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#### Abstract

New conditions of integral type are obtained for the existence of periodic solutions of a certain class of sixth and fifth order equations, and for non-existence of periodic solutions of their corresponding homogeneous equations.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

In a recent paper [3] we examined the problem of existence of periodic solutions of fourth order nonlinear ordinary differential equations of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{(4)}+g_{1}(\dot{x}, \ddot{x}) \dddot{x}+g_{2}(\dot{x}) \ddot{x}+g_{3}(\dot{x})+g_{4}(x)=p(t, x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x}, \dddot{x}), \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$p(t+\omega, x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x}, \dddot{x})=p(t, x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x}, \dddot{x})$ for some periodic $\omega>0$, and the problem of nonexistence of periodic solution for the corresponding homogeneous equation (1.1) with $p \equiv 0$. Our main interest in that study was in obtaining conditions that place restrictions on the integral of $g_{1}$ and, or, $g_{3}$ rather than directly on the functions $g_{1}$ and $g_{3}$ as in previous investigations, and this resulted in relatively weaker conditions for existence and nonexistence of periodic solutions of (1.1). An additional feature of that study [3] is the full blown nonlinear terms involved in the equation. The present paper is a continuation of our study in [3] to a class of sixth and fifth order ordinary differential equations.

[^0]To start with, consider the linear constant-coefficient sixth order ordinary differential equation

$$
\begin{gather*}
x^{(6)}+a_{1} x^{(5)}+a_{2} x^{(4)}+a_{3} \dddot{x}+a_{4} \ddot{x}+a_{5} \dot{x}+a_{6} x=p(t),  \tag{1.2}\\
p(t+\omega)=p(t) .
\end{gather*}
$$

It can be readily verified (as in [1,4]) that if either of the conditions
(I) $a_{1} \neq 0$, sgna $_{1}=\operatorname{sgna}_{5},\left(\operatorname{sgna}_{1}\right) a_{3}<0 \quad\left(a_{2}, a_{4}, a_{6}\right.$ arbitrary $)$
(II) $a_{2}<0, a_{4}>0, a_{6}<0 \quad\left(a_{1}, a_{3}, a_{5}\right.$ arbitrary)
holds, then (1.2) with $p \equiv 0$, has no nontrivial periodic solutions, and the equation (1.2) with $p \neq 0$ has a unique $\omega$-periodic solution.
In the fifth order case

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{(5)}+b_{1} x^{(4)}+b_{2} \dddot{x}+b_{3} \ddot{x}+b_{4} \dot{x}+b_{5} x=p(t), p(t+\omega)=p(t), \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

the corresponding conditions are

$$
\text { (III) } b_{1} \neq 0, \operatorname{sgnb}_{1}=\operatorname{sgnb}_{5}, b_{3} \operatorname{sgn}_{1}<0
$$

(IV) $b_{2}<0, b_{4}>0$
$b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, b_{4}, b_{5}$ and $b_{6}$ constants. Observe that each of the conditions (I) and (III) incorporates two conditions into one. Furthermore in the two equations $(1,2)$, $(1.3)$ two sets of different conditions, one involving terms with odd subscripts and the other even subscripts ((I), (III); (II), (IV)) ensure the existence or nonexistence of periodic solutions. This odd and even subscripts feature runs through the generalized criteria obtained for the nonlinear equations studied here.

## 2. STATEMENT OF RESULTS - SIXTH ORDER EQUATIONS

We shall be concerned with sixth order equations of the forms

$$
\begin{gather*}
x^{(6)}+f_{1}\left(x^{(4)}\right) x^{(5)}+f_{2}(\dddot{x}) x^{(4)}+f_{3}(\ddot{x}) \dddot{x}+f_{4}(\ddot{x})+f_{5}(\dot{x}) \\
+a_{6} x=p_{1}\left(t, x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x}, \dddot{x}, x^{(4)}, x^{(5)}\right)  \tag{2.1}\\
x^{(6)}+a_{1} x^{(5)}+g_{2}(\dddot{x}) x^{(4)}+g_{3}(\ddot{x}) \dddot{x}+g_{4}(\dot{x}) \ddot{x}+g_{5}(\dot{x}) \\
+g_{6}(x)=p_{2}\left(t, x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x}, \dddot{x}, x^{(4)}, x^{(5)}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

in which $a_{1}, a_{6}$ are constants, $f_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, 5, g_{i}, i=2, \ldots, 6$ and $p_{i}, i=1,2$, are real-valued continuous functions of their respective arguments, and $p_{i}\left(t+\omega, x, \ldots, x^{(5)}\right)=p_{i}\left(t, x, \ldots, x^{(5)}\right), i=1,2$ for some constant $\omega>0$. Corresponding respectively to the conditions (I) and (II) above we obtain the following results for the equations (2.1), (2.2). Assume that $f_{5}^{\prime}(y), g_{6}^{\prime}(x)$ exist and are continuous for all $y$ and $x$.

