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#### Abstract

In this paper, we study the second order nonautonomous nonlinear delay differential equation $$
x^{\prime \prime}+b(t) g\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)+c(t) h(x(t-r)) m\left(x^{\prime}\right)=p\left(t, x, x^{\prime}\right)
$$ for asymptotic stability of solutions when $p\left(t, x, x^{\prime}\right)=0$ and the boundedness of solutions when $p\left(t, x, x^{\prime}\right) \neq 0$. By using a suitable Lyapunov-Krasovskiĭ functional with sufficient conditions we investigate the stability of solutions while the mean value theorem and the integral method with sufficient conditions are hereby employed to establish the boundedness of solutions result. This work improved on some earlier results in the literature.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Consider the second order non-autonomous nonlinear delay differential equations of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}+b(t) g\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)+c(t) h(x(t-r)) m\left(x^{\prime}\right)=p\left(t, x, x^{\prime}\right), \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b, c \in C\left(I, \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$, $g \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}, \mathbb{R}\right), h \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}), m \in C\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$, $p \in C\left(I \times \mathbb{R}^{2}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ are real valued functions which depend on the arguments displayed explicitly and $r$ is a positive constant. It would be assumed that solutions of the class of delay differential equations
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being considered exist, $b, c, g, h, m$, and $p$ are continuous in their respective arguments (see Rao [15]).
It is interesting to note that stability and boundedness of solutions are fundamental to the theory and applications of differential equations. While the study of qualitative theory of solutions to nonlinear differential equations with or without delay have attracted the attention of many researchers and have achieved many results in this area (see [2], [11], [13], [19]). In these references, the authors made use of Lyapunov's direct method to obtain the conditions which establish the stability and boundedness of solutions. In particular for delay differential equations, Zhang [20] considered the retarded Liènard equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}+f(x) x^{\prime}+g(x(t-h))=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which $h$ is a nonnegative constant and $g, f$ are continuous with $f(x)>0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. The author obtained conditions for the boundedness and global asymptotic stability results. In [21], Zhang examined equation (2) and gave results on the uniform boundedness, uniform ultimate boundedness and oscillation of solutions. Peng [14] studied the second-order nonlinear system with delay:

$$
x^{\prime \prime}(t)+f\left(x(t), x^{\prime}(t)\right)+g\left(x(t), x^{\prime}(t)\right) \psi(x(t-\tau))=p(t),
$$

where $f, g, p$ are continuous functions, $\psi$ is a differentiable function, $\tau$ is a positive constant and gave four theorems on the stability of zero solution, the boundedness of the solutions, the existence of the periodic solutions, the existence and uniqueness of the stationary oscillation. Besides, in [17], Tunç established some results for the stability and the boundedness of solutions of non-autonomous differential equations of second order with a variable deviating argument of the form:

$$
x^{\prime \prime}(t)+f\left(t, x(t), x^{\prime}(t)\right) x^{\prime}(t)+b(t) g(x(t-\tau(t))=q(t),
$$

where $\tau(t)$ is variable deviating argument; $f, b, g$ and $q$ are continuous functions in their arguments on $\mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{2}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{+}$ respectively, where $\mathbb{R}^{+}=[0, \infty)$.

Also, Ogundare et al. [13] considered the second order nonlinear differential equations of the form:

$$
x^{\prime \prime}+a(t) f\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)+g(x(t-\tau))=p\left(t, x, x^{\prime}\right)
$$

where $a, f, g$ and $p$ are continuous functions that depend (at most) only on the arguments displayed explicitly and $\tau \in[0, h](\tau>0)$. The authors obtained results for the global asymptotic stability,
boundedness and ultimate boundedness of the solutions respectively.

