q<$ $+\infty$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\|(I-t \lambda A) T x-(I-t \lambda A) T y\| \leq & \left(1-t \lambda\left(q \eta-d_{q} L^{q} \lambda^{q-1}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
& \times\|T x-T y\| \\
\leq & \left(1-t \lambda\left(q \eta-d_{q} L^{q} \lambda^{q-1}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
& \times\|x-y\| \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 3.3. Let $E$ be a q-uniformly smooth real Banach space. Let $A: E \rightarrow E$ be an L-Lipschitzian $\eta$-strongly accretive mapping. Let $T: E \rightarrow E$ be a nonexpansive mapping such that $F(T) \neq \emptyset$. Let $u \in$ E be fixed, $0<\lambda<\left(\frac{q \eta}{L^{q} d_{q}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}}$ and $t \in\left(0, \min \left\{1, \frac{1}{q \eta \lambda-d_{q}(L \lambda)^{q}}\right\}\right)$, then there exists a unique $z_{t} \in E$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{t}=t u+(I-t \lambda A) T z_{t} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For each $t \in\left(0, \min \left\{1, \frac{1}{q \eta \lambda-d_{q}(L \lambda)^{q}}\right\}\right)$, define $S_{t}: E \rightarrow E$ by $S_{t} x=t u+(I-t \lambda A) T x$ for all $x \in E$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|S_{t} x-S_{t} y\right\| & =\|(I-t \lambda A) T x-(I-t \lambda A) T y\| \\
& \leq\left(1-t \lambda\left(q \eta-d_{q}(L)^{q} \lambda^{q-1}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\|x-y\|
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, for all $t \in\left(0, \min \left\{1, \frac{1}{q \eta \lambda-d_{q}(L \lambda)^{q}}\right\}\right)$, we have that $S_{t}$ is a strict contraction on $E$. Hence, there exists a unique $z_{t} \in E$ which satisfies (3.2). This completes the proof.

Lemma 3.4. Let $E, A, u \in E$ and $T$ be as in Lemma 3.3. Let $\left\{z_{t}\right\}$ satisfy (3.2), then
(a) $\left\{z_{t}\right\}$ is bounded;
(b) The mapping $\Psi:\left(0, \min \left\{1, \frac{1}{q \eta \lambda-d_{q}(L \lambda)^{q}}\right\}\right) \rightarrow$ E given by $\Psi(t)=z_{t}$ is continuous;
(c) $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0}\left\|z_{t}-T z_{t}\right\|=0$.

Proof.
(a) Let $p \in F(T)$. If $z_{t}=p$ for all $t \in\left(0, \min \left\{1, \frac{1}{q \eta \lambda-d_{q}(L \lambda)^{q}}\right\}\right)$, then we are done. Otherwise, let $t^{*} \in\left(0, \min \left\{1, \frac{1}{q \eta \lambda-d_{q}(L \lambda)^{q}}\right\}\right)$ be such that $z_{t} \neq p \forall t \in\left(0, t^{*}\right] ;$ then using (3.1), (3.2) and Lemma 2.1, we have that for all $t \in\left(0, t^{*}\right]$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|z_{t}-p\right\|^{q}= & \left\|(I-t \lambda A) T z_{t}-(I-t \lambda A) p+t(u-\lambda A p)\right\|^{q} \\
\leq & \left(1-t\left(q \eta \lambda-d_{q}(L \lambda)^{q}\right)\right)\left\|z_{t}-p\right\|^{q} \\
& +q t\left\langle u-\lambda A p, j_{q}\left(z_{t}-p\right)\right\rangle \\
\leq & \left(1-t\left(q \eta \lambda-d_{q}(L \lambda)^{q}\right)\right)\left\|z_{t}-p\right\|^{q} \\
& +q t\|u-\lambda A p\| \cdot\left\|z_{t}-p\right\|^{q-1} \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, we obtain from (3.3) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|z_{t}-p\right\| \leq\left(1-t\left(q \eta \lambda-d_{q}(L \lambda)^{q}\right)\right)\left\|z_{t}-p\right\|+q t\|u-\lambda A p\| \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inequality (3.4) therefore gives

$$
\left\|z_{t}-p\right\| \leq \frac{q\|u-\mu A p\|}{q \eta \lambda-d_{q}(L \lambda)^{q}}
$$

Hence, the path $\left\{z_{t}\right\}$ is bounded; and so is $\left\{A T z_{t}\right\}$.
(b) Let $t_{0} \in\left(0, \min \left\{1, \frac{1}{q \eta \lambda-d_{q}(L \lambda)^{q}}\right\}\right)$ be arbitrary. It is enough to show that the mapping $\Psi:\left(0, \min \left\{1, \frac{1}{q \eta \lambda-d_{q}(L \lambda)^{q}}\right\}\right) \rightarrow E$ given by $\Psi(t)=z_{t}$ is continuous at $t_{0}$. Now, for some constant $M_{1}>0$ and
using Lemma 2.1, we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|z_{t}-z_{t_{0}}\right\|^{q}= & \|\left(t-t_{0}\right) u-\left(t-t_{0}\right) \lambda A T z_{t}+\left(I-t_{0} \lambda A\right) T z_{t} \\
& -\left(I-t_{0} \lambda A\right) T z_{t_{0}} \|^{q} \\
\leq & \left\|\left(I-t_{0} \lambda A\right) T z_{t}-\left(I-t_{0} \lambda A\right) T z_{t_{0}}\right\|^{q} \\
& +q\left\langle\left(t-t_{0}\right) u-\left(t-t_{0}\right) \lambda A T z_{t}, j_{q}\left(z_{t}-z_{t_{0}}\right)\right\rangle \\
\leq & \left(1-t_{0}\left(q \eta \lambda-d_{q}(L \lambda)^{q}\right)\right)\left\|z_{t}-z_{t_{0}}\right\|^{q} \\
& +\left|t-t_{0}\right| M_{1}\left\|z_{t}-z_{t_{0}}\right\|^{q-1} . \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, we obtain from (3.5) that

$$
\left\|\Psi(t)-\Psi\left(t_{0}\right)\right\|=\left\|z_{t}-z_{t_{0}}\right\| \leq \frac{M_{1}}{t_{0}\left(q \eta \lambda-d_{q}(L \lambda)^{q}\right)}\left|t-t_{0}\right|
$$

and the result follows.
(c) Using (3.2), we have that for some constant $M_{0}>0$,

$$
\left\|z_{t}-T z_{t}\right\| \leq t\left\|u-\lambda A T z_{t}\right\| \leq t M_{0} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } t \rightarrow 0
$$

