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#### Abstract

This paper investigates the asymptotic behaviour of solutions of some second-order nonlinear ordinary, delay, and stochastic differential equations. The order of these differential equations are reduced to a system of first order and employed to construct a suitable complete Lyapunov functions and functional. Standard conditions are imposed on the nonlinear terms to obtain criteria that guarantee the asymptotic behaviour of solutions of the considered equations. Examples are given to illustrate the obtained results. Our results improve and extend some well-known results in the literature.


## 1. Introduction

The study of problems that involve the behaviour of solutions of ordinary differential equations (ODEs), delay or functional differential equations (DDEs or FDEs), and stochastic differential equations (SDEs) has been dealt with by many outstanding authors; see, for instance, Ademola and Ogundiran [7], Arnold [11], Balakrishnam [13], Burton [14-16], Hale [21-23], Itô and Nishio [24], Kellert et al. [25], Lasalle [26], Liu and Raffoul [28], Mao [31] and Yoshizawa [37].

Many differential equations of second order have been derived since the beginning of the 18th century as models for problems of classical mechanics and other fields of science. Consequently, the study of problems involving the asymptotic behaviour, existence and uniqueness of solutions of ordinary differential equations, delay differential equations and stochastic differential equations have been dealt with by several authors such as Abou-El-Ela et al.[1, 2], Ademola [3], Ademola et al.[4-6], Alaba and Ogundare [8, 9], Cartwright and Littlewood [17], Ezeilo [18, 19], Levinson [27], Omeike et al. [32], Tejumola [33], Tunç [34], Yeniçerioğlu [35, 36] and so on to mention but a few.

From the literature, the most often used method to study the asymptotic behaviour of solutions of differential equations is the second method of Lyapunov. See for instance, Max [31], Lyapunov [29, 30], Itô and Nishio [24]. The major advantage of this method is that the qualitative behaviour of solutions can be obtained and discussed without any prior knowledge of the solutions. However, the construction of the Lyapunov

[^0]functions remains a general problem due to the lack of a unique way of constructing the functions.

So far, the study of second-order nonlinear ordinary differential equations, delay differential equations and stochastic differential equations has led to some interesting results. For instance, Graef et al. [20] studied the asymptotic behaviour of solutions of a second-order nonlinear differential equation

$$
\left(a_{1}(t) x^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+q_{1}(t) f_{1}(x) g_{1}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=r_{1}(t) .
$$

In another interesting paper, Tunç [34] established sufficient criteria for the stability and boundedness of solutions of non-autonomous differential equation of second order

$$
x^{\prime \prime}+a_{2}(t)\left[f_{2}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) x^{\prime}+g_{2}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) x^{\prime}\right] x^{\prime}+b_{2}(t) h_{2}(x)=e_{2}\left(t, x, x^{\prime}\right) .
$$

Alaba and Ogundare [8] worked on the asymptotic behaviour of solutions of certain second-order ordinary differential equations of the form

$$
x^{\prime \prime}+a_{3}(t) f_{3}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) x^{\prime}+b_{3}(t) g_{3}(x)=e_{3}\left(t, x, x^{\prime}\right) .
$$

Next, we shift our attention to second-order nonlinear delay differential equations, Ademola et al. [4] worked on the periodicity, stability, and boundedness of solutions to the following nonlinear delay differential equation

$$
x^{\prime \prime}(t)+\phi_{4}(t) f_{4}\left(x(t), x(t-\rho(t)), x^{\prime}(t), x^{\prime}(t-\rho(t))\right)+g_{4}(x(t-\rho(t)))=p_{4}(\cdot)
$$

where $p_{4}(\cdot)=p_{4}\left(t, x(t), x^{\prime}(t)\right)$. We now consider second-order nonlinear stochastic differential equations with Abou-El-Ela et al. [1, 2] where new results on stability and boundedness of solutions of the equation of the type

$$
x^{\prime \prime}(t)+g_{5}\left(x^{\prime}(t)\right)+b x(t-h)+\vartheta x(t) \theta^{\prime}(t)=p_{5}\left(t, x(t), x^{\prime}(t-h)\right)
$$

was acquired. Ademola et al. [5] obtained new results on the stability and boundedness of solutions to a certain second-order nonautonomous stochastic differential equation of the form

$$
x^{\prime \prime}(t)+g_{6}\left(x(t), x^{\prime}(t)\right) x^{\prime}(t)+f_{6}(x(t))+\vartheta x(t) \theta^{\prime}(t)=p_{6}\left(t, x(t), x^{\prime}(t)\right) .
$$

However, our interest is in the study of the asymptotic behaviour of solutions of the differential equations

$$
\begin{gather*}
x^{\prime \prime}(t)+g\left(t, x(t), x^{\prime}(t)\right) x^{\prime}(t)+f(x(t))=p\left(t, x(t), x^{\prime}(t)\right) ;  \tag{1.1}\\
x^{\prime \prime}(t)+g\left(t, x(t), x^{\prime}(t)\right) x^{\prime}(t)+f(x(t-h))=p(\cdot) ; \tag{1.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}(t)+g\left(t, x(t), x^{\prime}(t)\right) x^{\prime}(t)+f(x(t))+\sigma x(t) \omega^{\prime}(t)=p(\cdot), \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma, h$, are positive constants with $h$ being the delay constant, the functions, $g \in$ $C\left(\left[\mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}\right), f \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}), p(\cdot)=p(t, x(t)\right.$,
$\left.x^{\prime}(t)\right), p \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ are continuous functions, $\mathbb{R}^{+}=[0, \infty), \mathbb{R}=(-\infty, \infty)$ and $\omega(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ (an $m$-dimensional standard Brownian motion (or Wiener process) defined on a probability space). The remaining parts of the paper are as follows, definitions and some basic results on the asymptotic behaviour of solutions of vector ordinary, delay, and stochastic differential equations are given in Section 2 . We state and prove the main results of this paper in Section 3, while examples are given in the last section.