Theorem 1. Let $a \neq 0$ be an arbitrary constant and suppose that

$$
\begin{gather*}
(\operatorname{sgna}) \frac{F_{1}(v)}{v}>0,(\operatorname{sgna}) f_{5}^{\prime}(y)>0,(\operatorname{sgna}) f_{3}(u)<0 \\
F_{1}(v) \equiv \int_{0}^{v} f_{1}(s) d s \tag{2.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

for all $y, u$ and $v \neq 0$. Then the equation (2.1) with $p_{1} \equiv 0$ has no nontrivial periodic solution of whatever period.

In the non-autonomous case $p_{1} \not \equiv 0$, we have the following result. Theorem 2. Let $a_{1} \neq 0, a_{3}, a_{5}$ be constants satisfying (I) such that

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\left(\operatorname{sgna}_{1}\right) \frac{F_{1}(v)}{v} \geq\left|a_{1}\right| \text { for }|y| \geq 1  \tag{2.4}\\
\left(\operatorname{sgna}_{1}\right) f_{3}(u) \leq\left(\operatorname{sgna}_{1}\right) a_{3} \text { for }|u| \geq 1 \\
\left(\operatorname{sgna}_{1}\right) f_{5}^{\prime}(y) \geq\left|a_{5}\right| \text { for }|y| \geq 1
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Suppose further that there are constants $A_{1}>0, A_{2} \geq 0$, with $A_{2}$ sufficiently small, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|p_{1}(t, x, y, z, u, v, w)\right| \leq A_{1}+A_{2}(|z|+|u|+|v|) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t, x, y, z, u, v$. Then the equation (2.1) has at least one periodic solution of period $\omega$.
Note that the conditions (2.3) and (2.4) are generalizations of the criteria (I); they also involve terms with odd subscripts in equation (2.1). Note also the absence of any conditions on the constant $a_{6}$ and the terms with even subscripts $f_{2}, f_{4}$ in (2.1). Our results in the other direction, that is involving terms with even subscripts, concern the equation (2.2), and are as follows.
Theorem 3. Suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{G_{2}(u)}{u}<0, g_{4}(y)>0, g_{6}^{\prime}(x)<0, G_{2}(u) \equiv \int_{0}^{u} g_{2}(s) d s \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y$ and $u \neq 0$. Then the equation (2.2), with $p_{2} \equiv 0$, has no nontrivial periodic solution of whatever period.
Theorem 4. Let $a_{2}, a_{4}, a_{6}$ be constants satisfying (II) such that

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\frac{G_{2}(u)}{u} \leq a_{2} \text { for }|u| \geq 1, g_{4}(y)>a_{4} \text { for }|y| \geq 1  \tag{2.7}\\
g_{6}^{\prime}(x)<a_{6} \text { for }|x| \geq 1, \quad G_{2}(u) \equiv \int_{0}^{u} g_{2}(s) d s,
\end{array}\right\}
$$

and let

$$
g_{6}(x) \operatorname{sgn} x \rightarrow+\infty(-\infty) \text { as } \quad|x| \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Suppose that there exist constants $A_{1}^{*}>0, A_{2}^{*}>0$, with $A_{2}^{*}$ sufficiently small, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|p_{2}(t, x, y, z, u, v, w)\right| \leq A_{1}^{*}+A_{2}^{*}(|y|+|z|+|u|) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t, x, y, z, u, v, w$. Then the equation (2.2) has at least one periodic solution of period $\omega$.

Observe the absence of any restrictions on the constant $a_{1}$ and the functions $g_{3}, g_{5}$ in (2.2). The conditions on $F_{1}$ in (2.3) and (2.4), and on $G_{2}$ in (2.6) and (2.7) are the integral conditions; they place restrictions on the integrals of $f_{1}$ and $g_{2}$ rather than directly on the functions $f_{1}$ and $g_{2}$.