This completes the proof.
Theorem 3.5. Let $E, A, u \in E$ and $T$ be as in Lemma 3.3. Let $\left\{z_{t}\right\}$ satisfy (3.2), then $\left\{z_{t}\right\}$ converges strongly to some $x^{\prime} \in F(T)$ which is a solution of the variational inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle u-\lambda A x^{\prime}, j\left(p-x^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle \leq 0 \forall p \in F(T) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, if solution of (3.6) exists in $F(T)$, then it is unique. Let $p \in F(T)$, then using (3.2), we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{t}-p=t(u-\lambda A p)+(I-t \lambda A) T z_{t}-(I-t \lambda A) p \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, using (3.1), (3.7) and Lemma 2.1, we obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|z_{t}-p\right\|^{q} \leq & \left\|(I-t \lambda A) T z_{t}-(I-t \lambda A) p\right\|^{q} \\
& +q t\left\langle u-\lambda A p, j_{q}\left(z_{t}-p\right)\right\rangle \\
\leq & \left(1-t\left(q \eta \lambda-d_{q}(\lambda L)^{q}\right)\left\|z_{t}-p\right\|^{q}\right. \\
& +q t\left\langle u-\lambda A p, j_{q}\left(z_{t}-p\right)\right\rangle . \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

So, (3.8) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|z_{t}-p\right\|^{q} \leq \frac{1}{q \eta \lambda-d_{q}(\lambda L)^{q}}\left\langle u-\lambda A p, j_{q}\left(z_{t}-p\right)\right\rangle \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Lemma 3.4 we know that $\left\{z_{t}\right\}$ is bounded. Let $\left\{t_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ in $\left(0, \min \left\{1, \frac{1}{q \eta \lambda-d_{q}(L \lambda)^{q}}\right\}\right)$ be such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} t_{n}=0$ and set $z_{n}=z_{t_{n}}$. Then, defining $\Phi: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup\{+\infty\}$ by $\Phi(x)=\mu_{n}\left\|z_{n}-x\right\|^{q}$ for all $x \in E$ (for some Banach limit, $\mu$, on $\ell_{\infty}$ ), we have that $\Phi$ is continuous, convex and $\lim _{\|x\| \rightarrow+\infty} \Phi(x)=+\infty$. Thus, setting

$$
K=\left\{y \in E: \Phi(y)=\min _{x \in E} \Phi(x)\right\}
$$

then $K$ is bounded closed convex and nonempty subset of $E$ and since by Lemma 3.4, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|z_{n}-T z_{n}\right\|=0$, we obtain using Lemma 2.1 that for some constant $M_{0}>0$ and for all $y \in K$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi(T y)= & \mu_{n}\left\|z_{n}-T y\right\|^{q}=\mu_{n}\left\|T z_{n}-T y+z_{n}-T z_{n}\right\|^{q} \\
\leq & \mu_{n}\left(\left\|T z_{n}-T y\right\|^{q}+q\left\langle z_{n}-T z_{n}, j_{q}\left(z_{n}-T y\right)\right\rangle\right) \\
\leq & \mu_{n}\left(\left\|T z_{n}-T y\right\|^{q}+\left\|z_{n}-T z_{n}\right\| M_{0}\right) \\
\leq & \mu_{n}\left\|z_{n}-y\right\|^{q}+\mu_{n}\left\|z_{n}-T z_{n}\right\| M_{0} \\
& =\mu_{n}\left\|z_{n}-y\right\|^{q}=\Phi(y) . \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, $T(K) \subset K$; that is, $K$ is invariant under $T$. Since $E$ is uniformly smooth real Banach space and thus every bounded closed convex nonempty subset of $E$ has the fixed point property for nonexpansive mappings, then there exists $x^{\prime} \in K$ such that $T x^{\prime}=x^{\prime}$. Since $x^{\prime}$ is also a minimizer of $\Phi$ over $E$, it follows that for arbitrary $x \in E$ and for all $\xi \in(0,1), \Phi\left(x^{\prime}\right) \leq \Phi\left(x^{\prime}+\xi\left(x-x^{\prime}\right)\right)$. Lemma 2.1 gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|z_{n}-x^{\prime}-\xi\left(x-x^{\prime}\right)\right\|^{q} & \leq\left\|z_{n}-x^{\prime}\right\|^{q}  \tag{3.11}\\
& -q \xi\left\langle x-x^{\prime}, j_{q}\left(z_{n}-x^{\prime}-\xi\left(x-x^{\prime}\right)\right)\right\rangle .
\end{align*}
$$

Inequality (3.11) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{n}\left\langle x-x^{\prime}, j_{q}\left(z_{n}-x^{\prime}-\xi\left(x-x^{\prime}\right)\right)\right\rangle \leq 0 \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, since $E$ is uniformly smooth, the duality mapping $j_{q}$ is norm-to-weak* uniformly continuous on bounded subsets of $E$; and hence

$$
\lim _{\xi \rightarrow 0}\left(\left\langle x-x^{\prime}, j_{q}\left(z_{n}-x^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle-\left\langle x-x^{\prime}, j_{q}\left(z_{n}-x^{\prime}-\xi\left(x-x^{\prime}\right)\right)\right\rangle\right)=0 .
$$