However, in the paper by Athanassov [3], a generalized Lienard differential equations of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}+b(t) f\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)+c(t) g(x) h\left(x^{\prime}\right)=p\left(t, x, x^{\prime}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b, c, f, g, h$, and $p$ are real valued functions which depend at most on the arguments displayed explicitly was considered. The Gronwall's inequality and two forms of second mean value theorem for integrals were used to established the boundedness of all solutions and their first derivatives.
The motivation for this work comes from the paper by Athanassov [3] in which we extend equation (3) to a system of delay differential equation and investigate the stability of all solutions for the case in which $p\left(t, x, x^{\prime}\right)=0$ and the boundedness of all solutions for the case $p\left(t, x, x^{\prime}\right) \neq 0$. We shall employ a suitable Lyapunov Krasovskii functional with sufficient conditions to establish the stability of all solutions while the mean value theorem and the two forms of second mean value theorem for integrals with sufficient conditions will be used to investigate boundedness of solutions respectively.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES AND RESULT ON STABILITY OF SOLUTIONS

Here, we give some basic information for the general non-autonomous differential system with delay (see Burton [4], Burton and Markay [5], Tunç [16], see also Kolmanovskii and Myšhkis [8], Kolmanovskii and Nosov [9], Krasovskií [10] and Yoshizawa [18]).

Consider the general non-autonomous differential system with delay:
$x^{\prime}(t)=f\left(t, x_{t}\right), x_{t}(s)=x(t+s),-r \leq s \leq 0, t \geq 0 \quad '=d / d t$
where $f:[0, \infty) \times C_{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is continuous and takes bounded sets into bounded sets and $f(t, 0)=0$. Here, $(C,\|\cdot\|)$ is the Banach space of continuous functions $\phi:[-r, 0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ with supremum norm, $r$ is a non-negative constant, $C_{H}$ is the open $H$-ball in $C_{H}:=$ $\left\{\phi \in\left(C[-r, 0], \mathbb{R}^{n}\right):\|\phi\|<H\right\}$.
Standard existence (see Burton [4]) shows that if $\phi \in C_{H}$ and $t \geq 0$, then there is at least one continuous solution $x\left(t, t_{0}, \phi\right)$ on $\left[t_{0}, t_{0}+\alpha\right)$ satisfying (4) for $t>t_{0}, x_{t}\left(t_{0}, \phi\right)=\phi$ and $\alpha$ some positive constant; if there is a closed subset $B \subset C_{H}$ such that the solution remains in $B$, then $\alpha=\infty$. In addition, $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the norm in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with $\|x\|=\max _{1 \leq i \leq n}\left|x_{i}\right|$.

Definition 2.1[4]. A continuous function $W:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ with $W(0)=0, W(s)>0$ if $s>0$, and $W$ strictly increasing is a wedge. Let wedges be denoted by $W$ or $W_{i}$, where $i$ is an integer.

Definition 2.2[4]. Let $D$ be an open set in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with $0 \in D$. A function $V:[0, \infty) \times D \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is called positive definite if $V(t, 0)=0$ and if there is a wedge $W_{1}$ with $V(t, x) \geq W_{1}(|x|)$, and is called decrescent if there is a wegde $W_{2}$ with $V(t, x) \leq W_{2}(|x|)$.

Definition 2.3[4]. Let $f(t, 0)=0$. The zero solution of equation (4) is:
(i): stable if for each $\varepsilon>0$ and $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$ there exists $\delta>0$ such that $\left[\phi \in C\left(t_{1}\right),\|\phi\|<\delta, t \geq t_{1}\right]$ implies that $\left|x\left(t, t_{1}, \phi\right)\right|<\varepsilon$.
(ii): asymptotically stable if it is stable and if for each $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$ there is an $\eta>0$ such that $\left[\phi \in C\left(t_{1}\right),\|\phi\|<\eta\right]$ implies that $x\left(t, t_{0}, \phi\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Definition 2.4[4]. Let $V(t, \phi)=V$ be a continuous functional defined for $t \geq 0, \theta \in C_{H}$. The derivative of $V$ along solutions of (4) will be denoted by $V$ and is defined by the following relation:

$$
\dot{V}=\limsup _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{V\left(t+h, x_{t+h}(t+\phi)\right)-V\left(t, x_{t}\left(t_{0}, \phi\right)\right)}{h},
$$

where $x\left(t_{0}, \phi\right)$ is the solution of (4) with $x_{t_{0}}\left(t_{0}, \phi\right)=\phi$.
The main result for the stability of solutions of the non-autonomous nonlinear second order delay differential equations is hereby given. First, we state the equivalent system for equation (1) with $p\left(t, x, x^{\prime}\right)=$ 0 as follows: Let

$$
\begin{align*}
x^{\prime}= & y \\
y^{\prime}= & -b(t) g(x(t), y(t))-c(t) h(x(t)) m(y(t)) \\
& +c(t) m(y(t)) \int_{t-r}^{t} h^{\prime}(x(s)) y(s) d s . \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem 2.5. Suppose that $b(t)$ and $c(t)$ are continuously differentiable on $[0, \infty)$ and the following conditions are satisfied
$\left(c_{1}\right) 1 \leq c(t) \leq b(t) \leq \Phi, c^{\prime}(t) \leq 0, \Phi>0, t \in[0, \infty)$;
$\left(c_{2}\right) h(0)=0, \frac{h(x)}{x} \geq \delta_{0}>0(x \neq 0)$, and $h^{\prime}(x) \leq c$ for all $x$;
$\left(c_{3}\right) \frac{g(x, y)}{y} \geq \eta>0,(y \neq 0)$, for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$;
( $\left.c_{4}\right) \frac{1}{m(y)} \geq \xi(m(y) \neq 0)$ for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$;
$\left(c_{5}\right) \int_{0}^{\infty}\left|c^{\prime}(t)\right| d t<\infty, c^{\prime}(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.
Then the solution $x=0$ of (5) is asymptotically stable provided that

$$
0<r<\frac{\xi \eta}{\Phi c}
$$

To prove the Theorem 2.5, we define the Lyapunov functional $V \equiv V\left(t, x_{t}, y_{t}\right)$ as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=c(t) \int_{0}^{x} h(\tau) d \tau+\int_{0}^{y} \tau / m(\tau) d \tau+\lambda \int_{-r}^{0} \int_{t+s}^{t} y^{2}(\tau) d \tau d s \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda$ is a positive constant to be determined later. We now show that equation (6) is positive definite, we have

$$
V=c(t) \int_{0}^{x} \frac{h(\tau)}{\tau} \tau d \tau+\int_{0}^{y} \frac{1}{m(\tau)} \tau d \tau+\lambda \int_{-r}^{0} \int_{t+s}^{t} y^{2}(\tau) d \tau d s
$$

Applying the assumptions $\left(c_{2}\right)$ to $\left(c_{4}\right)$, we obtain

$$
V \geq c(t) \delta_{0} \int_{0}^{x} \tau d \tau+\xi \int_{0}^{y} \tau d \tau+\lambda \int_{-r}^{0} \int_{t+s}^{t} y^{2}(\tau) d \tau d s
$$

Then

$$
V \geq \delta_{0} \frac{x^{2}}{2}+\xi \frac{y^{2}}{2}+\lambda \int_{-r}^{0} \int_{t+s}^{t} y^{2}(\tau) d \tau d s
$$

which shows that the functional is positive definite.
Differentiating $V$ along the equation (5), we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
V^{\prime}= & c^{\prime}(t) \int_{0}^{x} h(\tau) d \tau+c(t) h(x) y+y / m(y) \cdot y^{\prime}+\lambda r y^{2}-\lambda \int_{t-r}^{t} y^{2}(\tau) d \tau \\
= & c^{\prime}(t) \int_{0}^{x} h(\tau) d \tau+c(t) h(x) y-b(t) \frac{g(x, y)}{m(y)} y-c(t) h(x) y \\
& +c(t) y \int_{t-r}^{t} h^{\prime}(x(\tau)) y(\tau) d \tau+\lambda r y^{2}-\lambda \int_{t-r}^{t} y^{2}(\tau) d \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