## 2. Preliminaries

Consider the system

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}=f(s, y) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y$ is an $n$-vector. Suppose that $f(s, y)$ is continuous on $\mathbb{R}^{+} \times D$, where $D$ is a connected open set in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Let $C$ be a class of solutions of equation (2.1) which remain in $D, y_{0}(s)$ be an element of $C$ and $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the Euclidean norm in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.
Definition 2.1. (See [37]) The solution $y(s) \equiv 0$ of equation (2.1) is stable, if for any $\varepsilon>0$ and any $s_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$, there exists a $\delta\left(\varepsilon, s_{0}\right)>0$ such that $\left\|y\left(s ; s_{0}, y_{0},\right)\right\|<\varepsilon$ for all $s \geq s_{0}$ whenever $\left\|y_{0}\right\|<\boldsymbol{\delta}\left(\varepsilon, s_{0}\right)$.
Definition 2.2. The zero solution of equation (2.1) is asymptotically stable, if $y(s) \equiv 0$ is stable and if there exists a $\delta_{0}\left(s_{0}\right)>0$ such that $y\left(s ; s_{0}, y_{0}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$ whenever $\left\|y_{0}\right\|<\delta_{0}\left(s_{0}\right)$.
Definition 2.3. A solution $y\left(s ; s_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ of equation (2.1) is bounded, if there exists a $\delta>0$ such that $\left\|y\left(s ; s_{0}, y_{0}\right)\right\|<\delta$, for all $s \geq 0$, where $\delta$ may depend on each solution.
Definition 2.4. A solution $y\left(s ; s_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ of equation (2.1) is ultimately bounded for bound $E$, if there exists a $E>0$ and a $T_{1}>0$ such that for every solution $y\left(s ; s_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ of equation (2.1), $\left\|y\left(s ; s_{0}, y_{0}\right)\right\|$
$<E$, for all $s \geq s_{0}+T_{1}$, where $E$ is independent of the particular solution while $T_{1}$ may depend on each solution.
Lemma 2.5. (See [37]). Suppose that there exists a Lyaponuv function $V(s, y)$ defined on $0 \leq s<\infty,\|y\|<H, H>0$ which satisfies the following conditions
(i) $V(s, 0) \equiv 0$;
(ii) $a(\|y\|) \leq V(s, y)$, where $a(v)$ is continuous and increasing; and
(iii) $V_{\text {(2.1) }}^{\prime}(s, y) \leq 0$, (the upper right-hand derivative of the function $V$ along the solution path of (2.1)).
Then the zero solution of the system (2.1) is stable.
Lemma 2.6. (See [37]). Suppose that there exists a Lyaponuv function $V(s, y)$ defined on $0 \leq s<\infty,\|y\|<H, H>0$ which satisfies the following conditions
(i) $V(s, 0) \equiv 0$;
(ii) $a(\|y\|) \leq V(s, y) \leq b(\|y\|)$, where $a(v), b(v)$ are continuous increasing and $a(v) \rightarrow \infty$ as $v \rightarrow \infty$; and
(iii) $V_{[2.1]}^{\prime}(s, y) \leq-c(\|y\|)$, where $c(v)$ is positive and continuous.

Then the zero solution of the system (2.1) is uniformly asymptotically stable.
Lemma 2.7. (See [37]) Suppose that there exists a non-negative Lyapunov function $V(s, y)$ on $I \times Q$ such that $V_{[2.1]}^{\prime}(s, y) \leq-W(y)$, where $W(y)$ is positive definite with respect to a closed set $\Omega$ in the space $Q$. Moreover, suppose that $f(s, y)$ of the system (2.1) is bounded for all $s$ when $y$ belongs to an arbitrary compact set in $Q$ and that $f(s, y)$ satisfies conditions
(a) $f(s, y)$ tends to a function $H(y)$ for $y \in Q$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$ and on any compact set in $Q$ this convergence is uniform. Consequently, $H(y)$ is a continuous function on $Q$; and
(b) Corresponding to each $\varepsilon>0$ and each $y \in Q$, there exists a $\delta(\varepsilon, z)>0$ and a $T(\varepsilon, z)>0$ such that if $\|y-z\|<\delta(\varepsilon, z)$ and $s \geq T(\varepsilon, z)$, we have $\| f(s, y)-$ $(s, z) \|<\varepsilon$
with respect to $Q$. Then, every bounded solution of (2.1) approaches the largest semiinvariant set of the system

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}=H(y) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

contained in $\Omega$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$. In particular, if all solutions of (2.1) are bounded, every solution of (2.1) approaches the largest semi-invariant set of (2.2) contained in $\Omega$ as $s \rightarrow+\infty$.

Next, for $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n},\|y\|$ is the norm of $y$, and a given $r>0, C$ denotes the space of continuous functions mapping the interval $[-r, 0]$ into $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and for $\varphi \in C$,

$$
\|\varphi\|=\sup _{-h \leq \theta \leq 0}|\varphi(\theta)| .
$$

$C_{H}$ will denote the set of $\varphi \in C$ such that $\|\varphi\|<H$. For any continuous function $y(u)$ defined on $-r \leq u<A, A>0$, and any fixed $s, 0 \leq s<A$, the symbol $y_{s}$, will denote the restriction of $y(u)$ to the interval [ $s-r, s$ ], i.e., $y$, is an element of $C$ defined by $y_{s}(\theta)=y(s+\theta),-r \leq \theta \leq 0$. Let $y^{\prime}(s)$ denote the right-hand derivative of $y(u)$ at $u=s$, and consider the functional-differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}(s)=f\left(s, y_{s}\right), \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and the initial value $\varphi:[-r, 0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ with supremum norm, $r>0, C_{H}$ is an open ball of radius $H$ in $C$;

$$
C_{H}:=\left\{\varphi \in C\left([-r, 0], \mathbb{R}^{n}\right):\|\varphi\|<H\right\} .
$$

Definition 2.8. (See [37]). A function $y\left(s_{0}, \varphi\right)$ is said to be a solution of equation (2.3) with initial condition $\varphi \in C_{H}$ at $s=s_{0}, s_{0} \geq 0$, if there is a $T>0$ such that $y\left(s_{0}, \varphi\right)$ is a function from $\left[s_{0}-r, s_{0}+T\right)$ into $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, with the properties
(i) $y_{s}\left(s_{0}, \varphi\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ for $s_{0} \leq s<s_{0}+T$;
(ii) $y_{s}\left(s_{0}, \varphi\right)=\varphi$; and
(iii) $y\left(s_{0}, \varphi\right)$ satisfies equation (2.3) for $s_{0} \leq s<s_{0}+T$.

The definition of stability and boundedness can be given in the same way as for ordinary differential equations, that is, by replacing the initial value $y_{0}$ and the solution $y\left(s ; s_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ by $\varphi$ and $y_{s}\left(s_{0}, \varphi\right)$, respectively.
Definition 2.9. (See [37]) A functional $V=V(s, \varphi)$ defined on $s \in \mathbb{R}^{+}, \varphi \in C_{H}$ is called Lyapunov functional for the system (2.3) if
(i) $a(\|\varphi\|) \leq V(s, \varphi) \leq b(\|\varphi\|)$, where $a(v)$ and $b(v)$ are continuous increasing and $a(v) \rightarrow \infty$ as $v \rightarrow \infty$; and
(ii) $V_{[2.3 \mid}^{\prime}(s, \varphi) \leq-c(\|\varphi\|)$, where $c(v)$ is continuous and positive for $v>0$.

Definition 2.10. Let $V(s, \varphi)$ be a continuous functional defined for $s \geq 0, \varphi \in C_{H}$. The derivative of this functional $V$ along the solutions path of equation 2.3 is defined to be

$$
V_{[2.3)}^{\prime}(s, \varphi)=\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0^{+}} \sup \frac{1}{\delta}\left[V\left(s+\delta, y_{s+\delta}(s, \varphi)\right)-V\left(s, y_{s}\left(s_{0}, \varphi\right)\right)\right],
$$

where $y\left(s_{0}, \varphi\right)$ is the solution of equation (2.3) with $y_{s_{0}}\left(s_{0}, \varphi\right)=\varphi$.

Lemma 2.11. (See [37]). Let $V: \mathbb{R}^{+} \times C_{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous and locally Lipschitz in $\varphi, V(s, 0)=0$ and such that
(i) $W_{1}(|\varphi|) \leq V(s, \varphi) \leq W_{2}(|\varphi|)$; and
(ii) $V_{[2.3]}^{\prime}(s, \varphi) \leq 0$, where $W_{i}(i=1,2)$ are wedges.

Then the solution $y_{s}$ of equation (2.3) is uniformly stable. If we defined

$$
\Omega=\left\{\varphi \in C_{H}: V_{[2.3]}^{\prime}(\varphi)=0\right\},
$$

then $y_{s}=0$ of equation (2.3) is asymptotically stable, provided that the largest invariant set in $\Omega=\{\mathbf{0}\}$.