## 3. STATEMENT OF RESULTS - FIFTH ORDER EQUATIONS

We now state parallel results for fifth order equations. We shall consider equations of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
x^{(5)}+\varphi_{1}(\dddot{x}) x^{(4)} & +\varphi_{2}(\ddot{x}) \dddot{x}+\varphi_{3}(\dot{x}) \ddot{x}+\varphi_{4}(\dot{x})+\varphi_{5}(x) \\
& =q_{1}\left(t, x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x}, \dddot{x}, x^{(4)}\right)  \tag{3.1}\\
x^{(5)}+b x^{(4)} & +\psi_{2}(\ddot{x}) \dddot{x}+\psi_{3}(\dot{x}) \ddot{x}+\psi_{4}(\dot{x})+\psi_{5}(x)  \tag{3.2}\\
& =q_{2}\left(t, x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x}, \dddot{x}, x^{(4)}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $b$ is an arbitrary constant, $\varphi_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, 5, \psi_{i}, i=2, \ldots, 5$, $q_{1}, q_{2}$ are real-valued continuous functions, $\varphi_{5}^{\prime}(x)$ exists and is continuous for all $x$ and $q_{1}, q_{2}$ are periodic in $t$, of period $\omega$. Our first set of results concern (3.1), and are as follows.
Theorem 5. Let

$$
\begin{gather*}
(\operatorname{sgna}) \frac{\Phi_{1}(u)}{u}>0,(\operatorname{sgna}) \varphi_{3}(y)<0,(\operatorname{sgna}) \varphi_{5}^{\prime}(x)>0 \\
\Phi_{1}(u) \equiv \int_{0}^{u} \varphi_{1}(s) d s \tag{3.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

for all $x, y$ and $u \neq 0$, where $a$ is an arbitrary constant. Then the equation (3.1), with $q_{1} \equiv 0$, has no nontrivial periodic solutions of any period.
Theorem 6. Let $b_{1} \neq 0, b_{3}, b_{5}$ be constants satisfying (III) such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\operatorname{sgn}_{1}\right) \frac{\Phi_{1}(u)}{u} \geq\left|b_{1}\right|,\left(\operatorname{sgn} b_{1}\right) \varphi_{3}(y) \leq\left(\operatorname{sgn}_{1}\right) b_{3}  \tag{3.4}\\
\left(\operatorname{sgn} b_{5}\right) \varphi_{5}^{\prime}(x) \geq\left|b_{5}\right|, \Phi_{1}(u) \equiv \int_{0}^{u} \varphi_{1}(s) d s
\end{gather*}
$$

for all $|x| \geq 1,|y| \geq 1$ and $|u| \geq 1$. Suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\operatorname{sgn} x) \varphi_{5}(x) \rightarrow+\infty(-\infty) \text { as }|x| \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose further that there exist constants $B_{1}>0, B_{2}>0$, with $B_{2}$ sufficiently small, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|q_{1}(t, x, y, z, u, v)\right| \leq B_{1}+B_{2}(|y|+|z|+|u|) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t, x, y, z, u, v$. Then the equation (3.1) has at least one periodic solution of period $\omega$.
Observe the absence of any restrictions on terms with even subscripts in (3.1); our results involving terms with even subscripts, and in line with (IV), concerns the equation (3.2) and are as follows.
Theorem 7. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\Psi_{2}(z)}{z}<0, \frac{\psi_{4}(y)}{y}>0, \Psi_{2}(z) \equiv \int_{0}^{z} \psi_{2}(s) d s \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $y \neq 0$ and $z \neq 0$. Then the equation (3.2), with $q_{2} \equiv 0$, and $b$ an arbitrary constant, has no nontrivial periodic solution of any period.
Theorem 8. Let $b_{2}, b_{4}$ be constants satisfying (IV) such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\Psi_{2}(z)}{z} \leq b_{2}(|z| \geq 1), \frac{\psi_{4}(y)}{y} \geq b_{4}(|y| \geq 1)  \tag{3.8}\\
\Psi_{2}(z) \equiv \int_{0}^{z} \psi_{2}(s) d s
\end{gather*}
$$

Suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{5}(x) \operatorname{sgn} x \rightarrow+\infty(-\infty) \text { as }|x| \rightarrow \infty . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose further that there are constants $B_{1}^{*}>0, B_{2}^{*} \geq 0$ with $B_{2}^{*}$ sufficiently small, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|q_{2}(t, x, y, z, u, v)\right| \leq B_{1}^{*}+B_{2}^{*}(|y|+|z|) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t, x, y, z, u, v$. Then the equation (3.2) has at least one periodic solution of period $\omega$.
The procedure for the proof of the theorems is as in [1,2] and $[3,4]$ : for each nonexistence result we need to exhibit a real-valued function with appropriate properties, while for existence the desired a-priori bound will be obtained for a suitably defined parameterdependent equation.

