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#### Abstract

In this paper, we introduce an iterative method for finding a solution of mixed equilibrium problem which is a common fixed point of a countable family of multivalued asymptotically quasi nonexpansive mapping in a uniformly convex Banach space. Strong convergence theorems are proved under some conditions. Our results generalize and improve recent results announced by many authors.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let $E$ be a real Banach space with norm $\|\|,. E^{*}$ be the dual space of $E$ and let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $E$. Let $f$ be a bifunction from $C \times C$ to $\mathbb{R}$, where $\mathbb{R}$ is the set of real numbers. The equilibrium problem is to find $\hat{x} \in C$ such that

$$
f(\hat{x}, y) \geq 0, \forall y \in C
$$

This problem was first studied by Blum and Oettli [4]. The set of solutions of equilibrium problem is denoted by $E P(f)$ that is $E P(f)$ $=\{\hat{x} \in C: f(\hat{x}, y) \geq 0, \forall y \in C\}$. Let $A: C \longrightarrow E^{*}$ be a nonlinear mapping. The variational inequality problem with respect to $A$ and $C$ is to find $u \in C$ such that $\langle A u, v-u\rangle \geq 0$ for all $v \in C$. The

[^0]set of solutions of variational inequality problems with respect to $C$ and $A$ is denoted by $\operatorname{VI}(C, A)$. Setting $f(x, y)=\langle A x, y-x\rangle$ for all $x, y \in C$, then $\hat{x} \in E P(f)$ if and only if $\langle A \hat{x}, y-\hat{x}\rangle \geq 0$ for all $y \in C$, i.e $\hat{x}$ is a solution of the variational inequality with respect to $A$ and $C$. Let $\varphi: C \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup\{\infty\}$ be proper, convex and lower semi continuous, then the minimization problem of $\varphi$ is to find $\hat{x} \in C$ such that $\varphi(\hat{x}) \leq \varphi(y) \forall y \in C$.
The generalised equilibrium problem is to find $\hat{x} \in C$ such that
$$
f(\hat{x}, y)+\langle A \hat{x}, y-\hat{x}\rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C
$$

The set of solutions of generalised equilibrium problem is denoted by

$$
G E P(f, A):=\{\hat{x} \in C: f(\hat{x}, y)+\langle A \hat{x}, y-\hat{x}\rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C\} .
$$

In this paper, we are interested in solving equilibrium problem with respect to $f$ given by

$$
f(x, y)=\sum_{i=1}^{k} f_{i}(x, y), \forall x, y \in C
$$

where $f_{i}: C \times C \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are bifunctions for $i=1,2,3, \ldots k$, satisfying the conditions $\left(A_{1}\right)-\left(A_{4}\right)$ below;
$\left.A_{1}\right) f_{i}(x, x)=0$, for all $x \in C$, for $i=1,2,3 \ldots k$;
$\left.A_{2}\right) f_{i}$ is monotone, i.e $f_{i}(x, y)+f_{i}(y, x) \leq 0$, for each $i \in\{1,2,3, \ldots, k\}$
$A_{3}$ ) for all $x, y \in C$, we have $\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} f_{i}(t z+(1-t) x, y) \leq f_{i}(x, y)$;
$A_{4}$ ) for all $x \in C, f_{i}(x,$.$) is convex and lower semi continuous$ $\forall i \in\{1,2,3, \ldots, k\}$.

The mixed equilibrium problem is to find $\widehat{x} \in C$ such that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k} f_{i}(\hat{x}, y)+\varphi(y)-\varphi(\hat{x}) \geq 0, \forall y \in C
$$

The set of solution of mixed equilibrium problem is denoted by

$$
M E P(f, \varphi):=\left\{\hat{x} \in C: \sum_{i=1}^{k} f_{i}(\hat{x}, y)+\varphi(y)-\varphi(\hat{x}) \geq 0, \forall y \in C\right\}
$$

The generalised mixed equilibrium problem is to find $\widehat{x} \in C$ such that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k} f_{i}(\hat{x}, y)+\langle A \hat{x}, y-\hat{x}\rangle+\varphi(y)-\varphi(\hat{x}) \geq 0, \forall y \in C
$$