Lemma 2.12. (See [37]). Let $V(s, \varphi)$ be a continuous Lyaponuv functional on $C$ and let $U_{l}$ denote the region such that $V(s, \varphi)<l$. Suppose that $V(s, \varphi) \geq 0$ and $V_{[2.3]}^{\prime}(s, \varphi) \leq 0$ for all $(s, \varphi) \in U_{l}$ and that there exists a constant $K \geq 0$ such that $\left|\varphi\left(s_{0}, \varphi_{0}\right)\right| \leq K$ for all $(s, \varphi) \in U_{l}$. If $E$ is the set of all points in $U_{l}$ where $V_{[2.3]}^{\prime}(s, \varphi)=0$ and $M$ is the largest invariant set in $E$, then every solution of equation (2.3) with initial value in $U_{l}$ approaches $M$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$.

Finally, consider the nonautonomous $n$-dimensional stochastic differential equation.

$$
\begin{equation*}
d y_{s}=F\left(s, y_{s}\right) d s+G\left(s, y_{s}\right) d \Omega_{s} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $s \geq s_{0}$ with initial value $y_{s_{0}}=y_{0}$, where $F: \mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $G: \mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ are measurable functions, $\Omega_{s} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ (an $m$-dimensional Wiener process defined on a probability space). Suppose that both $F$ and $G$ are sufficiently smooth for equation (2.4) to have a unique continuous solution on $s \geq 0$ which is denoted by $y\left(s, y_{0}\right)$, if $y(0)=0$, with further assumption that $F(s, 0)=0, G(s, 0)=0$ for all $s \geq 0$.

Then, the stochastic differential equation (2.4) admits zero solution $y(s, 0) \equiv 0$.
Definition 2.13. The zero solution of the stochastic differential equation (2.4) is said to be stochastically stable or stable in probability, if for every pair of $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$ and $\eta>0, \exists$ a $\delta_{0}=\delta_{0}(\varepsilon, \eta)>0$ such that $P\left\{\left|y\left(s ; y_{0}\right)\right|<\eta, \forall s \geq 0\right\} \geq 1-\varepsilon$, whenever $\left|y_{0}\right|<\delta_{0}$.

Definition 2.14. The zero solution of the stochastic differential equation (2.4) is said to be stochastically asymptotically stable, if it is stochastically stable and in addition if for every pair of $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$ and $\eta>0, \exists$ a $\delta_{0}=\delta_{0}(\varepsilon)>0$ such that $\operatorname{Pr}\left\{\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} y\left(s ; y_{0}\right)=\right.$ $0\} \geq 1-\varepsilon$, whenever $\left|y_{0}\right|<\delta_{0}$.

Definition 2.15. A solution $y\left(s, s_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ of the stochastic differential equation (2.4) is said to be stochastically bounded or bounded in probability if it satisfies $E^{y_{0}}\left\|y\left(s, y_{0}\right)\right\| \leq$ $C\left(s_{0},\left\|y_{0}\right\|\right)$, for all $s \geq s_{0}$, where $E^{y_{0}}$ denotes the expectation operator with respect to the probability law associated with $y_{0}, C: \mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$is a constant depending on $s_{0}$ and $y_{0}$.

Definition 2.16. The solution $y\left(s, s_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ of the stochastic differential equation (2.4) is said to be uniformly stochastically bounded, if it satisfies
$E^{y_{0}}\left\|y\left(s, y_{0}\right)\right\| \leq C\left(\left\|y_{0}\right\|\right)$, for all $s \geq s_{0}$, where $E^{y_{0}}$ denotes the expectation operator with respect to the probability law associated with $y_{0}, C: \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$is a constant depending on $y_{0}$.

Let $V \in C^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}, \mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ denote the family of nonnegative functions $V(s, y)$ (Lyapunov function) defined on $\mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$ which are once continuously differentiable in $s$ and twice continuously differentiable in $y$. By Itô's formula, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
d V(s, y)=L V(s, y) d s+V_{y}(s, y) G(s, y) d \omega(s) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
L V(s, y) & =\frac{\partial V(s, y)}{\partial s}+\frac{\partial V(s, y)}{\partial y} F(s, y) \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{trace}\left[G^{T}(s, y) V_{y y}(s, y) G(s, y)\right], \\
V_{y}(s, y) & =\left(\frac{\partial V(s, y)}{\partial y_{1}}, \cdots, \frac{\partial V(s, y)}{\partial y_{n}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
V_{y y}(s, y)=\left(\frac{\partial^{2} V(s, y)}{\partial y_{i} \partial y_{j}}\right)_{n \times n}, \quad i, j=1,2, \cdots, n .
$$

Lemma 2.17. (See [31]). Assume that there exist $V \in C^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$and a positive constant $\phi$ such that
(i) $V(s, 0)=0$;
(ii) $V(s, y(s))>\phi(\|y(s)\|)$; and
(iii) $L V(s, y) \leq 0$ for all $(s, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$.

Then the zero solution of stochastic differential equation (2.4) is stochastically stable.
Lemma 2.18. (See [31]). Suppose that there exist $V \in C^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$and positive constants $\phi_{0}, \phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ such that
(i) $V(s, 0)=0$;
(ii) $\phi_{0}(\|y\|) \leq V(s, y) \leq \phi_{1}(\|y\|), \phi_{0}(v) \rightarrow \infty$ as $v$ tends to infinity; and
(iii) $L V(s, y) \leq-\phi_{2}(\|y\|)$ for all $(s, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$.

Then the zero solution of stochastic differential equation (2.4) is uniformly stochastically asymptotically stable in the large.

Assumption 2.19. (See [28]). Let $V \in C^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$, and suppose that for any solutions $y\left(s_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ of stochastic differential equation (2.4) and for any fixed $0 \leq s_{0} \leq$ $T<\infty$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{y_{0}}\left\{\int_{s_{0}}^{T} V_{y_{i}}^{2}(s, y(s)) G_{i k}^{2}(s, y(s)) d s\right\}<\infty \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $1 \leq i \leq n, \quad 1 \leq k \leq m$.
Assumption 2.20. (See [28]). A special case of the general inequality (2.6) is the following condition. Assume that there exists a function $\rho(s)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|V_{y_{i}}(s, y(s)) G_{i k}(s, y(s))\right|<\rho(s), \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \quad 1 \leq i \leq n, \quad 1 \leq k \leq m$, and for any fixed $0 \leq s_{0} \leq T<\infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{s_{0}}^{T} \rho^{2}(s) d s<\infty \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.21. (See [28]). Assume that there exists a Lyapunov function $V(s, y(s)) \in$ $C^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$, satisfying Assumption 2.19, such that, for all $(s, y(s)) \in \mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$,
(i) $\|y(s)\|^{j} \leq V(s, y(s))$
(ii) $L V(s, y(s)) \leq-\alpha(s)\|y(s)\|^{k}+\psi(s)$; and
(iii) $V(s, y(s))-V^{k}(s, y(s)) \leq \mu$,
where $\alpha, \psi \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{+} ; \mathbb{R}^{+}\right), j, k$ and $\mu$ are positive constants, $j \geq 1$ and $\psi$ is a non negative constant. Then the solutions of the stochastic differential equation (2.4) satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
E^{y_{0}}\left\|y\left(s, y_{0}\right)\right\| & \leq\left[V\left(s_{0}, y_{0}\right) e^{-\int_{s_{0}}^{s} \alpha(\varepsilon) d \varepsilon}+\int_{s_{0}}^{s}(\mu \alpha(u)\right.  \tag{2.9}\\
& \left.+\psi(u)) e^{-\int_{u}^{s} \alpha(\varepsilon) d \varepsilon} d u\right]^{1 / j},
\end{align*}
$$

