

ON SPAN OF FLAG MANIFOLDS $\mathbb{R}F(1, 1, 1, n - 3)$

DEBORAH O. A. AJAYI

ABSTRACT. We obtain bounds for the span of the incomplete flag manifold of length 3, $\mathbb{R}F(1, 1, 1, n - 3)$, $n \geq 4$ using suitable fiberings where $\mathbb{R}F(1, 1, 1, n - 3)$ is either a total space or base space. We obtain exact values for $n = 5$ and 6 using non-vanishing Stiefel-Whitney classes.

Keywords and phrases: real flag manifold, span, vector field problem, Stiefel-Whitney classes, fiber bundles

2010 Mathematical Subject Classification: Primary: 57R25; Secondary 57R20, 55R10

1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF THE RESULT

For vector bundle η over a base space X , *span* of η , ($\text{span}(\eta)$) is the largest integer r such that η admits r everywhere linearly independent sections. When X is a smooth manifold $\text{span}(X)$ is defined to be the span of its tangent bundle, $\tau(X)$. The span is an important geometric characteristic of manifolds whose study has attracted some attention. Much is not known about the span of flag manifold $\mathbb{R}F(1, 1, \underbrace{\dots, 1}_k, n - k)$ [9].

For $\mathbb{R}P^{n-1}$ which is the real projective space $\mathbb{R}P^{n-1}$, the span is known to coincide with the span of the $n - 1$ -sphere, S^{n-1} , that is,

$$\text{span}\mathbb{R}P^{n-1} = \text{span}S^{n-1} = \rho(n)$$

(cf.[8]) where $\rho(n) = 2^c + 8d - 1$ if n is expressed as $(2a + 1)2^{c+4d}$, $a, c, d \geq 0$, $c \leq 3$.

In the case of $\mathbb{R}F(1, 1, n - 2)$, there are estimates of its span but the value has been determined only in the following cases: all n even except $n \equiv 0 \pmod{16}$ and for n odd, only when $n = 5$ and $n = 2^t + 3, t \geq 3$ (cf. [5, 7]).

The manifold $\mathbb{R}F(1, 1, 1, n - 3)$ is a smooth connected compact homogeneous space of dimension $3n - 6$. This manifold is non-orientable when n is odd. From the classical theorem of Hopf ([12]),

we have that $\mathbb{R}F(1, 1, 1, n-3)$ has positive span since its Euler characteristic $\chi(\mathbb{R}F(1, 1, 1, n-3)) = 0$ (cf [8]).

Let ν_1, ν_2, ν_3 be the canonical line bundles, ν_4 the $n-3$ bundle, over $\mathbb{R}F(1, 1, 1, n-3)$, and $x = w_1(\nu_1), y = w_1(\nu_2), z = w_1(\nu_3)$ be the Stiefel-Whitney classes of ν_1, ν_2, ν_3 respectively. Let $\sigma_1 = x + y + z, \sigma_2 = xy + yz + xz, \sigma_3 = xyz$.

The \mathbb{Z}_2 -cohomology algebra, $H^*(\mathbb{R}F(1, 1, 1, n-3), \mathbb{Z}_2)$ can be identified with $\mathbb{Z}_2[x, y, z]$ subject to the relations $\bar{\sigma}_{n-2} = \bar{\sigma}_{n-1} = \bar{\sigma}_n = 0$ where $\bar{\sigma}_i = \bar{\sigma}_i(x, y, z)$ is the i -th complete symmetric function in x, y and z so that $x^n = 0 = y^n = z^n$ [4].