In what follows $D_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots$ will denote finite positive constants whose magnitude depend on the constants and functions in
an equation but are independent of solutions and of parameter $\mu$ in the equations.

## 4. OUTLINE OF PROOF OF THEOREMS 1-4

We start with Theorems 1 and 2 . Consider first the equation (2.1) with $p_{1} \equiv 0$ in the system form

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\dot{x}_{i}=x_{i+1}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, 5, \quad x \equiv x_{1}  \tag{4.1}\\
\dot{x}_{6}= & -f_{1}\left(x_{5}\right) x_{6}-f_{2}\left(x_{4}\right) x_{5}-f_{3}\left(x_{3}\right) x_{4}-f_{4}\left(x_{3}\right) \\
& -f_{5}\left(x_{2}\right)-a_{6} x_{1},
\end{array}\right\}
$$

and define the function $V=V\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{6}\right)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=U \operatorname{sgna}_{1}, \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
U=x_{4} x_{6}-\frac{1}{2} x_{5}^{2}+a_{6} x_{1} x_{3}-\frac{1}{2} a_{6} x_{2}^{2}+x_{4} F_{1}\left(x_{5}\right) \\
+F_{2}\left(x_{4}\right)+F_{4}\left(x_{3}\right)+x_{3} f_{5}\left(x_{2}\right) \\
F_{1}\left(x_{5}\right) \equiv \int_{0}^{x_{5}} f_{1}(s) d s, F_{2}\left(x_{4}\right) \equiv \int_{0}^{x_{2}} s f_{2}(s) d s  \tag{4.3}\\
F_{4}\left(x_{3}\right) \equiv \int_{0}^{x_{3}} f_{4}(s) d s
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Let $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{6}\right)=\left(x_{1}(t), x_{2}(t), \ldots, x_{6}(t)\right)$ be an arbitrary periodic solution of (4.1), then from (4.2) and (4.3) it is clear on differentiation and using (4.1) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{V}=\left(\operatorname{sgna}_{1}\right) x_{5} F_{1}\left(x_{5}\right) & +\left(\operatorname{sgna}_{1}\right) f_{5}^{\prime}\left(x_{2}\right) x_{3}^{2}  \tag{4.4}\\
& -\left(\operatorname{sgna}_{1}\right) f_{3}\left(x_{3}\right) x_{4}^{2} \geq 0
\end{align*}
$$

by (2.3). The conclusion of Theorem 1 now follows in view of the arguments in $[1,4]$.
To prove Theorem 2, consider, instead of (2.1), the parameter $\mu$-dependent equation

$$
\begin{gather*}
x^{(6)}+f_{1}^{\mu}\left(x^{(4)}\right) x^{(5)}+\mu f_{2}(\dddot{x}) x^{(4)}+f_{3}^{\mu}(\ddot{x}) \dddot{x}+\mu f_{4}(\ddot{x})  \tag{4.5}\\
+f_{5}^{\mu}(\dot{x})+a_{6} x=\mu \rho_{1}, \quad 0 \leq \mu \leq 1,
\end{gather*}
$$