So, for all $\epsilon>0$, there exists $\delta_{\epsilon}>0$ such that for all $\xi \in\left(0, \delta_{\epsilon}\right)$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that

$$
\left\langle x-x^{\prime}, j_{q}\left(z_{n}-x^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle-\left\langle x-x^{\prime}, j_{q}\left(z_{n}-x^{\prime}-\xi\left(x-x^{\prime}\right)\right)\right\rangle<\epsilon
$$

This implies that for all $\xi \in\left(0, \delta_{\epsilon}\right)$,
$\mu_{n}\left\langle x-x^{\prime}, j_{q}\left(z_{n}-x^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle \leq \epsilon+\mu_{n}\left\langle x-x^{\prime}, j_{q}\left(z_{n}-x^{\prime}-\xi\left(x-x^{\prime}\right)\right)\right\rangle \leq \epsilon$ and since $\epsilon>0$ is arbitrary, we obtain that

$$
\mu_{n}\left\langle x-x^{\prime}, j_{q}\left(z_{n}-x^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle \leq 0 \forall x \in E .
$$

Hence, we have in particular, that for the vectors $u \in E$ and $2 x^{\prime}-$ $\lambda A x^{\prime} \in E$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{n}\left\langle u-x^{\prime}, j_{q}\left(z_{n}-x^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle \leq 0 \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\mu_{n}\left\langle\left(2 x^{\prime}-\lambda A x^{\prime}\right)-x^{\prime}, j_{q}\left(z_{n}-x^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle & =\mu_{n}\left\langle x^{\prime}-\lambda A x^{\prime}, j_{q}\left(z_{n}-x^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle \\
& \leq 0 \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, since $\left\{t_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ is in $\left(0, \min \left\{1, \frac{1}{q \eta \lambda-d_{q}(L \lambda)^{q}}\right\}\right)$ and $x^{\prime} \in$ $F(T)$, we obtain from (3.9) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|z_{n}-x^{\prime}\right\|^{q} \leq & \frac{1}{q \eta \lambda-d_{q}(\lambda L)^{q}}\left\langle u-\lambda A x^{\prime}, j_{q}\left(z_{n}-x^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle \\
= & \frac{1}{\omega}\left(\left\langle u-x^{\prime}, j_{q}\left(z_{n}-x^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle\right. \\
& \left.+\left\langle x^{\prime}-\lambda A x^{\prime}, j_{q}\left(z_{n}-x^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle\right) \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\omega=q \eta \lambda-d_{q}(\lambda L)^{q}$. So, from (3.15) (using (3.13), (3.14) and the linearity of Banach limit) we obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
\mu_{n}\left\|z_{n}-x^{\prime}\right\|^{q} \leq & \frac{1}{\omega} \mu_{n}\left\langle u-x^{\prime}, j_{q}\left(z_{n}-x^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle+ \\
& +\frac{1}{\omega} \mu_{n}\left\langle x^{\prime}-\lambda A x^{\prime}, j_{q}\left(z_{n}-x^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle \leq 0 \tag{3.16}
\end{align*}
$$

So, $\mu_{n}\left\|z_{n}-x^{\prime}\right\|^{q}=0$ and this implies that there exists a subsequence $\left\{z_{n_{i}}\right\}_{i \geq 1}$ of $\left\{z_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ such that $z_{n_{i}} \rightarrow x^{\prime}$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$.

We now show that $x^{\prime}$ is a solution of the variational inequality (3.6). From (3.2), we obtain that

$$
z_{n_{i}}=t_{n_{i}} u+\left(I-t_{n_{i}} \lambda A\right) T z_{n_{i}} .
$$

This gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda A z_{n_{i}}-u=-\frac{1}{t_{n_{i}}}\left(z_{n_{i}}-T z_{n_{i}}\right)+\lambda\left(A z_{n_{i}}-A T x_{n_{i}}\right) . \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, for all $p \in F(T)$, we obtain from (3.17) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\lambda A z_{n_{i}}-u, j_{q}\left(z_{n_{i}}-p\right)\right\rangle & =-\frac{1}{t_{n_{i}}}\left\langle z_{n_{i}}-T z_{n_{i}}, j_{q}\left(z_{n_{i}}-p\right)\right\rangle \\
& +\lambda\left\langle A z_{n_{i}}-A T x_{n_{i}}, j_{q}\left(z_{n_{i}}-p\right)\right\rangle \tag{3.18}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $T$ is nonexpansive, we have that $(I-T)$ is accretive. Thus,

$$
\left\langle z_{n_{i}}-T z_{n_{i}}, j_{q}\left(z_{n_{i}}-p\right)\right\rangle=\left\langle(I-T) z_{n_{i}}-(I-T) p, j_{q}\left(z_{n_{i}}-p\right)\right\rangle \geq 0
$$

So, (3.18) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\lambda A z_{n_{i}}-u, j_{q}\left(z_{n_{i}}-p\right)\right\rangle \leq \lambda\left\langle A z_{n_{i}}-A T x_{n_{i}}, j_{q}\left(z_{n_{i}}-p\right)\right\rangle \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (3.19), we obtain (for some constant $M_{2}>0$ ) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\lambda A x^{\prime}-u, j_{q}\left(x^{\prime}-p\right)\right\rangle= & \left\langle\lambda A z_{n_{i}}-u, j_{q}\left(z_{n_{i}}-p\right)\right\rangle \\
& -\left\langle\lambda A z_{n_{i}}-\lambda A x^{\prime}, j_{q}\left(z_{n_{i}}-p\right)\right\rangle \\
& +\left\langle u-\lambda A x^{\prime}, j_{q}\left(z_{n_{i}}-p\right)-j_{q}\left(x^{\prime}-p\right)\right\rangle \\
\leq & M_{2}\left(\left\|A z_{n_{i}}-A T z_{n_{i}}\right\|+\left\|\lambda A z_{n_{i}}-\lambda A x^{\prime}\right\|\right) \\
& +\left\langle u-\lambda A x^{\prime}, j_{q}\left(z_{n_{i}}-p\right)-j_{q}\left(x^{\prime}-p\right)\right\rangle \tag{3.20}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, since $A, T$ are continuous, $z_{n_{i}} \rightarrow x^{\prime} \in F(T)$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$ and the duality mapping is norm-to-weak* uniformly continuous on bounded subsets of $E$, we obtain from (3.20) that (as $i \rightarrow \infty$ )

$$
\left\langle\lambda A x^{\prime}-u, j_{q}\left(x^{\prime}-p\right)\right\rangle \leq 0 \forall p \in F(T)
$$