The set of solutions of generalised mixed equilibrium problem is denoted by

$$
\begin{aligned}
G M E P(f, A, \varphi):= & \left\{\hat{x} \in C: \sum_{i=1}^{k} f_{i}(\hat{x}, y)+\langle A \hat{x}, y-\hat{x}\rangle\right. \\
& +\varphi(y)-\varphi(\hat{x}) \geq 0, \forall y \in C\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The generalized mixed equilibrium problems are suitable method for investigating various applied problems arising in economics, mathematical physics, engineering and other fields. Moreover equilibrium problems are closely related with other general problems in nonlinear analysis such as fixed point, game theory, variational inequality and optimization problems.
Let $E$ be a Banach space and $C$ be a nonempty subset of $E$. Let $\hat{C} B(C)$ and $K \hat{C}(C)$ denote the families of nonempty, closed and bounded subsets and nonempty compact and convex subsets of $C$ respectively. The Hausdorff metric on $\hat{C} B(C)$ is defined by

$$
H(A, B)=\max \left\{\sup _{x \in A} d(x, B), \sup _{y \in B} d(y, A)\right\},
$$

for $A, B \in \hat{C} B(C)$, where $d(x, C)=\inf \{\|x-y\|: y \in C\}$, is the distance from a point $x$ to a subset $C$. A multivalued mapping $T: C \longrightarrow \hat{C} B(C)$ is called nonexpansive if $H(T x, T y) \leq\|x-y\|$ for all $x, y \in C$. The set of all fixed points of the map $T: C \longrightarrow \hat{C} B(C)$ will be denoted by $F(T)=\{p \in C: p \in T p\}$.

In 1969, Nadler [11] proved a fixed point theorem for multivalued contraction mappings. He extended theorems on the stability of fixed points of single-valued mapping and also gave a counter example to a theorem about $(\varepsilon, \lambda)$ - uniformly locally expansive (singlevalued) mappings. Later in 1997, Huang [9] studied approximation of common fixed point of two nonexpansive multivalued mappings satisfying certain contractive condition. In 2005, Sastry and Babu [14] proved convergence theorems for multivalued mappings using Ishikawa and Mann iterates. In 2007, a generalization of the results of Sastry and Babu [14] to uniformly convex Banach spaces was given by Panyanak [13]. He proved a convergence theorem for a mapping defined on a non compact domain. Later in 2008, Song and Wang [17] proved strong convergence of Mann and Ishikawa iterates to a fixed point of multivalued nonexpansive mapping $T$ under some appropriate conditions. In 2009, Shahzad and Zegeye [16] proved strong convergence theorems for fixed point of quasi nonexpansive
multivalued mapping using Ishikawa iterates. They proved their result without imposing the condition $T p=\{p\}, \forall p \in F(T)$ and also relaxed the compactness condition of the domain of $T$. Abbas and Rhoades [1] established weak and strong convergence theorems for common fixed points of two multivalued nonexpansive mappings in a real uniformly convex Banach space under some basic boundary conditions.
In 2009, Takahashi and Zembayashi [18], introduced two iterative sequences, for finding a common element of the set of fixed points of a relatively nonexpansive mapping $S$ and the set of solutions of an equilibrium problem in Banach space as follows :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{0}=x \in C \\
y_{n}=J^{-1}\left(\alpha_{n} J x_{n}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) J S x_{n}\right), \\
u_{n} \in C \text { such that } f\left(u_{n}, y\right)+\frac{1}{r_{n}}\left\langle y-u_{n}, J u_{n}-J y_{n}\right\rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C \\
H_{n}=\left\{z \in C: \phi\left(z, u_{n}\right) \leq \phi\left(z, x_{n}\right)\right\} \\
W_{n}=\left\{z \in C:\left\langle x_{n}-z, J x-J x_{n}\right\rangle \geq 0\right\} \\
x_{n+1}=\Pi_{H_{n} \cap W_{n}} x, n \geq 0,
\end{array}\right. \\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{n} \in C \text { such that } f\left(x_{n}, y\right)+\frac{1}{r_{n}}\left\langle y-x_{n}, J x_{n}-J u_{n}\right\rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C \\
u_{n+1}=J^{-1}\left(\alpha_{n} J x_{n}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) J S x_{n}\right), n \in \mathbb{N},
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\phi: E \times E \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$denotes the Lyapunov functional defined by

$$
\phi(y, x)=\|y\|^{2}-2\langle y, J x\rangle+\|x\|^{2}, \forall y, x \in E .
$$