or, as is more convenient, the equivalent system

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\dot{x}_{i}=x_{i+1}, i=1,2, \ldots, 5, \quad x_{1} \equiv x  \tag{4.6}\\
\dot{x}_{6}=-f_{1}^{\mu}\left(x_{5}\right) x_{6}-\mu f_{2}\left(x_{4}\right) x_{5}-f_{3}^{\mu}\left(x_{3}\right) x_{4}-\mu f_{4}\left(x_{3}\right) \\
& -f_{5}^{\mu}\left(x_{2}\right)-a_{6} x+\mu p_{1}, \quad 0 \leq \mu \leq 1
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{1}^{\mu}\left(x_{5}\right) & =(1-\mu) a_{1}+\mu f_{1}\left(x_{5}\right) \\
f_{3}^{\mu}\left(x_{3}\right) & =(1-\mu) a_{3}+\mu f_{3}\left(x_{3}\right),  \tag{4.7}\\
f_{5}^{\mu}\left(x_{2}\right) & =(1-\mu) a_{5} x_{2}+\mu f_{5}\left(x_{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Now let $V^{\mu}=V^{\mu}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{6}\right)$ be defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{\mu}=U^{\mu}\left(\operatorname{sgna}_{1}\right) \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U^{\mu}$ is obtained from $U$ in (4.3) by replacing $F_{1}\left(x_{5}\right), F_{2}\left(x_{4}\right)$, $F_{4}\left(x_{3}\right), f_{5}\left(x_{2}\right)$ respectively with $F_{1}^{\mu}\left(x_{5}\right)=(1-\mu) a_{1} x_{5}+\mu F_{1}\left(x_{5}\right)$, $\mu F_{2}\left(x_{4}\right), \mu F_{4}\left(x_{3}\right), f_{5}^{\mu}\left(x_{2}=(1-\mu) a_{5} x_{2}+\mu f_{5}\left(x_{2}\right)\right.$. By the continuity of $F_{1}^{\mu}, f_{3}^{\mu}$ and $f_{5}^{\mu}$, it can be verified from (2.4) that for some constants $D_{i}>0, \quad i=1,2,3$ and for all $x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{5}$,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\left(\operatorname{sgna}_{1}\right) x_{5} F_{1}^{\mu}\left(x_{5}\right) \geq\left|a_{1}\right| x_{5}^{2}-D_{1}  \tag{4.9}\\
\left(\operatorname{sgna}_{5}\right) f_{5}^{\prime \mu}\left(x_{2}\right) x_{3}^{2} \geq\left|a_{5}\right| x_{3}^{2}-D_{2} \\
\left(\left(\operatorname{sgna}_{1}\right) f_{3}^{\mu}\left(x_{3}\right)\right) x_{4}^{2} \leq\left(\operatorname{sgna}_{1}\right) a_{3} x_{4}^{2}+D_{3}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Let $\left(x, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{6}\right)$ be an $\omega$-periodic solution of (4.6). Then on differentiating (4.8) and using (4.2), (4.3), it will follow from (4.9) that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\dot{V}^{\mu}=\left(\operatorname{sgna}_{1}\right) x_{5} F_{1}^{\mu}\left(x_{5}\right)+x_{3}^{2}\left(\operatorname{sgna}_{1}\right) f_{5}^{\prime \mu}\left(x_{2}\right)-x_{4}^{2}\left(\operatorname{sgna}_{1}\right) f_{3}^{\mu}\left(x_{3}\right)-\mu x_{4} p_{1} \\
\geq\left|a_{1}\right| x_{5}^{2}+\left|a_{5}\right| x_{3}^{2}+\gamma x_{4}^{2}-A_{1}\left|x_{4}\right|-2 A_{2}\left(x_{3}^{2}+x_{4}^{2}+x_{5}^{2}\right)-D_{4}, \\
\gamma=-\left(\operatorname{sgna}_{1}\right) a_{3}>0,
\end{gathered}
$$

so that if

$$
A_{2}<\frac{1}{4} \min \left(\left|a_{1}\right|,\left|a_{5}\right|, \gamma\right) \equiv D_{5}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{V}^{\mu} \geq D_{5}\left(x_{3}^{2}+x_{4}^{2}+x_{5}^{2}\right)-D_{6}, \quad D_{6}=D_{4}+\gamma^{-1} A_{1}^{2} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the $\omega$-periodicity of $V^{\mu}$, (4.10) implies that

$$
\int_{0}^{\omega}\left(x_{3}^{2}(t)+x_{4}^{2}(t)+x_{5}^{2}(t)\right) d t \leq D_{7}
$$

and hence

$$
\left|x_{2}(t)\right| \leq D_{8},\left|x_{3}(t)\right| \leq D_{8},\left|x_{4}(t)\right| \leq D_{8}
$$

for some constants $D_{7}, D_{8}$. The rest of the arguments follow as in [1§5].