So, $x^{\prime} \in F(T)$ is a solution (3.6).
Finally, we show that $\left\{z_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ converges to $x^{\prime}$. Suppose that there is another subsequence $\left\{z_{n_{l}}\right\}_{l \geq 1}$ of $\left\{z_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ such that $z_{n_{l}} \rightarrow z^{\prime} \in E$ as $l \rightarrow \infty$. Then by (c) of Lemma 3.4, we have that $z^{\prime} \in F(T)$.

Similar argument (from (3.17) to (3.20) with $z_{n_{i}}$ replaced by $z_{n_{l}}$ ) shows that

$$
\left\langle\lambda A z^{\prime}-u, j_{q}\left(z^{\prime}-p\right)\right\rangle \leq 0 \forall p \in F(T) .
$$

Uniqueness of solution of (3.6) shows that $x^{\prime}=z^{\prime}$. Hence, $z_{n}=$ $z_{t_{n}} \rightarrow x^{\prime}$ and $n \rightarrow \infty$. Consequently, we obtain that the path $\left\{z_{t}\right\}$ given by (3.2) converges strongly (as $t \rightarrow 0$ ) to unique $x^{\prime} \in F(T)$ which solves the variational inequality (3.6). This completes the proof.

The following corollaries follow from our discussion so far.
Corollary 3.6. Let $E$ be a real $L_{p}$ or $\left(\ell_{p}\right)$ space. Let $A$ and $T$ be as in Lemma 3.3 and $\left\{z_{t}\right\}$ satisfy (3.2), then we obtain the same conclusion as in Theorem 3.5.

Remark 3.7. Since every Hilbert space is a 2-uniformly smooth Banach space, it follows from Lemma 2.6 that if $E=H$ is a Hilbert space, then $d_{q}=d_{2}=1$. Furthermore, we recall that in a Hilbert space $H$, the duality mapping coincide with the identity mapping on $H$. Thus, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.8. Let $H$ be a real Hilbert space, $T: H \rightarrow H$ a nonexpansive mapping such that $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ and $A: H \rightarrow H$ be an L-Lipschitzian strongly accretive mapping with a constant $\eta>0$. Let $u \in H$ be fixed. Suppose that $0<\lambda<\frac{2 \eta}{L^{2}}$ and $t \in$ $\left(0, \min \left\{1, \frac{1}{2 \eta \lambda-(\lambda L)^{2}}\right\}\right)$, then there exists a unique $z_{t} \in H$ satisfying (3.2). Moreover, $\left\{z_{t}\right\}$ converges strongly (as $t \rightarrow 0$ ) to a unique solution $x^{\prime} \in F(T)$ of the variational inequality $\left\langle u-\lambda A x^{\prime}, p-x^{\prime}\right\rangle \leq$ $0 \forall p \in F(T)$.

Corollary 3.9. Let $E$ be a strictly convex $q$-uniformly smooth real Banach space, let $A: E \rightarrow E$ be an L-Lipschitzian strongly accretive mapping with a constant $\eta>0$. Let $T_{i}: E \rightarrow E, i=1,2, \ldots$ be a countable family of nonexpansive mappings such that $F:=$ $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F\left(T_{i}\right) \neq \emptyset$. Let $T:=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{i} T_{i}$, where $\left\{\sigma_{i}\right\}_{i \geq 1} \subset(0,1)$ is such that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{i}=1$. Let $u \in E$ be fixed. Suppose that the conditions of Lemma 3.3 are satisfied, then there exist a unique $z_{t} \in E$ satisfying $z_{t}=t u+(I-t \lambda A) T z_{t}$. Moreover, $\left\{z_{t}\right\}$ converges strongly (as
$t \rightarrow 0)$ to a unique solution $x^{\prime} \in F(T)$ of the variational inequality $\left\langle\left(u-\lambda A x^{\prime}, j\left(p-x^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle \leq 0 \forall p \in F\right.$.
Proof. By Lemma 2.3, $T:=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{i} T_{i}$ is well defined, nonexpansive and $F(T)=F$. The rest follows as in the proof of Theorem 3.5.
Corollary 3.10. Let $E$ be a strictly convex q-uniformly smooth real Banach space, let $A: E \rightarrow E$ be an L-Lipschitzian strongly accretive mapping with a constant $\eta>0$. Let $T_{i}: E \rightarrow E, i=$ $1,2, \ldots, m$ be a finite family of nonexpansive mappings such that $F:=\bigcap_{i=1}^{m} F\left(T_{i}\right) \neq \emptyset$. Let $T:=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sigma_{i} T_{i}$, where $\left\{\sigma_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{m} \subset(0,1)$ is such that $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sigma_{i}=1$. Let $u \in E$ be fixed. Suppose that the conditions of Lemma 3.3 are satisfied, then there exist a unique $z_{t} \in E$ satisfying $z_{t}=t u+(I-t \lambda A) T z_{t}$. Moreover, $\left\{z_{t}\right\}$ converges strongly to a unique solution $x^{\prime} \in F(T)$ of the variational inequality $\left\langle u-\lambda A x^{\prime}, j(p-\right.$ $\left.\left.x^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle \leq 0 \forall p \in F$.