The tangent bundle of $\mathbb{R}F(1, 1, 1, n-3)$, $\tau(\mathbb{R}F(1, 1, 1, n-3))$ can be expressed as

$$\tau(\mathbb{R}F(1, 1, 1, n-3)) \cong \oplus_{1 \leq i, j \leq 4} \nu_i \otimes \nu_j. \quad (1)$$

A manifold closely related to $\mathbb{R}F(1, 1, 1, n-3)$ is the Grassmann manifold of 3-planes in \mathbb{R}^n , $\mathbb{R}F(3, n-3)$. The manifold $\mathbb{R}F(1, 1, 1, n-3)$ naturally fibers over $\mathbb{R}F(3, n-3)$. The projection

$$\mathbb{R}F(1, 1, 1, n-3) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}F(3, n-3) \quad (2)$$

is a smooth fibration with fibre, the parallelizable flag $\mathbb{R}F(1, 1, 1)$. A few results on the span of $\mathbb{R}F(3, n-3)$ is known (cf.[3]).

Another manifold related to $\mathbb{R}F(1, 1, 1, n-3)$ is the projective Stiefel manifold, $X_{n,3}$. The projection map

$$X_{n,3} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}F(1, 1, 1, n-3) \quad (3)$$

is a principal fibration [10], Korbas and Zvengrowski [9] gave some results on $\text{span} X_{n,3}$.

The only known bound of $\text{span} \mathbb{R}F(1, 1, 1, n-3)$ is the lower bound given for a general flag manifold by Korbaš[7] and the only known value is that of $n = 4$, the only parallelizable flag of length 3, $\mathbb{R}F(1, 1, 1, 1)$, whose span is 6.

The aim of this paper is to give bounds for the span of $\mathbb{R}F(1, 1, 1, n-3)$ using fibre bundles with $\mathbb{R}F(1, 1, 1, n-3)$ as total space and $\mathbb{R}F(3, n-3)$ and $\mathbb{R}F(1, 1, n-2)$ as base spaces, respectively; and fibre bundles with $X_{n,3}$ as total space and $\mathbb{R}F(1, 1, 1, n-3)$ as base space. In particular, we determine the span for $n = 5, 6$ using non-vanishing Stiefel-Whitney classes of $\mathbb{R}F(1, 1, 1, 2)$ and $\mathbb{R}F(1, 1, 1, 3)$ respectively. Among others, we give the following bounds:
For n odd:

$$4 \leq \text{span } \mathbb{R}F(1, 1, 1, 2^m - 2) \leq 2^{m+1} - 3, \quad m \geq 3$$

$$\text{span } \mathbb{R}F(1, 1, 1, n-3) \geq 3.$$

For n even:

$$\text{span } \mathbb{R}F(1, 1, 1, 2^m - 5) \leq 2^{m+1} - 6, \quad m \geq 3.$$

We also give the following exact values:

$$\text{span } \mathbb{R}F(1, 1, 1, 2) = 3$$

$$\text{span } \mathbb{R}F(1, 1, 1, 3) = 7$$

2. PROOF OF RESULTS

We need the following results,

Theorem 1:[8] If $F \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow B$ is a smooth fibre bundle, then $\text{span } E \geq \text{span } B$.

The smooth fibrations

$$\mathbb{R}F(1, 1, 1, n - 3) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}F(3, n - 3)$$

and

$$\mathbb{R}F(1, 1, 1, n - 3) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}F(1, 1, n - 2)$$

yield the following:

Proposition 1:

$$\text{span } \mathbb{R}F(1, 1, 1, n - 3) \geq \text{span } \mathbb{R}F(3, n - 3)$$

$$\text{span } \mathbb{R}F(1, 1, 1, n - 3) \geq \text{span } \mathbb{R}F(1, 1, n - 2).$$

By Korbas [6], we have the following lower bound results:

Proposition 2: $\text{span } \mathbb{R}F(1, 1, 1, n - 3) \geq \rho(n)$

Applying Propositions 1 and 2 we have the following lower bounds:

Proposition 3:

$$\text{span } \mathbb{R}F(1, 1, 1, n - 3) \geq \begin{cases} 1 & n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}; \text{ or } n \text{ odd} \\ 3 & n \equiv 4 \pmod{8} \\ 7 & n \equiv 8 \pmod{16} \\ 8 & n \equiv 16 \pmod{32} \\ 4 & n = 2^m + 1, m \geq 3 \end{cases}$$

Proof: For $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $n \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$ and $n \equiv 8 \pmod{16}$, the result follows from Ilori and Ajayi's results on span of $\mathbb{R}F(1, 1, n - 2)$ [5] and Proposition 1. Alternatively, if n is odd then $\rho(n) = 1$;

if $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ then $n = 2(1 + 2k)$ implies $a = k, c = 1, d = 0$ and $\rho(n) = 1$;

if $n \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$ then $n = 2^2(1 + 2k)$ this implies $a = k, c = 2, d = 0$ and $\rho(n) = 3$;

if $n \equiv 8 \pmod{16}$ then $n = 2^3(1 + 2k)$ this implies $a = k, c = 3, d = 0$ and $\rho(n) = 7$;

if $n \equiv 16 \pmod{32}$ then $n = 2^4(1 + 2k)$ this implies $a = k, c = 0, d = 1$ and $\rho(n) = 8$.

Using Proposition 2 we have the results.

The proof of the last inequality follows from $\text{span } \mathbb{R}F(1, 1, 2^m - 1) \geq 4$ (cf. [7]) and Proposition 1.

From [9], the span of $X_{n,3}$ is related to the span of $\mathbb{R}F(1, 1, 1, n-3)$ as follows:

Proposition 4: $\text{span } X_{n,3} \geq \text{span } \mathbb{R}F(1, 1, 1, n-3)$.

Results on the span of $X_{n,3}$ (cf.[9]) yield the following upper bounds

Proposition 5:

$$\text{span } \mathbb{R}F(1, 1, 1, 2^m - 5) \leq 2^{m+1} - 6; \quad m \geq 3$$

$$\text{span } \mathbb{R}F(1, 1, 1, 2^m - 2) \leq 2^{m+1} - 3; \quad m \geq 2.$$

To obtain lower bounds for span of manifolds, the knowledge of Stiefel-Whitney classes have proved important because of the following:

Proposition 6: [12] If $w_k(M) \neq 0$, then $\text{span } M \leq m - k$ where m is the dimension of M .

Results on Stiefel-Whitney classes of $\mathbb{R}F(1, 1, 1, n-3)$ in [1] yield,

Proposition 7: If n is even, then $w_3 = \sigma_1\sigma_2 + \sigma_3 \neq 0$; if $n \equiv 2, 4, 6 \pmod{8}$ then $w_4 \neq 0$; if $n \equiv 2, 6 \pmod{8}$ then $w_5 = \sigma^2(\sigma_1\sigma_2 + \sigma_3) \neq 0$; if $n \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ then $w_6 = \sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_3 \neq 0$; and if $n \equiv 2 \pmod{8}$ then $w_7 = \sigma_1^4(\sigma_1\sigma_2 + \sigma_3) \neq 0$.

Proposition 7 together with Proposition 6 yield:

Corollary 1: If $n \equiv 2 \pmod{8}$ then $\text{span } \mathbb{R}F(1, 1, 1, n-3) \leq 3n-13$, if $n \equiv 6 \pmod{8}$ then $\text{span } \mathbb{R}F(1, 1, 1, n-3) \leq 3n-11$, if $n \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ then $\text{span } \mathbb{R}F(1, 1, 1, n-3) \leq 3n-12$ if $n \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$ then $\text{span } \mathbb{R}F(1, 1, 1, n-3) \leq 3n-10$ and if $n \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$ then $\text{span } \mathbb{R}F(1, 1, 1, n-3) \leq 3n-9$.