Turning now to Theorems 3 and 4, consider first the equation (2.2), with $p_{2} \equiv 0$, in the system form

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
\dot{x}_{i}=x_{i+1}, i=1,2, \ldots, 5, \quad x \equiv x_{1}  \tag{4.11}\\
\dot{x}_{6}=-a_{1} x_{6}-g_{2}\left(x_{4}\right) x_{5}-g_{3}\left(x_{3}\right) x_{4}-g_{4}\left(x_{2}\right) x_{3} \\
-a_{5} x_{5}-g_{6}\left(x_{1}\right)
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Let $V=V\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{6}\right)$ be defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=-U \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
U=x_{3} G_{2}\left(x_{4}\right)+G_{3}\left(x_{3}\right)+G_{5}\left(x_{2}\right)+x_{2} g_{6}\left(x_{1}\right)+x_{3} x_{6} \\
+a_{1} x_{3} x_{5}-\frac{1}{2} a_{1} x_{4}^{2} \\
G_{2}\left(x_{4}\right) \equiv \int_{0}^{x_{4}} g_{2}(s) d s, G_{3}\left(x_{3}\right) \equiv \int_{0}^{x_{3}} s g_{3}(s) d s  \tag{4.13}\\
G_{5}\left(x_{2}\right) \equiv \int_{0}^{x_{2}} g_{5}(s) d s
\end{gather*}
$$

Let $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{6}\right)=\left(x_{1}(t), x_{2}(t), \ldots, x_{6}(t)\right)$ be an arbitrary solution of (4.11) of period $\alpha$ say. Then, on differentiating (4.12) and using (4.13) and (4.11), it will follow, after some calculation, that

$$
\dot{V}=-x_{4} G_{2}\left(x_{4}\right)+x_{3}^{2} g_{4}\left(x_{2}\right)-x_{2}^{2} g_{6}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right) \geq 0
$$

by (2.6). The rest of the argument is as in $[1,4]$.
For Theorem 4, consider the parameter $\mu$-dependent system

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\dot{x}_{i}= & x_{i+1}, i=1,2, \ldots, 5 \quad x \equiv x_{1} \\
\dot{x}_{6}= & -a_{1} x_{6}-g_{2}^{\mu}\left(x_{4}\right) x_{5}-\mu g_{3}\left(x_{3}\right) x_{4}-g_{4}^{\mu}\left(x_{2}\right) x_{3}  \tag{4.14}\\
& -\mu g_{5}\left(x_{2}\right)-g_{6}^{\mu}\left(x_{1}\right)+\mu p_{2}\left(t, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{6}\right)
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{2}^{\mu}\left(x_{4}\right) & =(1-\mu) a_{2}+\mu g_{2}\left(x_{4}\right), \\
g_{4}^{\mu}\left(x_{2}\right) & =(1-\mu) a_{4}+g_{4}\left(x_{2}\right), \\
g_{6}^{\mu}\left(x_{1}\right) & =(1-\mu) a_{6} x_{1}+\mu g_{6}\left(x_{1}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

$a_{1}$ is an arbitrary constant and $a_{2}, a_{4}, a_{6}$ are constants satisfying (II). Let $V^{\mu}=V^{\mu}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{6}\right)$ be defined by $V^{\mu}=-U^{\mu}$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
U^{\mu} & =x_{3} G_{2}^{\mu}\left(x_{4}\right)+\mu G_{3}\left(x_{3}\right)+\mu G_{5}\left(x_{2}\right)+x_{2} g_{6}^{\mu}\left(x_{1}\right) \\
& +x_{3} x_{6}+a_{1} x_{3} x_{5}-\frac{1}{2} a_{1} x_{4}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $G_{2}^{\mu}\left(x_{4}\right)=(1-\mu) a_{2} x_{4}+\mu G_{2}\left(x_{4}\right)$. By the continuity of $g_{2}, g_{6}^{\prime}$ and $g_{4}$, and by (2.7), it will be clear that for some constants $D_{9}$ and $D_{10}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{4} G_{2}^{\mu}\left(x_{4}\right) & \geq\left|a_{2}\right| x_{4}^{2}-D_{9} \\
-x_{2}^{2} g_{6}^{\prime \mu}\left(x_{1}\right) & \geq\left|a_{6}\right| x_{2}^{2}-D_{9}  \tag{4.16}\\
g_{4}^{\mu}\left(x_{2}\right) x_{3}^{2} & \geq-\left(\text { sgna }_{2}\right) a_{4} x_{3}^{2}-D_{10}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ and $x_{4}$. Thus, for any arbitrary $\omega$-periodic solution $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{6}\right)=\left(x_{1}(t), x_{2}(t), \ldots, x_{6}(t)\right.$ of (4.14), it can shown that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{V}^{\mu} & =-x_{4} G_{2}^{\mu}\left(x_{4}\right)-x_{2}^{2} g_{6}^{\prime \mu}\left(x_{1}\right)+x_{3}^{2} g_{4}^{\mu}\left(x_{2}\right)-\mu x_{3} p_{2} \\
& \geq\left|a_{2}\right| x_{4}^{2}+\beta x_{3}^{2}+\left|a_{6}\right| x_{2}^{2}-A_{1}^{*}\left|x_{3}\right|-2 A_{2}^{*}\left(x_{2}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}+x_{4}^{2}\right)-D_{11} \\
& \geq D_{12}\left(x_{2}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}+x_{4}^{2}\right)-D_{11},
\end{aligned}
$$