$J$ is the normalized duality mapping on $E,\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\} \subset[0,1]$ satisfies $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n}\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right)>0$ and $\left\{r_{n}\right\} \subset[a, \infty]$ for some $a>0$. They proved strong convergence of the scheme to a common element of the set of fixed points of relatively nonexpansive mapping and the set of solution of an equilibrium problem in a Banach space. Moreover they proved weak convergence of the scheme to the same point. In 2012, Bunyawat and Suantai [3] introduce an iteration method for finding a common fixed point of a countable family of multivalued quasi nonexpansive mappings in a uniformly convex Banach space. Deng et, al.[6], proved strong convergence theorem for common fixed point of finite family of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings and the set of solution of mixed equilibrium problem in uniformly smooth and uniformly convex Banach space. In 2016, Ezeora [7], proved strong convergence theorems for a common element of the set of solution of generalized mixed equilibrium problem
and the set of common fixed points of a finite family of multivalued strictly pseudocontractive mappings in real Hilbert spaces.
In this paper, motivated and inspired by the results mentioned above, we prove strong convergence theorems for finding a point in the set of common fixed point of a countable family of multivalued asymptotically quasi nonexpansive mappings and the sets of solutions of generalized mixed equilibrium problem in Banach space. Our results generalize and improve recent results announced by many authors.
Let $F:=\cap_{i=1}^{\infty} F\left(T_{i}\right) \cap \operatorname{GMEP}(f, A, \varphi) \neq \emptyset$ and $x^{*} \in F$, generate a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ defined by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{1} \in E  \tag{1}\\
x_{n} \in C \text { such that } \sum_{j=1}^{k} f_{j}\left(x_{n}, y\right)+\left\langle A x_{n}, y-x_{n}\right\rangle \\
+\varphi(y)-\varphi\left(x_{n}\right)+\frac{1}{r_{n}}\left\langle y-x_{n}, J x_{n}-J u_{n}\right\rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C \\
u_{n+1}=\alpha_{0, n} x_{n}+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{i, n} w_{i, n}, w_{i, n} \in T_{i}^{n} x_{n}, n \geq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

where the sequences $\left\{\alpha_{i, n}\right\} \subset[0,1]$ satisfy $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{0, n} \alpha_{i, n}>0$,
$\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{0, n}<1, \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{i, n}=1, w_{i, n} \in T_{i}^{n} x_{n}$ such that $T_{i} x^{*}=\left\{x^{*}\right\}$
for all $i \in\{1,2,3, \ldots\}$ and let $\left\{r_{n}\right\} \subset[a, \infty]$, for some $a>0$, where $T_{i}^{n}$ stand for the $n$ compositions of $T_{i}$. Assume that the condition $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(k_{n}-1\right)<\infty$ is satisfied.
The main purpose of this paper is to prove convergence of the scheme (1) to a point in $F$.

## 2. PRELIMINARY

Definition 1: A multivalued mapping $T: C \longrightarrow \hat{C} B(C)$ is said to be:
i) Quasi nonexpansive if $F(T) \neq \emptyset$, and $H(T x, T p) \leq\|x-p\|$ for all $x \in C p \in F(T)$
ii) Asymptotically nonexpansive if there exists a sequence $\left\{k_{n}\right\} \subset$ $[1, \infty)$ with $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} k_{n}=1$ such that $H\left(T^{n} x, T^{n} y\right) \leq k_{n}\|x-y\|$ for all $x, y \in C$ and $n \in N$.
iii) Asymptotically quasi nonexpansive if $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ and there exists
a sequence $\left\{k_{n}\right\} \subset[1, \infty)$ with $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} k_{n}=1$ such that $H\left(T^{n} x, T^{n} p\right) \leq$ $k_{n}\|x-p\|$, for all $x \in C, p \in \stackrel{n \rightarrow \infty}{F(T)}$.
Definition 2: A multivalued mapping $T: C \longrightarrow \hat{C} B(C)$ is said to be hemi-compact, if for any sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset C$ such that $d\left(x_{n}, T x_{n}\right) \longrightarrow 0$ as $n \longrightarrow \infty$, there exists a subsequence $\left\{x_{n_{k}}\right\}$ of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ such that $x_{n_{k}} \longrightarrow p \in C$. We note that if $C$ is compact, then every multivalued mapping $T$ is hemi compact.
Remark 1:
(i) The class of quasi asymptotically nonexpansive multivalued mappings contains properly the class of quasi nonexpansive multivalued mappings with fixed points.
(ii) The class of quasi nonexpansive multivalued mappings contains properly the class of nonexpansive multivalued mappings with fixed points.
Definition 3: Let $T$ be a multivalued mapping the nth composition of $T$ denoted by $T^{n}=T\left(T^{n-1}\right)$ is defined by

$$
T^{n} x=\underset{y \in T^{n-1} x}{\cup} T y
$$

Definition 4: An asymptotically multivalued quasi nonexpansive mapping $T$ is said to be uniformly $L$-Lipshitzian if there exists $L>0$ such that for any pair $x, y \in D(T)$ we have

$$
H\left(T^{n} x, T^{n} y\right) \leq L\|x-y\| .
$$

Definition 5: A multivalued mapping $T$ is said to be continuous if whenever a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset D(T)$ is such that $x_{n} \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $T x_{n} \rightarrow T x$.