## 4. Strong convergence of explicit iteration scheme FOR FINITE FAMILY OF NONEXPANSIVE MAPPINGS.

In the sequel, we shall assume that $0<\lambda<\left(\frac{q \eta}{L^{q} d_{q}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}}, \alpha_{n} \in$ $\left(0, \min \left\{1, \frac{1}{q \eta \lambda-d_{q}(L \lambda)^{q}}\right\}\right) \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n}=0, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n}=\infty$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha_{n+r}-\alpha_{n}}{\alpha_{n+r}}=0 \Longleftrightarrow \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha_{n}}{\alpha_{n+r}}=1$.
Theorem 4.1. Let $E$ be a q-uniformly smooth real Banach space which admits weakly sequentially continuous generalized duality mapping, let $A: E \rightarrow E$ be an L-Lipschitzian strongly accretive mapping with a constant $\eta>0$. Let $T_{i}: E \rightarrow E, i=1,2, \ldots, r$ be a finite family of nonexpansive mappings such that $\Omega:=\bigcap_{i=1}^{r} F\left(T_{i}\right) \neq \emptyset$. Let $u \in E$ be fixed and $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence in $E$ generated iteratively by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{0} \in E, x_{n+1}=\alpha_{n+1} u+\left(I-\alpha_{n+1} \lambda A\right) T_{n+1} x_{n}, n \geq 0 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{n}=T_{n \bmod r}$ and mod function takes values in $\{1,2, \ldots, r\}$. Suppose that $\Omega=F\left(T_{r} T_{r-1} \ldots T_{1}\right)=F\left(T_{1} T_{r-1} \ldots T_{2}\right)=\ldots$
$=F\left(T_{r-1} \ldots T_{1} T_{r}\right)$, then, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ converges strongly to a solution of the variational inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle u-\lambda A x^{\prime}, j_{q}\left(p-x^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle \leq 0 \forall p \in \Omega . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since the mapping $G=T_{1} T_{2} \ldots T_{r}: E \rightarrow E$ is nonexpansive, then following the method of proof of Theorem 3.5, we have that for all $t \in\left(0, \min \left\{1, \frac{1}{q \eta \lambda-d_{q}(L \lambda)^{q}}\right\}\right)$, there exists unique $z_{t} \in E$ which satisfies $z_{t}=t u+(I-t \lambda A) G z_{t}$, moreover, $\left\{z_{t}\right\}$ converges to a unique solution $x^{\prime} \in F(G)=\Omega$ of (4.2). We now show that the explicit scheme (4.1) converges strongly to $x^{\prime}$. We start by showing first that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ is bounded. Now, let $p \in \Omega$ and set

$$
r:=\max \left\{\left\|x_{0}-p\right\|, \frac{q\|u-\lambda A p\|}{q \eta \lambda-d_{q}(L \lambda)^{q}}\right\} .
$$

We show by induction that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{n}-p\right\| \leq r \forall n \geq 0 \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that for $n=0$ (4.3) clearly holds. Assume for $n \geq 0$ that (4.3) is true. We show that (4.3) is also true for $n+1$. Suppose for contradiction that this does not hold, then

$$
\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|>r \geq\left\|x_{n}-p\right\| .
$$

Thus, using (3.1), (4.1) and Lemma 2.1, we obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|^{q}= & \left\|\alpha_{n+1} u+\left(I-\alpha_{n+1} \lambda A\right) T_{n+1} x_{n}-p\right\|^{q} \\
= & \|\left(I-\alpha_{n+1} \lambda A\right) T_{n+1} x_{n} \\
& -\left(I-\alpha_{n+1} \lambda A\right) p+\alpha_{n+1}(u-\lambda A p) \|^{q} \\
\leq & \left\|\left(I-\alpha_{n+1} \lambda A\right) T_{n+1} x_{n}-\left(I-\alpha_{n+1} \lambda A\right) p\right\|^{q} \\
& +q \alpha_{n+1}\left\langle u-\lambda A p, j_{q}\left(x_{n+1}-p\right)\right\rangle \\
\leq & \left(1-\alpha_{n+1}\left(q \eta \lambda-d_{q}(L \lambda)^{q}\right)\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{q} \\
& +q \alpha_{n+1}\|u-\lambda A p\| \cdot\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|^{q-1} \\
< & \left(1-\alpha_{n+1}\left(q \eta \lambda-d_{q}(L \lambda)^{q}\right)\right)\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|^{q} \\
& +q \alpha_{n+1}\|u-\lambda A p\| \cdot\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|^{q-1} . \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Inequality (4.4) implies that

$$
\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|<\frac{q\|u-\lambda A p\|}{q \eta \lambda-d_{q}(L \lambda)^{q}},
$$

a contradiction. Hence, the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ is bounded. Consequently,
$\left\{T_{n+1} x_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ and $\left\{A T_{n+1} x_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ are also both bounded.
Furthermore, using (4.1),

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{n+r}-x_{n}= & \left(\alpha_{n+r}-\alpha_{n}\right) u+\left(I-\alpha_{n+r} \lambda A\right) T_{n+r} x_{n+r-1}  \tag{4.5}\\
& -\left(I-\alpha_{n+r} \lambda A\right) T_{n} x_{n-1}-\left(\alpha_{n+r}-\alpha_{n}\right) \lambda A T_{n} x_{n-1} .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, using the fact that $T_{n+r}=T_{n}$, we obtain from (4.5) using Lemma 2.1 that for some constant $M_{5}>0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|x_{n+r}-x_{n}\right\|^{q} \leq & \left(1-\alpha_{n+r}\left(q \eta \lambda-d_{q}(L \lambda)^{q}\right)\right)\left\|x_{n+r-1}-x_{n-1}\right\|^{q} \\
& +\left|\alpha_{n+r}-\alpha_{n}\right| M_{5} \\
= & \left(1-\alpha_{n+r}\left(q \eta \lambda-d_{q}(L \lambda)^{q}\right)\right)\left\|x_{n+r-1}-x_{n-1}\right\|^{q} \\
& +M_{5} \alpha_{n+r} \frac{\left|\alpha_{n+r}-\alpha_{n}\right|}{\alpha_{n+r}} \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