for all $s \geq s_{0}$.
Lemma 2.22. (See [28]). Assume there exist a Lyapunov function $V \in C^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+} \times\right.$ $\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{R}^{+}$), satisfying Assumption 2.20, such that for all $(s, y(s)) \in \mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$,
(i) $\|y(s)\|^{j} \leq V(s, y(s)) \leq\|y(s)\|^{k}$;
(ii) $L V(s, y(s)) \leq-\alpha(s)\|y(s)\|^{v}+\psi(s)$; and
(iii) $V(s, y(s))-V^{v / k}(s, y(s)) \leq \mu$,
where $\alpha, \psi \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{+} ; \mathbb{R}^{+}\right), j, k$ and $v$ are positive constants, $j \geq 1$ and $\psi$ is a non negative constant. Then the solutions of the stochastic differential equation (2.4) satisfy inequality (2.9) for all $s \geq s_{0}$.

Corollary 2.23. (See [28]).
(a) Suppose all the hypotheses of Lemma 2.21 hold and in addition,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{s_{0}}^{s}(\mu \alpha(u)+\psi(u)) e^{-\int_{u}^{s} \alpha(\varepsilon) d \varepsilon} d u \leq B \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $s \geq s_{0} \geq 0$, for some positive constant $B$; then all solutions of stochastic differential equation (2.4) are stochastically bounded.
(b) Again suppose all the hypotheses of Lemma 2.22 hold and in addition, if condition (2.10) is satisfied; then all solutions of stochastic differential equation (2.4) are uniformly stochastically bounded.

## 3. Main Results

This section presents boundedness and the behaviour of solutions as $t \rightarrow \infty$. The equivalent system of equation (1.1) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime}=y, y^{\prime}=p(t, x, y)-g(t, x, y) y-f(x) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the functions $f, g$ and $p$ are defined in Section 1. We define the Lyapunov function $V(x, y)=V$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 V=\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right) x^{2}+(b+1) y^{2}+2 a x y+x f(x), \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $a>0$, and $b>0$ are constants.
Theorem 3.1. Further to the basic assumption on the functions $g, f$ and $p$ in equation (1.1), suppose that $a, b, b_{1}, c, \beta$ are positive constants and that
(i) $g(t, x, y) \geq a$;
(ii) $f(0)=0, b \leq \frac{f(x)}{x} \leq b_{1}$, for all $x \neq 0, f^{\prime}(x) \leq c$ for all $x, b>\frac{c}{2 a}$; and
(iii) $|p(t, x, y)| \leq \beta$ for all $t \geq 0$.

Then every solution of system (3.1) satisfies

$$
x^{2}(t)+y^{2}(t) \leq \exp (-\eta t)+\left\{P_{1}+P_{2} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}|p(s, x, y)| \exp \left(\frac{\eta s}{2}\right) d s\right\}^{2}
$$

for all $t \geq t_{0}$, where the constant $P_{1}=P_{1}\left(\lambda_{0}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \lambda_{7}, a, b, x\left(t_{0},\right)\right.$, $\left.y\left(t_{0}\right), \delta_{1}, \delta_{2},\right)>0$ and the constant $P_{2}=P_{2}\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{3}, \lambda_{4}, \lambda_{5}\right)>0$.

Theorem 3.2. Suppose that conditions (i) to (iii) of Theorem 3.1 hold, and in addition $\int_{0}^{\infty}|p(t, x, y)| d t<\beta<\infty$, for all $t \geq 0, x$ and $y$. Then every solution $(x(t), y(t))$ of the system (3.1) satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t)=0 ; \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t)=0 . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

One influential lemma that is extremely important to the proofs of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 will be stated and proved.

Lemma 3.3. Suppose all the conditions of Theorem 3.1 hold, then there exist positive constants $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{1}(b)$ and $\lambda_{2}=\lambda_{2}\left(a, b, b_{1}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right) \leq V \leq \lambda_{2}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x$ and $y$. Furthermore, there exist positive constants $\lambda_{3}=\lambda_{3}\left(\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right)$ and $\lambda_{4}=$ $\lambda_{4}(a, b)$ such that along the solution path of system (3.1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{(3.1)}^{\prime} \leq-\lambda_{3}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)+\lambda_{4}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}|p(t, x, y)| \forall x \text { and } y . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $(x(t), y(t))$ be any solution of (3.1). From equation (3.2), it is clear that $V(0,0)=0$,for all $t \geq 0$. Re-writing equation (3.2), we have

$$
V=\frac{1}{2}\left\{(a x+y)^{2}+\left(b^{2}+\frac{f(x)}{x}\right) x^{2}+b y^{2}\right\} .
$$

Since $(a x+y)^{2} \geq 0$ for all $x$ and $y$, and from hypothesis (ii) of Theorem 3.1, there exists a positive constant $d_{1}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V \geq d_{1}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $d_{1}=\frac{1}{2} \min \left\{b^{2}+b, b\right\}$. Applying the inequality $|x y| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)$ on equation (3.2) gives

$$
V \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\left[a^{2}+\left(b^{2}+b_{1}\right)+a\right] x^{2}+(b+1+a) y^{2}\right)
$$

There exists a positive constant $d_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V \leq d_{2}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d_{2}:=\frac{1}{2} \max \left\{a^{2}+b^{2}+a+b_{1}, 1+a+b\right\}$. From inequalities (3.6) and (3.7), inequality (3.4) is established with $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$ equivalent to $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ respectively.

Moreover, the time derivative of equation (3.2) along the system (3.1) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{\text {[.1. }}=-a \frac{f(x)}{x} x^{2}-[(b+1) g(t, x, y)-a] y^{2}-[a g(t, x, y)  \tag{3.8}\\
& \left.+b \frac{f(x)}{x}-f^{\prime}(x)-\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)\right] x y+[a x+(b+1) y] p(t, x, y)
\end{align*}
$$

Following the hypotheses (i) and (ii) of Theorem 3.1, equation (3.8) could be simplified as

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{[3.1]}^{\prime} \leq-a b x^{2}-a b y^{2}+c|x y|+[a|x|+(b+1)|y|]|p(t, x, y)| . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying the inequality $|x y| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)$ and the fact that $(|x|+|y|)^{2} \leq 2\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, in inequality (3.9), there exist two positive constants $d_{3}$ and $d_{4}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{3.1)}^{\prime} \leq-d_{3}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)+d_{4}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}|p(t, x, y)| \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $d_{3}:=a b-\frac{1}{2} c$ and $d_{4}:=\sqrt{2} \max \{a, b+1\}$. Inequality (3.10) establishes inequality (3.5) with $d_{3}$ and $d_{4}$ equivalent to $\lambda_{3}$ and $\lambda_{4}$ respectively.