In the light of the inequality $2|u v| \leq u^{2}+v^{2}$, we have

$$
c(t) y \int_{t-r}^{t} h^{\prime}(x(\tau)) y(\tau) d \tau \leq \frac{\Phi c}{2} r y^{2}+\frac{\Phi c}{2} \int_{t-r}^{t} y^{2}(\tau) d \tau
$$

So,

$$
\begin{aligned}
V^{\prime} \leq & c^{\prime}(t) \int_{0}^{x} h^{\prime}(\tau) d \tau-b(t) \frac{g(x, y)}{m(y)} y+\left[\frac{\Phi c}{2} r y^{2}+\frac{\Phi c}{2} \int_{t-r}^{t} y^{2}(\tau) d \tau\right] \\
& +\lambda r y^{2}-\lambda \int_{t-r}^{t} y^{2}(\tau) d \tau \\
\leq & c^{\prime}(t) \int_{0}^{x} h(\tau) d \tau-\frac{1}{m(y)} \eta y^{2}+\frac{1}{2}(\Phi c+2 \lambda) r y^{2}+\left\{\frac{1}{2} \Phi c-\lambda\right\} \int_{t-r}^{t} y^{2}(\tau) d \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

If we take $\lambda=\frac{1}{2} \Phi c>0$, and by $\left(c_{4}\right)$, we have

$$
V^{\prime} \leq c^{\prime}(t) \int_{0}^{x} h(\tau) d \tau-(\xi \eta-\Phi c r) y^{2}
$$

If we choose

$$
0<r<\frac{\xi \eta}{\Phi c}
$$

and there exist a $\delta>0$ such that

$$
V^{\prime} \leq c^{\prime}(t) \int_{0}^{x} h(\tau) d \tau-\delta y^{2}
$$

Thus, the solutions of system (5) is asymptotically stable. Hence, Theorem 2.5 is satisfied.

Remark 2.6. From equation (1), if $h(x(t-r))=h(x)$, where $t>r, r>0$ and $p\left(t, x, x^{\prime}\right) \neq 0$, this become similar to the equation (3) considered by Athanasov [3].

## 3. FURTHER PRELIMINARIES AND RESULT ON THE BOUNDEDNESS OF SOLUTIONS

Firstly, we denote $\mathbb{R}$ the real line, by $\mathbb{R}^{+}$and $I$ the intervals $(0, \infty)$ and $[0, \infty)$ respectively. The $|$.$| is an absolute value, C(A, \mathbb{R})$ denote the set of $\mathbb{R}$-valued continuous function defined on the set $A$. While $L_{1}(A)$ denotes the set of Lebesque integrable functions on $A$.

We state the following lemmas and theorem. The following are two forms of second mean value theorem for integrals. For example, one can refer to Hildebrandt [7] and Athanassov [3].
Lemma 3.1. If $u \in L_{1}[\alpha, \beta]$ and $v$ is a positive, bounded and nonincreasing function $[\alpha, \beta]$, then there is a number $\delta \in[\alpha, \beta]$ such that

$$
\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} u(s) v(s) d s=v(\alpha+0) \int_{\alpha}^{\delta} u(s) d s .
$$

Lemma 3.2. If $u \in L_{1}[\alpha, \beta]$ and $v$ is a positive, bounded and nondecreasing function $[\alpha, \beta]$, then there is a number $\delta \in[\alpha, \beta]$ such that

$$
\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} u(s) v(s) d s=v(\beta-0) \int_{\delta}^{\beta} u(s) d s .
$$

Theorem 3.3. (Mean Value Theorem [6]). Let $[\alpha, \beta]$ be a closed, bounded interval, i.e., $-\infty<\alpha<\beta<\infty$. Let $f:[\alpha, \beta] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous and let $f^{\prime}$ exist on $(\alpha, \beta)$. Then there exists a number $\theta \in$ $(\alpha, \beta)$ such that

$$
f(\beta)-f(\alpha)=f^{\prime}(\theta)(\beta-\alpha)
$$

(see also Afuwape [1] and Meng [12]).
We now investigate the boundedness of solutions for the non-autonomous nonlinear delay differential equation (1).