Throughout this paper, we denoted by $\mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{R}$ the sets of positive integer and real numbers, respectively. Let $E$ be a Banach space and $E^{*}$ be the dual of $E$, We denote the strong convergence and the weak convergence of a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ to $x$ in $E$ by $x_{n} \longrightarrow x$ and $x_{n} \rightharpoonup x$ respectively. We also denote the weak* convergence of a sequence $\left\{x_{n}^{*}\right\}$ to $x^{*}$ in $E^{*}$ by $x_{n}^{*} \rightharpoonup x^{*}$, for all $x \in E$ and $x^{*} \in E^{*}$, we denote the value of $x^{*}$ at $x$ by $\left\langle x, x^{*}\right\rangle$, which is called duality pairing. The normalized duality mapping $J$ on $E$ is defined by

$$
J(x)=\left\{x^{*} \in E^{*}:\left\langle x, x^{*}\right\rangle=\|x\|^{2}=\left\|x^{*}\right\|^{2}\right\}
$$

for every $x \in E$. A Banach space $E$ is said to be strictly convex if $\frac{\|x+y\|}{2}<1$ for all $x, y \in E$ with $\|x\|=\|y\|=1$ and $x \neq y$. The space $E$ is also said to be uniformly convex if for each $\varepsilon \in(0,2]$,
there exists $\delta>0$ such that $\frac{\|x+y\|}{2} \leq 1-\delta$ for all $x, y \in E$ with $\|x\|=\|y\|=1$ and $\|x-y\| \geq \varepsilon$. A Banach space is said to have Kadec-Klee property, if $x_{n} \rightharpoonup x$ and $\left\|x_{n}\right\| \longrightarrow\|x\|$ imply $x_{n} \longrightarrow x$. Every Hilbert space and uniformly convex Banach space possess Kadec - Klee property. The space $E$ is said to be smooth if the limit $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|x+t y\|-\|x\|}{t}$ exists for all $x, y \in S(E)=\{z \in E$ : $\|z\|=1\}$. It is also said to be uniformly smooth if the limit exists uniformly in $x, y \in S(E)$.
A Banach space E is said to satisfy Opial's condition [12] if for any sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $\mathrm{E}, x_{n} \rightharpoonup x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ implies that

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-x\right\|<\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-y\right\|, \forall y \in E, y \neq x
$$

It is known that if $E$ is smooth, strictly convex and reflexive, then the duality mapping $J$ is single - valued, one-to-one and onto. The duality mapping $J$ is said to be weakly sequentially continuous if for any sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $E, x_{n} \rightharpoonup x$ implies $J x_{n} \rightharpoonup J x$.
Following Alber [2], the generalised projections $\Pi_{C}$ from $E$ onto $C$ is defined by

$$
\Pi_{C}(x)=\underset{y \in C}{\operatorname{argmin}} \phi(y, x), \forall x \in E .
$$

The generalised projection $\Pi_{C}$ from $E$ onto $C$ is well defined, single valued and satisfies

$$
(\|x\|-\|y\|)^{2} \leq \phi(y, x) \leq(\|x\|+\|y\|)^{2}, \forall x, y \in E
$$