Remarks 1. If $p(t, x, y) \equiv 0$, inequality (3.10) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{3.11}^{\prime} \leq-\lambda_{3}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right) \leq 0 \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Let $(x(t), y(t))$ be any solution of the system (3.1). Recall from equation (3.5) that $V_{(3.1]}^{\prime} \leq-\lambda_{3}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)+\lambda_{4}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}|p(t, x, y)|$, for all $t \geq 0, x$ and $y$. From inequalities (3.6) and (3.7), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \leq\left(\frac{V}{d_{1}}\right)^{1 / 2} \text { and }-\lambda_{3}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right) \leq-\lambda_{3}\left(\frac{V}{d_{2}}\right) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{3}$ is a positive constant. Using inequalities (3.12) in (3.5), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{(3.1)}^{\prime} \leq-\lambda_{5} V+\lambda_{6} V^{1 / 2}|p(t, x, y)|, \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{5}:=\frac{\lambda_{3}}{d_{2}}>0$ and $\lambda_{6}:=\frac{\lambda_{4}}{\left(d_{1}\right)^{1 / 2}}>0$. Inequality (3.13) can be further written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{[3.1]}^{\prime} \leq-2 \lambda_{7} V+\lambda_{6} V^{1 / 2}|p(t, x, y)|, \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{7}:=\frac{\lambda_{5}}{2}$. Further simplification of inequality (3.14) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{(3.1)}^{\prime}+\lambda_{7} V \leq \lambda_{6} V^{1 / 2}\left[|p(t, x, y)|-\lambda_{8} V^{1 / 2}\right] \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{8}:=\frac{\lambda_{7}}{\lambda_{6}}>0$. Inequality (3.15) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{(3.1)}^{\prime}+\lambda_{7} V \leq \lambda_{6} V^{1 / 2}|p(t, x, y)| . \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Dividing inequality (3.16) by $V^{1 / 2}$ and from hypothesis (iii) of Theorem 3.1, we have first order differential inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{(3.1)}^{\prime} V^{-1 / 2}+\lambda_{7} V^{1 / 2} \leq \lambda_{6} \beta . \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

On multiplying inequality (3.17) by $e^{\frac{\lambda_{7}}{2} t}$ and integrating from $t_{0}$ to $t$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{1 / 2}(t) \leq e^{-\frac{\lambda_{7}}{2} t}\left[V^{1 / 2}\left(t_{0}\right) e^{\frac{\lambda_{7}}{2} t_{0}}+\frac{\lambda_{6}}{2} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \beta e^{\frac{\lambda_{7}}{2} s} d s\right] . \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using inequality (3.4) and (3.18), we obtain

$$
x^{2}(t)+y^{2}(t) \leq e^{-\lambda_{7}} t\left[P_{1}+P_{2} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{\frac{\lambda_{s}}{2} s} d s\right]^{2},
$$

where $P_{1}=\frac{\lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{1}}\left(x^{2}\left(t_{0}\right)+y^{2}\left(t_{0}\right)\right)^{1 / 2} e^{\frac{\lambda_{7}}{2} t_{0}}$ and $P_{2}=\frac{\lambda_{6} \beta}{2 \lambda_{1}}$. For convenience, let $\lambda_{7}=\eta$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2}(t)+y^{2}(t) \leq e^{-\eta t}\left[P_{1}+P_{2} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{\frac{\eta s}{2}} d s\right]^{2} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remarks 2. If $p(t, x, y)=0$, then inequality (3.19) reduces to $x^{2}(t)+y^{2}(t) \leq \lambda_{9} e^{-\eta t} P_{1}$ and $x^{2}(t)+y^{2}(t) \rightarrow 0$, as $t \rightarrow \infty$, which established that, the zero solution of the system (3.1) is asymptotically stable.

Proof of Theorem 3.2. Let $(x(t), y(t))$ be any solution of the system (3.1). Now from inequality (3.5) and choosing $\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \geq \lambda_{10}$ where $\lambda_{10}:=2 \beta \lambda_{3}^{-1} \lambda_{4}>0$ is a constant, then inequality (3.5) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{3.1]}^{\prime} \leq-\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{3}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right) \leq 0, \text { for all } x, y \text { and } t \geq 0 \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the set $\Omega_{1}=\left\{X(t)=(x(t), y(t)) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \mid V_{\sqrt{3.1 \mid}}^{\prime}(t, X(t))=0\right\}$. Since equation (1.1) can be written in the form $X^{\prime}=F(X(t))+G(t, X(t))$, where $F(X(t))=(y,-g(t, x, y) y-$ $f(x))^{T}$ and $G(t, X(t))$
$=(0, p(t, x, y))^{T}$. Then by inequality (3.20), and the fact that $V_{\{3.1]}^{\prime}(X(t))=0$ on $\Omega_{1}$ implies that $x=y=0$, it follows that $X^{\prime}=\mathbf{0}$ has solution $(X(t))^{T}=$ $K^{T}$, where $X(t)=(x(t), y(t)) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ and $K=\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)$. For $X(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ to remain in $\Omega_{1}$, we must have $k_{1}=k_{2}=0$. The largest invariant set in $\Omega_{1}$ is $\{0,0\}$ so that by inequality (3.6), (3.7) and (3.20), all assumptions of Lemma 2.7 holds with $\varphi \equiv X(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$, hence by Lemma 2.7, equation (3.3) is established. Hence the proof.

Now we consider equation (1.2) and its equivalent system

$$
\begin{align*}
& x^{\prime}=y \\
& y^{\prime}=p(t, x, y)-g(t, x, y) y-f(x)+\int_{t-h}^{t} f^{\prime}(x(s)) y(s) d s \tag{3.21}
\end{align*}
$$

where $0<h$, is a constant to be determined. The main tool to be used in proving our results is the continuously differentiable Lyapunov functional $V=V\left(t, x_{t}, y_{t}\right)$ defined as

$$
\begin{align*}
2 V & =b^{2} x^{2}+b y^{2}+(a x+y)^{2}+2 \int_{0}^{x} f(s) d s  \tag{3.22}\\
& +\gamma \int_{-h}^{0} \int_{t+s}^{t} y^{2}(\theta) d \theta d s
\end{align*}
$$

where $\gamma$ is a positive constant that will be determined later.

Theorem 3.4. In addition to the basic assumption on the functions $f, g$ and $p$ in equation (1.2), suppose that $a, b, h, \beta, P, Q$ are positive constants such that
(i) $g(t, x, y) \geq a$ for all $x$ and $y$;
(ii) $f(0)=0, b \leq \frac{f(x)}{x} \leq \beta$ for all $x \neq 0$;
(iii) $\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq P$ for all $x$;
(iv) $0<h$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
h<\min \left\{\frac{a b}{P(1+2 a+b)}, \frac{a b}{P(2+a+2 b)}\right\} ; \text { and } \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

(v) $|p(t, x, y)| \leq Q, \quad Q<\infty$.

Then every solution $\left(x_{t}, y_{t}\right)$ of the system (3.21) satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t)=0, \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t)=0 . \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

A lemma will be stated and proved which will be used in the proofs of our results.
Lemma 3.5. Suppose all the conditions of Theorem 3.4 holds, then there exist positive constants $\lambda_{11}, \lambda_{12}, \lambda_{13}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{11}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right) \leq V \leq \lambda_{12}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)+\lambda_{13} \int_{t-h}^{t} y^{2}(s) d s \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \geq 0, x$ and $y$. Moreover, there exist positive constants $\lambda_{14}$ and $\lambda_{15}$ such that along the solution path of system (3.21)

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{(3.21)}^{\prime} \leq-\lambda_{14}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)+\lambda_{15}(|x|+|y|)|p(t, x, y)| . \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\left(x_{t}, y_{t}\right)$ be any solution of (3.21). From equation (3.22) we have $V(t, 0,0)=$ 0 for all $t \geq 0$. Also, the double integrals term in (3.22) is nonnegative, $\frac{f(x)}{x} \geq b$ for all $x \neq 0$, and $(a x+y)^{2} \geq 0$ for all $x$ and $y$, there exists a positive constant $\lambda_{11}=\lambda_{11}(b)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V \geq \lambda_{11}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right) \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{11}:=\frac{b}{2} \min \{1+b, 1\}$. Applying the inequality $|x y| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)$ on equation (3.22), since $\frac{f(x)}{x} \leq \beta$ for all $x \neq 0$, there exist positive constants $\lambda_{12}$ and $\lambda_{13}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V \leq \lambda_{12}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)+\lambda_{13} \int_{t-h}^{t} y^{2}(s) d s \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{12}=\frac{1}{2} \max \left\{\left(a^{2}+b^{2}+a+\beta\right),(a+b+1)\right\}$, and $\lambda_{13}=\frac{1}{2} \gamma$. Hence, from inequality (3.27) and (3.28), inequality (3.25) is established.