So, using (4.6), we obtain from Lemma 2.2 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n+r}-x_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, from the recursion formula (4.1) and for some constant $M_{6}>0$, we obtain

$$
\left\|x_{n+1}-T_{n+1} x_{n}\right\|=\alpha_{n+1}\left\|u-\lambda A T_{n+1} x_{n}\right\| \leq \alpha_{n+1} M_{6}
$$

Thus,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n+1}-T_{n+1} x_{n}\right\|=0
$$

Similar argument shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+r}-T_{n+r} x_{n+r-1} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty . \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we obtain using (4.8) and the fact that $T_{n}$ is nonexpansive that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{n+r}-T_{n+r} x_{n+r-1} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \\
& T_{n+r} x_{n+r-1}-T_{n+r} T_{n+r-1} x_{n+r-2} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \\
& T_{n+r} T_{n+r-1} x_{n+r-2}-T_{n+r} T_{n+r-1} T_{n+r-2} x_{n+r-3} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \\
& \vdots \\
& T_{n+r} \ldots T_{n+2} x_{n+1}-T_{n+r \ldots} T_{n+2} T_{n+1} x_{n} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

Adding up these yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+r}-T_{n+r} \ldots T_{n+2} T_{n+1} x_{n} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty . \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

But,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|x_{n}-T_{n+r} \ldots T_{n+2} T_{n+1} x_{n}\right\| \leq & \left\|x_{n}-x_{n+r}\right\| \\
& +\left\|x_{n+r}-T_{n+r} \ldots T_{n+2} T_{n+1} x_{n}\right\| \tag{4.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, using (4.7), (4.9) and (4.10), we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-T_{n+r} \ldots T_{n+2} T_{n+1} x_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we show that

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle u-\lambda A x^{\prime}, j_{q}\left(x_{n}-x^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle \leq 0
$$

Let $\left\{x_{n_{k}}\right\}_{k \geq 1}$ be a subsequence of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ such that

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle u-\lambda A x^{\prime}, j_{q}\left(x_{n}-x^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle u-\lambda A x^{\prime}, j_{q}\left(x_{n_{k}}-x^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle .
$$

Since $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ is bounded and since $E$ is a reflexive real Banach space, there exists a subsequence $\left\{x_{n_{k_{m}}}\right\}_{m \geq 1}$ of $\left\{x_{n_{k}}\right\}_{k \geq 1}$ such that $\left\{x_{n_{k_{m}}}\right\}_{m \geq 1}$ converges weakly to some $p^{*} \in E$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $n_{k_{m}}$ is such that $T_{n_{k_{m}}}=T_{i}$ for some $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, r\}$, for all $m \geq 1$. It therefore follows from (4.11) that

$$
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n_{k_{m}}}-T_{i+r} \ldots T_{i+2} T_{i+1} x_{n_{k_{m}}}\right\|=0
$$

So, by Lemma 2.7, $p^{*} \in F\left(T_{i+r} \ldots T_{i+2} T_{i+1}\right)=\Omega$. Thus, since $E$ has weakly sequential continuous generalized duality mapping, we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle u-\lambda A x^{\prime}, j_{q}\left(x_{n}-x^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle & =\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle u-\lambda A x^{\prime}, j_{q}\left(x_{n_{k}}-x^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle \\
& =\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle u-\lambda A x^{\prime}, j_{q}\left(x_{n_{k_{m}}}-x^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle u-\lambda A x^{\prime}, j_{q}\left(p^{*}-x^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle \leq 0 \tag{4.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, setting

$$
\theta_{n}=\max \left\{0,\left\langle u-\lambda A x^{\prime}, j_{q}\left(x_{n}-x^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle\right\},
$$

then it is easy to see that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta_{n}=0$. Furthermore, we obtain from the recursion formula (4.1) using Lemma 2.1 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x_{n+1}-x^{\prime}\right\|^{q} \leq & \left(1-\alpha_{n+1}\left(q \eta \lambda-d_{q}(\lambda L)^{q}\right)\right)\left\|x_{n}-x^{\prime}\right\|^{q} \\
& +q \alpha_{n+1}\left\langle u-\lambda A x^{\prime}, j_{q}\left(x_{n+1}-x^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle \\
\leq & \left(1-\alpha_{n+1}\left(q \eta \lambda-d_{q}(\lambda L)^{q}\right)\right)\left\|x_{n}-x^{\prime}\right\|^{q}+\delta_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\forall n \geq 0$, where $\delta_{n}=\alpha_{n+1} q\left(q \eta \lambda-d_{q}(\lambda L)^{q}\right)\left[\frac{\theta_{n+1}}{q \eta \lambda-d_{q}(\lambda L)^{q}}\right]$, which is clear $o\left(\alpha_{n+1}\right)$. Hence, by Lemma 2.2, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ converges strongly to $x^{\prime} \in \Omega$ which is a unique solution of (4.2). This completes the Proof.

Remark 4.2. We note that some authors (see e.g., Xu [45]) had made the assumption that

$$
\Omega=F\left(T_{r} T_{r-1} \ldots T_{1}\right)=F\left(T_{1} T_{r-1} \ldots T_{2}\right)=\ldots=F\left(T_{r-1} \ldots T_{1} T_{r}\right) .
$$