and

$$
\phi(x, y)=\phi(x, z)+\phi(z, y)+\langle x-z, J z-J y\rangle .
$$

If $E$ is a Hilbert space, then $\phi(y, x)=\|y-x\|^{2}$ and $\Pi_{C}$ becomes the metric projection of $H$ onto $C$. The following lemmas for generalised projections are well known.
Lemma 1: (see [2]) Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a smooth, strictly convex and reflexive Banach space $E$, Then $\phi\left(x, \Pi_{C} y\right)+\phi\left(\Pi_{C} y, y\right) \leq \phi(x, y), \forall x \in C$ and $y \in E$
Lemma 2: (see $[2,10])$ Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a smooth, strictly convex and reflexive Banach space. Let $x \in E$ and $z \in C$. Then $z=\Pi_{C} x$ if and only if $\langle y-z, J x-J y\rangle \leq 0, \forall y \in C$. A mapping $T: C \longrightarrow C$ is called nonexpansive if $\|T x-T y\| \leq$ $\|x-y\|, \forall x, y \in C$. We denote by $F(T)$ the set of fixed points of $T$. A point $p \in C$ is said to be an asymptotic fixed point of $T$ if
there exists a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $C$ which converges weakly to $p$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-T x_{n}\right\|=0$. We denote the set of all asymptotic fixed points of $T$ by $\hat{F}(T)$.
Lemma 3: (see [8]) Let $E$ be a uniformly convex Banach space satisfying the Opial's condition, $C$ a nonempty closed subset of $E$ and $T: C \longrightarrow C$ asymptotically nonexpansive mapping. If the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset C$ is a weakly convergent with the weak limit $p$ and if $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-T x_{n}\right\|=0$, then $T p=p$

Lemma 4: (see [15]) Suppose that $E$ is a uniformly convex Banach space and $0<p \leq t_{n} \leq q<1$ for all positive integer $n$. Also suppose that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ are two sequences of $E$ such that $\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}\right\| \leq r, \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|y_{n}\right\| \leq r$, and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|t_{n} x_{n}+\left(1-t_{n}\right) y_{n}\right\|=r$ hold for some $r \geq 0$, then $\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|=0$.
Lemma 5: (see [5]) Let $E$ be a uniformly convex Banach space. For arbitrary $r>0$, let $B_{r}(0):=\{\|x \in E:\| x \| \leq r\}$. Then for any given sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset B_{r}(0)$ and for any given sequence $\{\lambda\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of positive numbers such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n}=1$, there exists a continuous strictly increasing convex function

$$
g:[0,2 r] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}, g(0)=0
$$

such that for any positive integers $i, j$ with $i<j$, the following inequality holds:

$$
\left\|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n} x_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n}\left\|x_{n}\right\|^{2}-\lambda_{i} \lambda_{j} g\left(\left\|x_{i}-x_{j}\right\|\right)
$$

Lemma 6: (see [4]) Let $C$ be a closed convex subset of a smooth, strictly convex and reflexive Banach space $E$, let $f$ be a bifunction from $C \times C$ to $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\left(A_{1}\right)-\left(A_{4}\right)$, let $r>0$ and $x \in E$.
Then there exists $z \in C$ such that $f(z, y)+\frac{1}{r}\langle y-z, J z-J x\rangle \geq 0$, for all $y \in C$.
Lemma 7: (see [19]) Let $\left\{a_{n}\right\},\left\{b_{n}\right\}$, and $\left\{c_{n}\right\}$ be sequences of nonnegative real numbers satisfying
(1) $a_{n+1} \leq a_{n}+b_{n}$
(2) $a_{n+1} \leq\left(1+c_{n}\right) a_{n}+b_{n}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n}<\infty$ and
$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{n}<\infty$. Then
i) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n}$ exists.
ii) if $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n}=0$, then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n}=0$.

Lemma 8: (see [10]) Let $E$ be a smooth and uniformly convex Banach space and let $r>0$. Then, there exists a strictly increasing continuous and convex function $g:[0,2 r] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $g(0)=0$ and $g(\|x-y\|) \leq\|x\|^{2}-2\langle x, j y\rangle+\|y\|^{2}$ for all $x, y \in B_{r}$.