Furthermore, the time derivative of the functional $V$ defined by equation (3.22) along the solution path of the system (3.21) is

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{(3.21)}^{\prime}=-a \frac{f(x)}{x} x^{2}-[(b+1) g(t, x, y)-a] y^{2} \\
& -\left[b \frac{f(x)}{x}+a g(t, x, y)-\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)\right] x y+[a x+(b+1) y] \times \\
& p(t, x, y)+[a x+(b+1) y] \int_{t-h}^{t} f^{\prime}(x(s)) y(s) d s  \tag{3.29}\\
& +\frac{\gamma}{2}\left[h y^{2}-\int_{t-h}^{t} y^{2}(s) d s\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Applying hypotheses (i)-(iii) of Theorem 3.4, and the obvious inequality $|x y| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(x^{2}+\right.$ $y^{2}$ ) in equation (3.29), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{[3.21 \mid}^{\prime} \leq-\frac{1}{2} a b x^{2}-\frac{1}{2} a b y^{2}+\frac{P}{2}\left[a x^{2}+(b+1) y^{2}\right] h+\frac{\gamma}{2}\left[h y^{2}\right. \\
& \left.-\int_{t-h}^{t} y^{2}(s) d s\right]+\frac{P}{2}(a+b+1) \int_{t-h}^{t} y^{2}(s) d s  \tag{3.30}\\
& -\left(W_{5}+W_{6}\right)+\max \{a, b+1\}(|x|+|y|)|p(t, x, y)|
\end{align*}
$$

where $W_{5}:=\frac{1}{4}\left[a b x^{2}+4\left(a g(t, x, y)-a^{2}\right) x y+a b y^{2}\right]$ and $W_{6}:=\frac{1}{4}\left[a b x^{2}+4\left(b \frac{f(x)}{x}-\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.b^{2}\right) x y+a b y^{2}\right]$. Since $W_{5}$ and $W_{6}$, are quadratic expressions, it is not difficult to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{5}=W_{6} \geq \frac{1}{4} a b[|x|-|y|]^{2} \geq 0 \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x$ and $y$. Using estimate (3.31) in (3.30) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{[3.21 \mid}^{\prime} \leq-\frac{1}{2} a b x^{2}-\frac{1}{2} a b y^{2}+\frac{h}{2}[(b+1) P+\gamma] y^{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{2}[\gamma-(a+b+1) P] \int_{t-h}^{t} y^{2}(s) d s+\frac{h}{2}(a P+\gamma) x^{2}  \tag{3.32}\\
& +\max \{a, b+1\}(|x|+|y|)|p(t, x, y)|
\end{align*}
$$

On choosing $\gamma=(a+b+1) P>0$, there exist positive constants $\lambda_{14}$ and $\lambda_{15}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{\prime} \leq-\lambda_{14}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)+\lambda_{15}(|x|+|y|)|p(t, x, y)| \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x$ and $y \neq 0$, where $\lambda_{14}:=\frac{1}{2} \min \{a b-(2 a+b+1) h P, a b-(a+2 b+2) h P\}$ and $\lambda_{15}:=$ $\max \{a, b+1\}$.
Proof of Theorem 3.4. Let $\left(x_{t}, y_{t}\right)$ be any solution of the system (3.21). Since inequality $(|x|+|y|)^{2} \leq 2\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)$, then inequality (3.33) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{\prime} \leq-\lambda_{14}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)+\lambda_{16}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\lambda_{16}=\sqrt{2} \lambda_{15} Q$. There exist positive constants $\lambda_{17}$ and $\lambda_{18}$ such that inequality (3.34) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{\prime} \leq-\lambda_{17}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right) \leq 0 \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \geq 0, x$ and $y$ provided that $\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \geq \lambda_{18}$, where $\lambda_{17}:=\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{14}$ and $\lambda_{18}:=$ $2 \lambda_{14}^{-1} \lambda_{16}$. Now consider the set $\Omega_{2}=\left\{X_{t}=\left(x_{t}, y_{t}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: V_{3.21}^{\prime}\left(t, X_{t}\right)=0\right\}$. Since the system (3.21) can be written as

$$
X^{\prime}=F\left(X_{t}\right)+G\left(t, X_{t}\right),
$$

where

$$
F\left(X_{t}\right)=\left(y,-g(t, x, y) y-f(x)+\int_{t-h}^{t} f^{\prime}(x(s)) y(s) d s\right)^{T}
$$

and

$$
G\left(t, X_{t}\right)=(0, p(t, x, y))^{T}
$$

From inequality (3.35), and the fact that $V_{(3.21)}^{\prime}\left(t, X_{t}\right)=0$ on $\Omega_{2}$, implies that $x=y=0$ and since $f(0)=0$, it follows that $X^{\prime}=\mathbf{0}$ has solution $\left(x_{t}, y_{t}\right)^{T}=\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)^{T}$. For $X_{t} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ to remain in $\Omega_{2}$, we must have $k_{1}=k_{2}=0$. Since the largest invariant set in $\Omega_{2}$ is $\{0,0\}$ so that by inequality (3.27), 3.28) and (3.35), all assumptions of Lemma 2.12 holds and hence by Lemma 2.12 equation (3.24) is established.

Finally, we shift to stochastic differential equation (1.3) and consider the equivalent system

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime}=y, y^{\prime}=p(t, x, y)-g(t, x, y) y-f(x)-\sigma x \omega^{\prime}(t) \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the functions $f, g$ and $p$ are defined in Section 1. The continuously differentiable Lyapunov function $V=V(x(t), y(t))$ employed is defined (3.2) for all $a>0, b>0$ constants.

Theorem 3.6. Suppose that $a, b, \sigma, \beta$, and $B_{0}$ are positive constants such that
(i) $a \leq g(t, x, y)$ for all $x$ and $y, g(t, 0,0)=0$;
(ii) $f(0)=0, b \leq \frac{f(x)}{x} \leq \beta$ for all $x \neq 0$;
(iii) $\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2}(b+1)<a b$; and
(iv) $|p(t, x, y)|<B_{0}$ for all $t \geq 0, x$ and $y$.

Then the solution $(x(t), y(t))$ of the stochastic differential system (3.36) is uniformly stochastically bounded.

Theorem 3.7. Suppose that all hypotheses of Theorem 3.6 hold. In addition, if hypotheses $\int_{0}^{\infty}|p(t, x, y)| d t<\infty$ is satisfied, for all $t \geq 0, x$ and $y$. Then every solution $(x(t), y(t))$ of the system (3.36) satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t)=0, \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t)=0 \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof of the following lemma will be used in the proofs of Theorems 3.6 and 3.7.