Theorem 2:

$$\text{span } \mathbb{R}F(1, 1, 1, 2) = 3$$

$$\text{span } \mathbb{R}F(1, 1, 1, 3) = 7$$

Proof:

$$\text{span } \mathbb{R}F(1, 1, 3) = 3 \Rightarrow \text{span } \mathbb{R}F(1, 1, 1, 2) \geq 3$$

and

$$\text{span } X_{5,3} = 5 \Rightarrow \text{span } \mathbb{R}F(1, 1, 1, n-3) \leq 5$$

i.e.

$$3 \leq \text{span } \mathbb{R}F(1, 1, 1, 2) \leq 5.$$

From [1], for $n = 5$, $w_6(\mathbb{R}F(1, 1, 1, 2)) = \sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_3 \neq 0$. Since $\dim \mathbb{R}F(1, 1, 1, 2) = 9$, and using Proposition 5 we have $\text{span } \mathbb{R}F(1, 1, 1, 2) \leq 3$. Hence the result.

$$\text{span } \mathbb{R}F(3, 3) = 7 \text{ implies } \text{span } \mathbb{R}F(1, 1, 1, 3) \geq 7$$

and

$$\text{span } X_{6,3} = 10 \Rightarrow \text{span } \mathbb{R}F(1, 1, 1, 3) \leq 10$$

i.e.

$$7 \leq \text{span } \mathbb{R}F(1, 1, 1, 3) \leq 10.$$

We know by [1], that $w_5 = \sigma_1^2(\sigma_1\sigma_2 + \sigma_3)$ for $n \equiv 6(8)$ and $\sigma_1\sigma_2 + \sigma_3 \neq 0$ and $\sigma_1^2 \neq 0$. Therefore, $w_5 \neq 0$ and $\text{span } \mathbb{R}F(1, 1, 1, 3) \leq 12 - 5 = 7$ gives the result.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. O. A. Ajayi: *Stiefel-Whitney classes of the real flag manifolds $F_3(n)$* J. Nig. Math. Soc. **20** (2001), 59-64
- [2] D. O. Ajayi and S. A. Ilori: *Stiefel-Whitney classes of the flag manifold $\mathbb{R}F(1, 1, n-2)$* . Czechoslovak Math. J. **52** (127) (2002), 17 - 21.
- [3] V. Bartik and J. Korbaš: *Stiefel-Whitney Characteristic classes and parallelizability of Grassmann manifolds*. Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (II) **33** (Suppl. 6) 19-29 (1984)
- [4] A. Borel: *La cohomologie mod 2 de certains espaces homogènes*. Comment. Math. Helvetici **27** (1953), 165 - 197.
- [5] S. A. Ilori and D.O. Ajayi: *Vector fields on the real flag manifolds $\mathbb{R}F(1, 1, n-2)$* . Math. Slovaca **58** (2008), 127-129.

- [6] J. Korbaš: *Vector fields on real flag manifolds*. Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **3** (1985), 173 -184.
- [7] J. Korbaš: *On the vector field problem $O(n)/O(1) \times O(1) \times O(n-2)$* Acta Math. Hungar. **105** (1-2) (2004), 129-137
- [8] J. Korbaš and P. Zvengrowski: *The vector field problem: A survey with emphasis on specific manifolds*. Expo. Math. **12** (1994), 1 - 30.
- [9] J. Korbaš and P. Zvengrowski: *The vector field problem for Projective Stiefel Manifolds*. Boletín de la Sociedad Matemática Mexicana 3a. Serie 15 (2) (2009) 219-234
- [10] K. Y. Lam: *A formula for the tangent bundle of flag manifolds and related maifolds* Trans. Amer. Soc. 213 (1975), 305-314
- [11] J. Milnor and J. Stasheff: *Characteristic Classes*. Annals of Mathematics Studies vol **76**, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1974
- [12] E. Thomas: *Vector fields on manifolds*. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **75** (1969), 643 - 683.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF IBADAN, IBADAN, NIGERIA

E-mail address: olayide.ajayi@ui.edu.ng