Lemma 9: Let $\varphi: C \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be lower semi- continuous and convex function, $A: C \longrightarrow E^{*}$ be continuous and monotone and $f: C \times$ $C \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a bifunction satisfying $\left(A_{1}\right)-\left(A_{4}\right)$ as follows:
$\left(A_{1}\right) f(x, x)=0$
$\left(A_{2}\right) f$ is monotone i.e, $f(x, y)+f(y, x) \leq 0$, for all $x, y \in C$
$\left(A_{3}\right)$ for all $x, y, z \in C, \limsup _{t \downarrow 0} f(t z+(1-t) x, y) \leq f(x, y)$
$\left(A_{4}\right)$ the mapping $y \mapsto f(x, y)$ is convex and lower semi continuous.
Then $\tau: C \times C \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by
$\tau(x, y)=\sum_{j=1}^{k} f_{j}(x, y)+\langle A x, y-x\rangle+\varphi(y)-\varphi(x)$ satisfies $\left(A_{1}\right)-\left(A_{4}\right)$.
Proof:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(A_{1}\right): \tau(u, u)=\sum_{j=1}^{k} f_{j}(u, u)+\langle A u, u-u\rangle+\varphi(u)-\varphi(u)=0 \\
& \quad\left(A_{2}\right): \tau(u, y)+\tau(y, u)=\sum_{j=1}^{k} f_{j}(u, y)+\sum_{j=1}^{k} f_{j}(y, u) \\
& \quad+\langle A u, y-u\rangle+\langle A y, u-y\rangle \\
& \quad+\varphi(y)-\varphi(u)+\varphi(u)-\varphi(y) \\
& \quad=\sum_{j=1}^{k} f_{j}(u, y)+\sum_{j=1}^{k} f_{j}(y, u)+\langle A y-A u, u-y\rangle \\
& \quad=\sum_{j=1}^{k} f_{j}(u, y)+\sum_{j=1}^{k} f_{j}(y, u)-\langle A y-A u, y-u\rangle \\
& \leq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(A_{3}\right): \limsup _{t \rightarrow 0} \tau(t z+(1-t) x, y)=\underset{t \rightarrow 0}{\limsup }\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} f_{j}(t z\right. \\
&+(1-t) x, y)+\langle A(t z+(1-t) x, y-(t z+(1-t) x)\rangle \\
&+\varphi(y)-\varphi(t z+(1-t) x))) \\
& \leq \limsup _{t \rightarrow 0}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k} f_{j}(t z+(1-t) x, y)\right. \\
&+\limsup _{t \rightarrow 0}\langle A(t z+(1-t) x, y-(t z+(1-t) x)\rangle \\
&+\limsup _{t \rightarrow 0}^{k}(\varphi(y)-\varphi(t z+(1-t) x)) \\
& \leq \sum_{j=1}^{k} f_{j}(x, y)+\langle A x, y-x\rangle+\varphi(y)-\varphi(x) \\
&= \tau(x, y) \\
&\left(A_{4}\right): \tau(u, t z+(1-t) y)=\sum_{j=1}^{k} f_{j}(u, t z+(1-t) y) \\
&+\langle A u, t z+(1-t) y-u\rangle+\varphi(t z+(1-t) y)-\varphi(u) \\
& \leq t \sum_{j=1}^{k} f_{j}(u, z)+(1-t) \sum_{j=1}^{k} f_{j}(u, y) \\
&+ t\langle A u, z-u\rangle+(1-t)\langle A u, y-u\rangle \\
&+ t \varphi(z)+(1-t) \varphi(y)-t \varphi(u)-(1-t) \varphi(u) \\
&= t\left[\sum_{j=1}^{k} f_{j}(u, z)+\langle A u, z-u\rangle+\varphi(z)-\varphi(u)\right] \\
&+(1-t)\left[\sum_{j=1}^{k} f_{j}(u, y)+\langle A u, y-u\rangle+\varphi(y)-\varphi(u)\right] \\
&= t \tau(u, z)+(1-t) \tau(u, y)
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 10: (see [20]) Let $E$ be a smooth, strictly convex and reflexive Banach space and $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $E$. Let $A: C \longrightarrow E^{*}$ be a continuous and monotone mapping, $\zeta: C \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a lower semi- continuous and convex function, and $h: C \times C \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a bifunction satisfying the conditions
$(A 1)-(A 4)$. Let $r>0$ be any given number and $u \in E$ be any given point. Then, the followings hold:
(1) There exists $z \in C$ such that
$h(z, v)+\zeta(v)-\zeta(v)+\langle v-z, A z\rangle+\frac{1}{r}\langle v-z, J z-J u\rangle \geq 0, \forall v \in C$.
(2) If we define a mapping $A_{r}: E \longrightarrow C$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{r}(u) & =\{z \in C: h(z, v)+\zeta(v)-\zeta(v)+\langle v-z, A z\rangle \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{r}\langle v-z, J z-J u\rangle \geq 0, \forall v \in C\right\}, u \in E,
\end{aligned}
$$