We now consider a situation where this condition is dispensed with.
Example 4.3. Let $E$ be a strictly convex $q$-uniformly smooth real Banach space which admits weakly sequentially continuous generalized duality mapping, let $A: E \rightarrow E$ be an $L$-Lipschitzian strongly accretive mapping with a constant $\eta>0$. Let $T_{i}: E \rightarrow$ $E, i=1,2, \ldots, r$ be a finite family of nonexpansive mappings such that $\Omega:=\bigcap_{i=1}^{r} F\left(T_{i}\right) \neq \emptyset$ and $S_{i}=\left(1-\omega_{i}\right) I+\omega_{i} T_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, r$. Let $u \in E$ be fixed and let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence in $E$ generated iteratively by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{0} \in E, x_{n+1}=\alpha_{n+1} u+\left(I-\alpha_{n+1} \lambda A\right) S_{n+1} x_{n}, n \geq 0 \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S_{n}=S_{n \bmod r}$ and $\bmod$ function takes values in $\{1,2, \ldots, r\}$, then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ converges strongly to a solution of the variational inequality (4.2)
Proof. By Lemma 2.4, $\bigcap_{i=1}^{r} F\left(S_{i}\right)=\bigcap_{i=1}^{r} F\left(T_{i}\right)$ and $\bigcap_{i=1}^{r} F\left(S_{i}\right)$
$=F\left(S_{r} S_{r-1} \ldots S_{1}\right)=F\left(S_{1} S_{r-1} \ldots S_{2}\right)=\ldots=F\left(S_{r-1} \ldots S_{1} S_{r}\right)$. The rest follows as in the proof of Theorem 4.1.
Corollary 4.4. Let $E$ be a q-uniformly smooth real Banach space which admits weakly sequentially continuous generalized duality mapping, let $A: E \rightarrow E$ be an L-Lipschitzian strongly accretive mapping with a constant $\eta>0$. Let $T: E \rightarrow E$, be a nonexpansive
mapping such that $F(T) \neq \emptyset$. Let $u \in E$ be fixed and let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence in $E$ generated iteratively by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{0} \in E, x_{n+1}=\alpha_{n+1} u+\left(I-\alpha_{n+1} \lambda A\right) T x_{n}, n \geq 0 \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ converges strongly to a unique $x^{\prime} \in F(T)$ which is a solution of the variational inequality (3.6)

Proof. Follows as in the proof of Theorem 4.1 using Theorem 3.5.

Remark 4.5. We remark that corollaries synonymous to Corollaries $3.6,3.8,3.9$ and 3.10 are obtainable in this section. But we must note, however, that though Theorem 4.1, Corollary 4.3 and Corollary 4.4 hold in the real sequence space $\ell^{q}$, they do not hold in $L^{q}(\mathbb{R})$ for $1<q<+\infty, q \neq 2$ since $L^{q}(\mathbb{R}), q \neq 2$ do not possess weakly sequentially continuous duality mapping. All our theorems thus hold in real Hilbert space.

## 5. Applications

## Convergence Theorem for families of strictly PSEUDOCONTRACTIVE MAPPINGS.

Let $E$ be a normed space. A mapping $T: E \rightarrow E$ is called $k$-strictly pseudocontractive if and only if there exists a real constant $k>0$ such that for all $x, y \in D(T)$ there exists $j(x-y) \in J(x-y)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle T x-T y, j(x-y)\rangle \leq\|x-y\|^{2}-k\|x-y-(T x-T y)\|^{2} . \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Without loss of generality we may assume that $k \in(0,1)$. If $I$ denotes the identity operator, then (5.1) can be re-written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle(I-T) x-(I-T) y, j(x-y)\rangle \geq k \|(I-T) x-(I-T) y) \|^{2} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Hilbert spaces, (5.1) (or equivalently (5.2)) is equivalent to the inequality

$$
\|T x-T y\|^{2} \leq\|x-y\|^{2}+\beta\|(I-T) x-(I-T) y\|^{2},
$$

where $\beta=(1-k)<1$. It was shown in [30] that if $T$ is $k$-strictly pseudocontractive, then the following inequality holds

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle(I-T) x-(I-T) y, j_{q}(x-y)\right\rangle \\
& \left.\quad \geq k^{q-1} \|(I-T) x-(I-T) y\right) \|^{q} \tag{5.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, if $E$ is a $q$-uniformly smooth real Banach space; and $T$ : $E \rightarrow E$ is a $k$-strictly pseudocontractive mapping, then for the
$\left.\operatorname{map} T_{a}:=(1-a) I+a T\right): E \rightarrow E$ (where $I$ is the identity map of $E$ and $a>0$ ), we obtain by Lemma 2.5 using (5.3) that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|T_{a} x-T_{a} y\right\|^{q}= & \|x-y-a((I-T) x-(I-T) y)\|^{q} \\
\leq & \|x-y\|^{q}-q a\left\langle(I-T) x-(I-T) y, j_{q}(x-y)\right\rangle \\
& +d_{q} a^{q}\|(I-T) x-(I-T) y\|^{q} \\
\leq & \|x-y\|^{q}-a\left(k^{q-1} q-d_{q} a^{q-1}\right)\|A x-A y\|^{q},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $A=(I-T)$. If $a$ is such that $0<a<\left(\frac{q k^{q-1}}{d_{q}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}}$, we have that the mapping $T_{a}$ is nonexpansive. It is also easy to see that the fixed point set of $T_{a}$ and that of $T$ coincide.