Theorem 3.4. We hereby consider the following basic conditions for the boundedness of solutions result, we have
$\left(c_{1}\right) g\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) x^{\prime}>0$ for all $\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}, x^{\prime} \neq 0$;
$\left(c_{2}\right) H(x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, where $H(x)=\int_{0}^{x} h(\tau) d \tau \geq 0$;
$\left(c_{3}\right) M\left(x^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\left|x^{\prime}\right| \rightarrow \infty$, where $M\left(x^{\prime}\right)=\int_{0}^{x^{\prime}} \frac{\tau}{m(\tau)} d \tau$;
$\left(c_{4}\right) h(x(t-r))=h(x(t))-r h^{\prime}(x(t)) x^{\prime}(t), t>r, r>0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$;
$\left(c_{5}\right)$ there exist the function $\left(x^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2} \in L^{2}(I)$ and positive constants $\beta_{2}$ and $T$ such that $0<\left|h^{\prime}(x(t))\right|\left(x^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2} \leq \beta_{2},\left|h^{\prime}(x(t))\right| \leq T$.
( $c_{6}$ ) There is a nonnegative function $e(t) \in L^{1}(I)$ such that $|p(t, x, y) y| \leq e(t) m\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ for all $\left(t, x, x^{\prime}\right) \in I \times \mathbb{R}^{2} ;$

Then any solution $x(t)$ of $(7)$ is bounded. If $c(t)$ is non-decreasing on $I$ and is bounded above on $I$, then $x^{\prime}(t)$ is also bounded.

Proof. Now, with the concept of mean value theorem we apply ( $c_{4}$ ) where appropriate, such that equation (1) in which $p\left(t, x, x^{\prime}\right) \neq 0$ is equivalent to the following: let $x^{\prime}=y$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}+b(t) g(x, y)+c(t) m(y)\left[h(x(t))-r h^{\prime}(x(t)) y(t)\right]=p(t, x, y) . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying (7) by $y(t) /[c(t) m(y(t))]$, and integrating both sides from 0 to $t$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{t} y(\tau) y^{\prime}(\tau) /[c(\tau) m(y(\tau))] d \tau & +\int_{0}^{t} b(\tau) g(x(\tau), y(\tau)) y(\tau) /[c(\tau) m(y(\tau))] d \tau \\
& +\int_{0}^{t} h(x(\tau)) y(\tau) d \tau-r \int_{0}^{t} h^{\prime}(x(\tau))(y(\tau))^{2} d \tau \\
& =\int_{0}^{t} p(\tau, x(\tau), y(\tau)) y(\tau) /[c(\tau) m(y(\tau))] d \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the integral in the second term on the left is nonnegative and by $\left(c_{1}\right)$, we have as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{t} y(\tau) y^{\prime}(\tau) /[c(\tau) m(y(\tau))] d \tau+\int_{0}^{t} h(x(\tau)) y(\tau) d \tau \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{t}|p(\tau, x(\tau), y(\tau)) y(\tau)| /[c(\tau) m(y(\tau))] d \tau+r \int_{0}^{t}\left|h^{\prime}(x(\tau))\right|(y(\tau))^{2} d \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 3.1, it follows that there is $\delta \in[0, t]$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{c(0)} \int_{0}^{\delta} y(\tau) / m(y(\tau)) \frac{d y(\tau)}{d \tau} d \tau+\int_{0}^{t} h(x(\tau)) \frac{d x(\tau)}{d \tau} d \tau \\
& \leq \frac{1}{c(0)} \int_{0}^{\delta}|p(\tau, x(\tau), y(\tau)) y(\tau)| / m(y(\tau)) d \tau+r \int_{0}^{t}\left|h^{\prime}(x(\tau))\right|(y(\tau))^{2} d \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying $\left(c_{2}\right),\left(c_{3}\right),\left(c_{5}\right)$ and $\left(c_{6}\right)$ then
$\frac{1}{c(0)}[M(y(\delta))-M(y(0))]+H(x(t))-H(x(0)) \leq \frac{1}{c(0)} \int_{0}^{\infty} e(\tau) d \tau+r \beta_{2}$.
Now by $\left(c_{3}\right)$ and the assumption on $c(t)$ such that $c(t)$ is non-decreasing on $I$ lead to the estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
H(x(t)) & \leq H(x(t))+\frac{1}{c(0)} M(y(\delta)) \\
& \leq H(x(0))+r \beta_{2}+\frac{1}{c(0)}\left[M(y(0))+\int_{0}^{\infty} e(\tau) d \tau\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