the mapping $A_{r}$ has the following properties:
(a) $A_{r}$ is single-valued;
(b) $A_{r}$ is a firmly nonexpansive mapping, that is
$\left\langle A_{r} x-A_{r} y, J A_{r} x-J A_{r} y\right\rangle \leq\left\langle A_{r} x-A_{r} y, J x-J y\right\rangle \forall x, y \in E$
(c) $F\left(A_{r}\right)=G M E P(h, A, \zeta)=\hat{F}\left(A_{r}\right)$
(d) $\operatorname{GMEP}(h, A, \zeta)$ is a closed convex set of $C$;
(e) $\phi\left(q, A_{r} u\right)+\phi\left(A_{r} u, u\right) \leq \phi(q, u), \forall q \in \hat{F}\left(A_{r}\right), u \in E$.
where $\hat{F}\left(A_{r}\right)$ denotes the set of asymptotic fixed points of $A_{r}$, i.e., $\hat{F}\left(A_{r}\right):=\left\{x \in C: \exists\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset C\right.$, such that $x_{n} \rightharpoonup x,\left\|x_{n}-A_{r} x_{n}\right\| \longrightarrow$ $0 .(n \longrightarrow \infty)\}$.
Lemma 11: Let $C$ be a closed convex subset of a uniformly smooth, strictly convex and reflexive Banach space $E$. Let $\tau$ : $C \times C \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ba as in Lemma 9 above. Let $T_{r}: E \longrightarrow C$, for $r>0$ and $x \in E$, be defined by

$$
T_{r}(x)=\left\{u \in C: \tau(u, y)+\frac{1}{r}\langle y-u, J u-J x\rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C\right\}
$$

Then, the following hold:
a) $T_{r}$ is single-valued
b) $T_{r}$ is firmly nonexpansive-type mapping i.e

$$
\left\langle T_{r} x-T_{r} y, J T_{r} x-J T_{r} y\right\rangle \leq\left\langle T_{r} x-T_{r} y, J x-J y\right\rangle, \forall x, y \in E
$$

c) $F\left(T_{r}\right)=G M E P(f, A, \varphi)=\hat{F}\left(T_{r}\right)$
d) $G M E P(f, A, \varphi)$ is closed and convex subset of C
e) $\phi\left(p, T_{r} x\right)+\phi\left(T_{r} x, x\right) \leq \phi(p, x)$

Proof: We divide the proof into several steps:
a) $T_{r}$ is single-valued. Indeed, for $x \in E$ and $r>0$, let $u_{1}, u_{2} \in T_{r} x$

$$
\tau\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)+\frac{1}{r}\left\langle u_{2}-u_{1}, J u_{1}-J x\right\rangle \geq 0
$$

and

$$
\tau\left(u_{2}, u_{1}\right)+\frac{1}{r}\left\langle u_{1}-u_{2}, J u_{2}-J x\right\rangle \geq 0
$$

Adding the two inequalities, we have

$$
\tau\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)+\tau\left(u_{2}, u_{1}\right)+\frac{1}{r}\left\langle u_{2}-u_{1},, J u_{1}-J u_{2}\right\rangle \geq 0
$$

From $\left(A_{2}\right)$ and $r>0$, we have

$$
\left\langle u_{2}-u_{1},, J u_{1}-J u_{2}\right\rangle \geq 0
$$

Since $E$ is strictly convex, we have

$$
u_{1}=u_{2}
$$

b) $T_{r}$ is a firmly nonexpansive-type mapping . Now for $x, y \in C$, we have

$$
\tau\left(T_{r} x, T_{r} y\right)+\frac{1}{r}\left\langle T_{r} y-T_{r} x, J T_{r} x-J x\right\rangle \geq 0
$$

and

$$
\tau\left(T_{r} y, T_{r} x\right)+\frac{1}{r}\left\langle T_{r} x-T_{r} y, J T_{r} y-J y\right\rangle \geq 0
$$

Adding the two inequalities, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau\left(T_{r} x, T_{r} y\right)+\tau\left(T_{r} y, T_{r} x\right) & +\frac{1}{r}\left\langle T_{r} y-T_{r} x, J T_{r} x-J T_{r} y-J x+J y\right\rangle \\
& \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

From $\left(A_{2}\right)$ and $r>0$, we have

$$
\left\langle T_{r} y-T_{r} x, J T_{r} x-J T_{r} y-J x+J y\right\rangle \geq 0
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\left\langle T_{r} y-T_{r} x, J T_{r} x-J T_{r} y\right\rangle \leq\left\langle T_{r} y-T_{r} x, J x-J y\right\rangle
$$

c) $T_{r}=G M E P(f, A, \varphi)$. Indeed, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
z \in F\left(T_{r}\right) & \Longleftrightarrow z=T_{r} \\
& \Longleftrightarrow(z, y)+\frac{1}{r}\langle y-z, J z-J z\rangle \geq 0 \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \tau(z, y) \geq 0, \forall y \in C \\
& \Longleftrightarrow z \in G M E P(f, A, \varphi)
\end{aligned}
$$

d) $G M E P(f, A, \varphi)$ is closed and convex .