Lemma 3.8. Suppose all the conditions of Theorem 3.6 holds, then there exist positive constants $\lambda_{19}$ and $\lambda_{20}$ such that along the solution path of (3.36)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{19}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right) \leq V \leq \lambda_{20}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right) \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x$ and $y$. In addition, there exists positive constants $\lambda_{21}$ and $\lambda_{22}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L V_{\sqrt{3.36}}(x, y) \leq-\lambda_{21}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)+\lambda_{22}(|x|+|y|)|p(t, x, y)| \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \geq 0, x$ and $y$.
Proof. See the proof in Lemma (3.3) which establishes estimate (3.38) with $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$ equivalent to $\lambda_{19}$ and $\lambda_{20}$ respectively. Furthermore, from equation (2.5), we find that

$$
\begin{align*}
& L V_{\underline{(3.36})}=-\frac{1}{2}\left[a \frac{f(x)}{x}-\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2}(b+1)\right] x^{2} \\
& -\frac{1}{2}[(b+1) g(t, x, y)-a] y^{2}+[a x+(b+1) y] p(\cdot)-W_{7}, \tag{3.40}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_{7} & :=\frac{1}{2}\left\{\left[a \frac{f(x)}{x}-\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2}(b+1)\right] x^{2}+2\left[b \frac{f(x)}{x}+a g(t, x, y)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-\left(a^{2}+b^{2}+f^{\prime}(x)\right)\right] x y+[(b+1) g(t, x, y)-a] y^{2}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Noting that $W_{7}$ is quadratic, it is not difficult to show that

$$
\begin{align*}
W_{7} \geq & \frac{1}{2}\left[\sqrt{\left[a \frac{f(x)}{x}-\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2}(b+1)\right]}|x|\right.  \tag{3.41}\\
& -\sqrt{[(b+1) g(t, x, y)-a]}|y|]^{2} \geq 0
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x$ and $y$. Using estimate (3.41), hypotheses (i) and (ii) of Theorem 3.6in equation (3.40), we have

$$
L V_{[3.36)} \leq-\frac{1}{2}\left[a b-\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2}(b+1)\right] x^{2}-\frac{1}{2} a b y^{2}+[a x+(b+1) y] p(t, x, y) .
$$

Using the hypotheses of Theorem 3.6 there exist positive constants $d_{7}$ and $d_{8}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L V_{[3.36]} \leq-d_{7}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)+d_{8}(|x|+|y|)|p(t, x, y)| \tag{3.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \geq 0, x$ and $y$, where $d_{7}:=\frac{1}{2} \max \left\{a b-\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2}(b+1), a b\right\}$ and $d_{8}:=\max \{a, b+$ $1\}$. Hence, inequality (3.42) establishes inequality (3.39) with $d_{7} \equiv \lambda_{21}$ and $d_{8} \equiv \lambda_{22}$ respectively.

Proof of Theorem 3.6. Let $(x(t), y(t))$ be any solution of the system (3.36). Re-writing inequality 3.39), noting hypotheses (iv) of Theorem 3.6, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
L V_{(3.36)} & \leq-\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{21}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{21}\left[\left(|x|-B_{0} \lambda_{21}^{-1} \lambda_{22}\right)^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(|y|-B_{0} \lambda_{21}^{-1} \lambda_{22}\right)^{2}\right]+\lambda_{24}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $t \geq 0, x$ and $y$ where $\lambda_{24}:=B_{0}^{2} \lambda_{21}^{-1} \lambda_{22}^{2}$. Since $\lambda_{21}, \lambda_{22}$ and $B_{0}$ are positive constants and of course $\left(|x|-B_{0} \lambda_{21}^{-1} \lambda_{22}\right)^{2}+\left(|y|-B_{0} \lambda_{21}^{-1} \lambda_{22}\right)^{2} \geq 0$, for all $x$ and $y$, then there exists positive constants $\lambda_{23}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L V_{(3.36)}(x, y) \leq-\lambda_{23}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)+\lambda_{24}, \tag{3.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t \geq 0, x$ and $y$, where $\lambda_{23}=\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{21}$. Therefore, condition (ii) of Lemma 2.22 is satisfied with $\alpha(t)=\lambda_{23}, \quad \psi(t)=\lambda_{24}, \quad r=2$. Similarly, from inequality (3.6), hypotheses (i) and (iii) of Lemma 2.22 hold with $j=k=2$ so that $\mu=0$. Also, from inequality (2.10) of Corollary 2.23, for $\mu=0$

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[(\mu \alpha(u)+\psi(u)) e^{-\int_{u}^{t} \alpha(s) d s}\right] d u & =\lambda_{23}^{-1} \lambda_{24}\left[1-e^{-\lambda_{23}\left(t-t_{0}\right)}\right]  \tag{3.44}\\
& \leq \lambda_{23}^{-1} \lambda_{24}
\end{align*}
$$

for $t \geq t_{0} \geq 0$. Inequality (3.44) satisfies (2.10) of Corollary 2.23 with $B=\lambda_{24} \lambda_{23}^{-1}>0$. Furthermore, from equation (2.6) and (3.2) there exits a positive constant $\lambda_{25}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|V_{y_{i}}(t, x, y) G_{i k}(t, x, y)\right|=|\sigma x||[a x+(b+1) y]| \leq \lambda_{25}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right), \tag{3.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{25}:=\frac{1}{2} \sigma \max \{2 a+b+1, b+1\}$. Similarly from estimate (2.8) of Assumption 2.20 and inequality (3.45), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{25}^{2} \int_{t_{0}}^{T}\left(x^{2}(t)+y^{2}(t)\right)^{2} d t<\infty \tag{3.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any fixed $0 \leq t_{0} \leq T<\infty$. In conclusion, using inequality (3.45) in (2.9), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{X_{0}}\left\|X\left(t, X_{0}\right)\right\| \leq\left[\lambda_{26}\left(x_{0}^{2}+y_{0}^{2}\right)+\lambda_{24} \lambda_{23}^{-1}\right]^{1 / 2} \tag{3.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \geq t_{0}$. All hypotheses of Lemma 2.22 are satisfied and inequality (2.10) holds so that hypotheses of Corollary 2.23 (b) hold, by Corollary 2.23 (b) all solutions $(x(t), y(t))$ of the system (3.36) are uniformly bounded.

Proof of Theorem 3.7. Let $(x(t), y(t))$ be any solution of the system (3.36). Since $(|x|+|y|) \leq \sqrt{2}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$ and choosing $\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \geq d_{9}$ where $d_{9}=2^{3 / 2} d_{7}^{-1} d_{8} B_{0}>$ 0 is a constant, then inequality (3.42) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
L V_{\sqrt{3.36}}(x, y) \leq-d_{10}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right) \leq 0 \tag{3.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \geq 0, x$ and $y$ where $d_{10}:=\frac{1}{2} d_{7}$. Now, from the proof of Theorem 3.6 the uniform boundedness of solutions of system (3.36) has been established.