$\beta \equiv-\left(\right.$ sgna $\left._{2}\right) a_{4}>0$, by (4.16) and (2.8), for some constants $D_{11}, D_{12}$. The rest of the proof follows as in $[1,4]$.

## 5. INDICATION OF PROOF OF THEOREMS 5-8

Since the arguments are essentially the same as those in §4, we shall merely indicate the appropriate equivalent system and the scalar function $V$ required for nonexistence and, for existence, the parameter $\mu$-dependent system and its corresponding scalar function $V^{\mu}$.
We start with Theorem 5. The equivalent system (to (3.1) with $\left.q_{1} \equiv 0\right)$ is

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\dot{x}_{i}=x_{i+1}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, 4 \quad x_{1} \equiv x  \tag{5.1}\\
\dot{x}_{5}=\varphi_{1}\left(x_{4}\right) x_{5}-\varphi_{2}\left(x_{3}\right) x_{4}-\varphi_{3}\left(x_{2}\right) x_{3}-\varphi_{4}\left(x_{2}\right)-\varphi_{5}\left(x_{1}\right),
\end{array}\right\}
$$

and the scalar function $V=V\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{5}\right)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{gather*}
V=\left(\operatorname{sgn}_{1}\right) U  \tag{5.2}\\
U=x_{3} \Phi_{1}\left(x_{4}\right)+\Phi_{2}\left(x_{3}\right)+\Phi_{4}\left(x_{2}\right)+x_{2} \varphi_{5}\left(x_{1}\right)+x_{3} x_{5}-\frac{1}{2} x_{4}^{2}, \tag{5.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\Phi_{1}\left(x_{4}\right) \equiv \int_{0}^{x_{4}} \varphi_{1}(s) d s  \tag{5.4}\\
\Phi_{2}\left(x_{3}\right) \equiv \int_{0}^{x_{3}} s \varphi_{2}(s) d s \\
\Phi_{4}\left(x_{2}\right) \equiv \int_{0}^{x_{2}} \varphi_{4}(s) d s
\end{array}\right\}
$$

For Theorem 6 the appropriate equivalent system to consider is

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
\dot{x}_{i}=x_{i+1}, \quad i=12,3,4 \quad x \equiv x_{1} \\
\dot{x}_{5}=-\varphi_{1}^{\mu}\left(x_{4}\right) x_{5}-\mu \varphi_{2}\left(x_{3}\right) x_{4}-\varphi_{3}^{\mu}\left(x_{2}\right) x_{3} \\
-\mu \varphi_{4}\left(x_{2}\right)-\varphi_{5}^{\mu}\left(x_{1}\right)+\mu q_{1}, \quad 0 \leq \mu \leq 1, \tag{5.6}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

and the scalar function $V^{\mu}=V^{\mu}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right)$ is defined by

$$
V^{\mu}=\left(\operatorname{sgn}_{1}\right) U^{\mu},
$$

with $U^{\mu}$ obtained from (5.3) by replacing $\Phi_{1}$ with $\Phi_{1}^{\mu}, \Phi_{2}$ with $\mu \Phi_{2}$, $\Phi_{4}$ with $\Phi_{4}^{\mu}$ and $\varphi_{5}$ with $\varphi_{5}^{\mu}$, noting the appropriate definitions in (5.4) and (5.6). It can be readily verified that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{V}^{\mu}= & \left(\operatorname{sgnb}_{1}\right) x_{4} \Phi_{1}^{\mu}\left(x_{4}\right)-\left(\operatorname{sgnb}_{1}\right) x_{3}^{2} \varphi_{3}^{\mu}\left(x_{2}\right)+\left(\operatorname{sgnb}_{1}\right) x_{2}^{2} \varphi_{5}^{\prime \mu}\left(x_{1}\right) \\
& -\mu x_{3} q_{1} \geq D_{13}\left(x_{2}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}+x_{4}^{2}\right)-D_{14}
\end{aligned}
$$

for some constants $D_{13}, D_{14}$, if $B_{2}$ is sufficiently small.
We turn lastly to Theorems 7 and 8. The equivalent system (to (3.2) with $q_{2} \equiv 0$ ) is