Thus, we have the following theorem
Theorem 5.1. Let $E$ be a q-uniformly smooth real Banach space which admits weakly sequential continuous generalized duality mapping, let $A: E \rightarrow E$ be an L-Lipschitzian strongly accretive mapping with a constant $\eta>0$. Let $T_{i}: E \rightarrow E, i=1,2, \ldots, r$ be a finite family of $k$-striclty pseudocontractive mappings such that $\Omega^{*}:=$ $\bigcap_{i=1}^{r} F\left(T_{i}\right) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\left\{a_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{r}$ be such that $0<a_{i}<\left(\frac{q k^{q-1}}{d_{q}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}}, i=$ $1,2, \ldots, r$ and define $T_{a_{i}}=\left(1-a_{i}\right) I+a_{i} T_{i}$. Let $u \in E$ be fixed and $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence in $E$ generated iteratively by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{0} \in E, x_{n+1}=\alpha_{n+1} u+\left(I-\alpha_{n+1} \lambda A\right) T_{a_{n+1}} x_{n}, n \geq 0 \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{a_{n}}=T_{a_{n \bmod r}}$ and mod function takes values in $\{1,2, \ldots, r\}$. Suppose that $\Omega^{*}=F\left(T_{a_{r}} T_{a_{r-1}} \ldots T_{a_{1}}\right)=F\left(T_{a_{1}} T_{a_{r-1}} \ldots T_{a_{2}}\right)=\ldots=$ $F\left(T_{a_{r-1}} \ldots T_{a_{1}} T_{a_{r}}\right)$, then, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ converges strongly to a solution of the variational inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle u-\lambda A x^{\prime}, j_{q}\left(p-x^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle \leq 0 \forall p \in \Omega^{*} . \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary 5.2. Let $E$ be a strictly convex $q$-uniformly smooth real Banach space which admits weakly sequentially continuous generalized duality mapping, let $A: E \rightarrow E$ be an L-Lipschitzian strongly accretive mapping with a constant $\eta>0$. Let $T_{i}: E \rightarrow E, i=$ $1,2, \ldots, r$ be a finite family of $k$-striclty pseudocontractive mappings such that $\Omega:=\bigcap_{i=1}^{r} F\left(T_{i}\right) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\left\{a_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{r}$ be such that $0<a_{i}<$ $\left(\frac{q k^{q-1}}{d_{q}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}}, i=1,2, \ldots, r$ and define $T_{a_{i}}=\left(1-a_{i}\right) I+a_{i} T_{i}$ and
$S_{a_{i}}=\left(1-\omega_{i}\right) I+\omega_{i} T_{a_{i}}, i=1,2, \ldots, r$. Let $u \in E$ be fixed and let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence in $E$ generated iteratively by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{0} \in E, x_{n+1}=\alpha_{n+1} u+\left(I-\alpha_{n+1} \lambda A\right) S_{a_{n+1}} x_{n}, n \geq 0, \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S_{a_{n}}=S_{n \bmod r}$ and mod function takes values in $\{1,2, \ldots, r\}$. Then, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ converges strongly to a solution of the variational inequality (5.5).

Corollary 5.3. Let E be a q-uniformly smooth real Banach space which admits weakly sequentially continuous generalized duality mapping, let $A: E \rightarrow E$ be an L-Lipschitzian strongly accretive mapping with a constant $\eta>0$. Let $T: E \rightarrow E$, be a $k$-striclty pseudocontractive mapping such that $F(T) \neq \emptyset$. For some $0<a<$ $\left(\frac{q k^{q-1}}{d_{q}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}}$ and define $T_{a}=(1-a) I+a T$. Let $u \in E$ be fixed and let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence in $E$ generated iteratively by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{0} \in E, x_{n+1}=\alpha_{n+1} u+\left(I-\alpha_{n+1} \lambda A\right) T_{a} x_{n}, n \geq 0, \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

then, $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ converges strongly to a unique $x^{\prime} \in F(T)$ which is a solution of the variational inequality (3.6)

Remark 5.4. Prototype for our iteration parameter $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ (see e.g. [45]) is given by

$$
\alpha_{n}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \text { if } n \text { is odd } \\
\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}-1} \text { if } n \text { is even }
\end{array}\right.
$$

If we assume that $r$ is odd, since the case $r$ being even is similar, it is not difficult to see that

$$
\frac{\alpha_{n}}{\alpha_{n+r}}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\sqrt{n+r}-1}{\sqrt{n}} \text { if } n \text { is odd } \\
\frac{\sqrt{n+r}}{\sqrt{n}-1} \text { if } n \text { is even }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Remark 5.5. It is easy to see that Corollary 4.3 is an obvious improvement on the corresponding results of [45] in the sense that the condition

$$
\Omega=F\left(T_{r} T_{r-1} \ldots T_{1}\right)=F\left(T_{1} T_{r-1} \ldots T_{2}\right)=\ldots=F\left(T_{r-1} \ldots T_{1} T_{r}\right)
$$

imposed in [45] is dispensed with.
Remark 5.6. When $E=H$, a Hilbert space, $\lambda=1$ and $A$ is a bounded linear strongly positive operator, our iteration process (4.1) reduces to the iteration scheme studied by Xu [45]. If the fixed vector $u \in E$ is identically equal to the zero vector of $H$; if
the mapping $A$ a strongly monotone operator; and we consider a single nonexpansive mapping, (4.1) reduces to the scheme studied by Yamada [46]. We recall that the results of Xu [45] and Yamada [46] remain in Hilbert spaces. Our theorems, therefore, complement the results of these authors.

Remark 5.7. It is well known that in a uniformly convex and uniformly smooth real Banach space, $x^{\prime} \in F(T)$ is a solution of variational inequality $\left\langle(\gamma f-\mu A) x^{\prime}, y-x^{\prime}\right\rangle \leq 0 \forall y \in F(T)$ if and only if $P_{F(T)}(I-(\gamma f-\mu A)) x^{\prime}=x^{\prime}$, where $P_{F(T)}$ is the metric projection of $E$ onto $F(T)$. Furthermore, if $E=H$ is a Hilbert space, we can easily show that if $0<\mu<\frac{2 \eta}{L^{2}}$ (where $L$ is the Lipschitz constant of the strongly accretive operator $A$ ), then the mapping $P_{F(T)}(I-(\gamma f-\mu A)$ is a strict contraction on $H$ (using the fact that the metric projection $P_{F(T)}$ is nonexpansive in this case). Thus, Banach contraction mapping principle gives existence of a unique $x^{*} \in H$ such that $P_{F(T)}\left(I-(\gamma f-\mu A) x^{*}=x^{*}\right.$. One may therefore wonder why the Picard's iterative method given by

$$
(* *) \quad x_{0} \in H, x_{n+1}=P_{F(T)}\left(I-(\gamma f-\mu A) x_{n}, n \geq 0\right.
$$

was not employed for this problem in Hilbert space. It happens that theoretically, the Picard's iteration method $(* *)$ works, but in application, it seems difficult to be used since the projection operator $P_{F(T)}$ is not readily handy. Besides, we must not that the projection operator is not necessarily nonexpansive in spaces more general than Hilbert space. Hence, construction of iterative methods which do not involve metric projections become a necessity.
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