Consider the set $\Omega_{3}:=\left\{X(t)=(x(t), y(t)) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \mid L V_{(3.36}=0\right\}$, and since system (3.36) can be written in the form

$$
X^{\prime}(t)=A(t) X(t)+X(t) \omega^{\prime}(t)+G(t, X(t))
$$

where

$$
X(t):=\binom{x}{y}, A(t):=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-\frac{f(x)}{x} & -g(t, x, y)
\end{array}\right), \omega:=\left(\begin{array}{rr}
0 & 0 \\
-\sigma & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

and

$$
G(t, X(t)):=(0, p(t, x, y))^{T} .
$$

By inequality (3.48), and the fact that $L V_{\sqrt{3.36}}(X(t))=0$ on $\Omega_{3}$ implies that, $x=y=0$, since $f(0)=0, g(t, 0,0)=0$, it follows that $A(t) X(t)=0, X(t) \omega^{\prime}(t)=0$, so that $X^{\prime}=\mathbf{0}$ has solution $X(t)^{T}=K^{T}$, where $X(t)=(x(t), y(t)) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ and $K=\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)$. For $X(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ to remain in $\Omega_{3}$, we must have $k_{1}=k_{2}=0$. The largest invariant set of
course in $\Omega_{3}$ is $\{0,0\}$ so that by inequality (3.6), (3.7) and (3.48), all assumptions of Lemma 2.12 hold true and hence estimate (3.37) is established, which complete the proof of Theorem 3.7.

## 4. EXAMPLES

In this section, we shall present examples of ordinary, delay, and stochastic differential equations to illustrate applications of the results obtained in the previous section.

Example 4.1. Consider the second-order nonlinear non-autonomous ordinary differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}+\left(3+2 t+\left|\cos \left(x x^{\prime}\right)\right|\right) x^{\prime}+(x+\sin x)=\left[1+t+x x^{\prime}\right]^{-1} . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (4.1) is equivalent to system

$$
\begin{align*}
x^{\prime} & =y \\
y^{\prime} & =(1+t+x y)^{-1}-(x+\sin x)-(3+2 t+|\cos (x y)|) y \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Compare systems (3.1) and 4.2), the following relations hold:
(i) The function $g\left(t, x, x^{\prime}\right):=3+2 t+|\cos (x y)|$. And since $|\cos (x y)| \geq 0$ for all $x$ and $y$, it follows from hypothesis (i) of Theorem 3.1 that

$$
g(t, x, y)=3+2 t+|\cos (x y)| \geq a=3 \forall t \geq 0 .
$$

(ii) The function $f(x):=x+\sin x$, clearly $f(0)=0$. From hypothesis (ii) of Theorem $3.1 \frac{f(x)}{x}=1+\frac{\sin x}{x}, \quad x \neq 0$. Since $-0.2 \leq \frac{\sin x}{x} \leq 1$ for all $x \neq 0$ it follows that

$$
0.8=b \leq \frac{f(x)}{x}=1+\frac{\sin (x)}{x} \leq b_{1}=2, x \neq 0
$$

The behaviour of function $f(x), \frac{f(x)}{x}$ and the bounds on $\frac{f(x)}{x}$ are shown in Figure 1. Again $f^{\prime}(x)=1+\cos x \leq c=2$ for all $x$. Also, from items (i) and (ii) we find that $b>\frac{c}{2 a}$ implies that $1>\frac{1}{3}$. Figure 2 shows the paths of $\cos x, f^{\prime}(x)$ and the upper bound of the function $f^{\prime}(x)$.
(iii) The function $p(t, x, y):=\frac{1}{1+t+x y}$. And of course since $1+t+|x y| \geq 1$, $|p(t, x, y)| \leq \beta=1$, for all $t \geq 0, x$ and $y$. Hence, since all the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied, then the solution $(x(t), y(t))$ of system (4.2) is bounded.
(iv) In addition, the function $\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1+t+|x y|} d t<\beta<\infty$ for all $t \geq 0, x$ and $y$.

Then every solution $(x(t), y(t))$ of the system (4.2) satisfies

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t)=0, \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t)=0
$$



FIGURE 1. Paths and behaviour of $f(x), \frac{f(x)}{x}$ and the bounds on $\frac{f(x)}{x}$


Figure 2. Behaviour of $\cos x$ and $f^{\prime}(x)$ on $[-6 \pi, 6 \pi]$.

Example 4.2. Suppose $f(x(t))=f(x(t-h))$ in equation (4.1), we have the following second-order nonlinear non-autonomous delay differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime}+\left(3+2 t+\left|\cos \left(x x^{\prime}\right)\right|\right) x^{\prime}+[(x-h)+\sin (x-h)]=\left(1+t+x x^{\prime}\right)^{-1} . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (4.3) is equivalent to a system of first-order delay differential equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& x^{\prime}=y, y^{\prime}=(1+t+x y)^{-1}-(3+2 t+|\cos (x y)|) y \\
& -(x+\sin x)+\int_{t-h}^{t}(y(s)+\cos (s)) y(s) d s \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Recall our considered second-order DDE

$$
x^{\prime \prime}(t)+g\left(t, x(t), x^{\prime}(t)\right) x^{\prime}(t)+f(x(t-h))=p\left(t, x(t), x^{\prime}(t)\right) .
$$

As in Example 4.1, the functions $g, f$ and $p$ of equation (4.4) satisfy conditions of Theorem 3.4. Then every solution $(x(t), y(t))$ of the system (4.4) satisfies

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t)=0 ; \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t)=0
$$

Example 4.3. Consider the second-order nonlinear non-autonomous stochastic differential equation

$$
\begin{align*}
x^{\prime \prime}+\left(3+2 t+\left|\cos \left(x x^{\prime}\right)\right|\right) x^{\prime} & +(x+\sin x)+0.11 x \omega^{\prime}(t) \\
& =\left(1+t+x x^{\prime}\right)^{-1} \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (4.5) is equivalent to system

$$
\begin{align*}
& x^{\prime}=y \\
& y^{\prime}=(1+t+x y)^{-1}-(x+\sin x)-(3+2 t+|\cos (x y)|) y  \tag{4.6}\\
& -0.11 x \omega^{\prime}(t)
\end{align*}
$$

Now from system (3.36) and (4.6), we have the following relations:
(i) The function $g\left(t, x, x^{\prime}\right)=3+2 t+|\cos (x y)|$. And since $|\cos (x y)| \geq 0$ for all $x$ and $y$, it follows from hypothesis (i) of Theorem 3.6 .

$$
g(t, x, y)=3+2 t+|\cos (x y)| \geq a=3, t \geq 0, x \text { and } y .
$$

(ii) The function $f(x)=x+\sin x, x \neq 0$. From hypothesis (ii) of Theorem 3.6 $\frac{f(x)}{x}=1+\frac{\sin x}{x}, \quad x \neq 0$. It follows that $\frac{f(x)}{x}=1+\frac{\sin (x)}{x} \geq b=0.8$. Thus,

$$
0.8=b \leq \frac{f(x)}{x}=1+\frac{\sin (x)}{x} \leq \beta=2 \quad x \neq 0 .
$$

And of course hypothesis (iii) of Theorem 3.6 is satisfied
(iii) The function $p(t, x, y)=[1+t+x y]^{-1}$. And since $1+t+|x y| \geq 1, t \geq 0, x$ and $y$, then $|p(t, x, y)| \leq B_{0}=1$ for all $t \geq 0, x$ and $y$.

Items (i) to (iii) fulfill all hypotheses of Theorem 3.6, hence by Theorem 3.6 solution $(x(t), y(t))$ of the stochastic differential system (4.6) is uniformly stochastically bounded. In addition to Theorem 3.6
(iv) The function $\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1+t+|x y|} d t<\beta<\infty$ for all $t \geq 0, x$ and $y$.

Then every solution $(x(t), y(t))$ of the system (4.6) satisfies

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t)=0, \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t)=0
$$
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