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\dot{x}_{i}=x_{i+1}, i=1,2,3,4 \quad x_{1} \equiv x  \tag{5.7}\\
\dot{x}_{5}=-b x_{5}-\psi_{2}\left(x_{3}\right) x_{4}-\psi_{3}\left(x_{2}\right) x_{3}-\psi_{4}\left(x_{2}\right)-\psi_{5}\left(x_{1}\right),
\end{array}\right\}
$$

and the appropriate scalar function $V$ is given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
V=-x_{2} \Psi_{2}\left(x_{3}\right)-x_{2} x_{5}-\Psi_{3}\left(x_{2}\right)-\Psi_{5}\left(x_{1}\right) \\
-b_{1} x_{2} x_{4}+\frac{1}{2} b_{1} x_{3}^{2}+x_{3} x_{4}  \tag{5.8}\\
\Psi_{2}\left(x_{3}\right)=\int_{0}^{x_{3}} \psi_{2}(s) d s, \Psi_{3}\left(x_{2}\right)=\int_{0}^{x_{2}} s \psi_{3}(s) d s \\
\Psi_{5}\left(x_{1}\right)=\int_{0}^{x_{1}} \psi_{5}(s) d s
\end{gather*}
$$

For Theorem 8, the equivalent parameter $\mu$-dependent system to consider is

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
\dot{x}_{i}=x_{i+1}, \quad i=1,2,3,4, \quad x_{1} \equiv x \\
\dot{x}_{5}=-b x_{5}-\psi_{2}^{\mu}\left(x_{3}\right) x_{4}-\mu \psi_{3}\left(x_{2}\right) x_{3}-\psi_{4}^{\mu}\left(x_{2}\right)  \tag{5.10}\\
-\mu \psi_{5}\left(x_{1}\right)+\mu q_{2}, \quad 0 \leq \mu \leq 1
\end{array}\right\}
$$

The corresponding function $V^{\mu}=V^{\mu}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right)$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
V^{\mu} & =-x_{2} \Psi_{2}^{\mu}\left(x_{3}\right)-\mu \Psi_{3}\left(x_{2}\right)-\mu \Psi_{5}\left(x_{1}\right) \\
& -b_{1} x_{2} x_{4}+\frac{1}{2} b_{1} x_{3}^{2}+x_{3} x_{4} \tag{5.11}
\end{align*}
$$

with $\Psi_{2}^{\mu}\left(x_{3}\right) \equiv \int_{0}^{x_{3}} \psi_{2}^{\mu}(s) d s$, and $\psi_{2}^{\mu}$ defined by (5.10).
From (5.11), (5.9), (5.10) and (3.9) it will be clear that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{V}^{\mu} & =x_{4}^{2}-x_{3} \Psi_{2}^{\mu}\left(x_{3}\right)+x_{2}^{2} \Psi_{4}^{\mu}\left(x_{1}\right)-\mu x_{2} q_{2} \\
& \geq x_{4}^{2}-b_{2} x_{3}^{2}+b_{4} x_{2}^{2}-B_{1}^{*}\left|x_{2}\right|-2 B_{2}^{*}\left(x_{2}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}+x_{4}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

so that if $B_{2}^{*}$ is sufficiently small, then for some constants $D_{15}, D_{16}$,

$$
\dot{V}^{\mu} \geq D_{15}\left(x_{2}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}+x_{4}^{2}\right)-D_{16} .
$$

Because of some technical difficulties we have not been able to extend the results in $\S 3$ to full blown nonlinear sixth order equations of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x^{(6)}+f_{1}\left(x^{(4)}\right) x^{(5)}+f_{2}(\ddot{x}) x^{(4)}+f_{3}(\ddot{x}) \dddot{x}+f_{4}(\ddot{x})+f_{5}(\dot{x}) \\
&+f_{6}(x)=p\left(t, x, \dot{x}, \ldots, x^{(5)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

efforts are still continuing in this direction. This remark also holds for equation (3.2).
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