The right side of the last inequality is a constant independent of $t$, say $K$, therefore $\left(c_{2}\right)$ implies that $x(t)$ is bounded on $I$.

We now suppose that $c(t) \leq c_{0}$ on $I$. Multiply on both sides of (7) by $y(t) / m(y(t))$ and integrating from 0 to $t$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{t} y(\tau) y^{\prime}(\tau) / m(y(\tau)) d \tau+\int_{0}^{t} b(\tau) g(x(\tau), y(\tau)) y(\tau) / m(y(\tau)) d \tau \\
& +\int_{0}^{t} c(\tau) h(x(\tau)) y(\tau) d \tau \leq \int_{0}^{t}|p(\tau, x(\tau), y(\tau)) y(\tau)| / m\left(x^{\prime}(\tau)\right) d \tau \\
& +r \int_{0}^{t} c(\tau)\left|h^{\prime}(x(\tau))\right|(y(\tau))^{2} d \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

The integral in the second term on the left is nonnegative and by Lemma 3.2 there exists $\delta \in[0, t]$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{t} y(\tau) / m(y(\tau)) \frac{d y(\tau)}{d \tau} d \tau+c(t) \int_{\delta}^{t} h(x(\tau)) \frac{d x(\tau)}{d \tau} d \tau \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{t}|p(\tau, x(\tau), y(\tau)) y(\tau)| / m(y(\tau)) d \tau+r c(t) \int_{\delta}^{t}\left|h^{\prime}(x(\tau))\right|(y(\tau))^{2} d \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying $\left(c_{2}\right)-\left(c_{5}\right)$, then

$$
M(y(t))-M(y(0))+c(t)[H(x(t))-H(x(\delta))] \leq \int_{0}^{\infty} e(\tau) d \tau+r \beta_{2}
$$

Since $c(t) H(x(t))$ is nonnegative on $I$, so we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
M(y(t)) & \leq M(y(t)+c(t) H(x(t)) \\
& \leq M(y(0))+c(t) H(x(\delta))+\int_{0}^{\infty} e(\tau) d \tau+r \beta_{2} \\
& \leq M(y(0))+c_{0} K+r \beta_{2}+\int_{0}^{\infty} e(\tau) d \tau=L
\end{aligned}
$$

a constant independent of $t$. Hence, $\left(c_{3}\right)$ implies that $y(t)$ is bounded on $I$.

Remark 3.5. From equation (1), if $h(x(t-r))=h(x)$, where $t>$ $r, r>0$, this reduces to the one considered by Athanasov [3]. Interestingly, Theorem 3.4 shows a significant improvement to that of Theorem 1 considered by Athanassov [3].

## 4. CONCLUSION

This work investigated the asymptotic stability of solutions for the equation (1) for which $p\left(t, x, x^{\prime}\right)=0$ by using a suitable LyapunovKrasovskiil functional. In addition, we employed the concept of mean value theorem (specifically, the chain rule) and the two forms of mean value theorem for integrals in which the case $p\left(t, x, x^{\prime}\right) \neq 0$ to establish our result on the boundedness of solutions.
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