Now from (c) $\operatorname{GMEP}(f, A, \varphi)=F\left(T_{r}\right)$ and (b), we have

$$
\left\langle T_{r} y-T_{r} x, J T_{r} x-J T_{r} y\right\rangle \leq\left\langle T_{r} y-T_{r} x, J x-J y\right\rangle .
$$

Moreover, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi\left(T_{r} x, T_{r} y\right)+\phi\left(T_{r} y, T_{r} x\right)=2\left\|T_{r} x\right\|^{2}-2\left\langle T_{r} x, T_{r} y\right\rangle \\
& \quad-2\left\langle T_{r} y, J T_{r} x\right\rangle+2\left\|T_{r} y\right\|^{2} \\
& \quad=2\left\langle T_{r} x, J T_{r} x-J T_{r} y\right\rangle+2\left\langle T_{r} y, J T_{r} y-J T_{r} x\right\rangle \\
& \quad=2\left\langle T_{r} x-T_{r} y, J T_{r} x-J T_{r} y\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi\left(T_{r} x, y\right)+\phi\left(T_{r} y, x\right)-\phi\left(T_{r} x, x\right)-\phi\left(T_{r} y, y\right)=\left\|T_{r} x\right\|^{2} \\
&- 2\left\langle T_{r} x, J y\right\rangle+\|y\|^{2}+\left\|T_{r} y\right\|^{2}-2\left\langle T_{r} y, J x\right\rangle+\|x\|^{2} \\
&-\left\|T_{r} x\right\|^{2}+2\left\langle T_{r} x, J x\right\rangle-\|x\|^{2} \\
&-\left\|T_{r} y\right\|^{2}+2\left\langle T_{r} y, J y\right\rangle-\|y\|^{2} \\
&= 2\left\langle T_{r} x, J x-J y\right\rangle \\
&-2\left\langle T_{r} y, J x-J y\right\rangle \\
&= 2\left\langle T_{r} x-T_{r} y, J x-J y\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi\left(T_{r} x, T_{r} y\right)+\phi\left(T_{r} y, T_{r} x\right) & \leq \phi\left(T_{r} x, y\right)+\phi\left(T_{r} y, x\right) \\
& -\phi\left(T_{r} x, x\right)-\phi\left(T_{r} y, y\right) \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

So, we have, for $x, y \in C$

$$
\phi\left(T_{r} x, T_{r} y\right)+\phi\left(T_{r} y, T_{r} x\right) \leq \phi\left(T_{r} x, y\right)+\phi\left(T_{r} y, x\right)
$$

Taking $y=z \in F\left(T_{r}\right)$, we obtain

$$
\phi\left(z, T_{r} x\right) \leq \phi(z, x)
$$

Next, we show that $\hat{F}\left(T_{r}\right)=G M E P(f, A, \varphi)$. Let $p \in F\left(T_{r}\right)$, then there exists $\left\{z_{n}\right\} \subset E$ such that $z_{n} \rightharpoonup p$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(z_{n}-T_{r} z_{n}\right)=0$. Moreover, we obtain $T_{r} z_{n} \rightharpoonup p$. Hence, we have $p \in C$, since $J$ is uniformly continuous on bounded sets, we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{r}\left\|J z_{n}-J T_{r} z_{n}\right\|=0
$$

From the definition of $T_{r}$, we have

$$
\tau\left(T_{r} z_{n}, y\right)+\frac{1}{r}\left\langle y-T_{r} z_{n}, J T_{r} z_{n}-J z_{n}\right\rangle \geq 0
$$

Since

$$
\frac{1}{r}\left\langle y-T_{r} z_{n}, J T_{r} z_{n}-J z_{n}\right\rangle \geq-\tau\left(T_{r} z_{n}, y\right) \geq \tau\left(y, T_{r} z_{n}\right)
$$

and $\tau$ is lower semi-continuous and convex in the second variable, we have

$$
0 \geq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau\left(y, T_{r} z_{n}\right) \geq \tau(y, p)
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\tau(y, p) \leq 0, \forall y \in C
$$

Let $y \in C$ and set $x_{t}=t y+(1-t) p$, for $t \in(0,1]$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\tau\left(x_{t}, x_{t}\right) \\
& \leq t \tau\left(x_{t}, y\right)+(1-t) \tau\left(x_{t}, p\right) \\
& \leq t \tau\left(x_{t}, y\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Dividing by $t$, we have

$$
\tau\left(x_{t}, y\right) \geq 0
$$

Letting $t \downarrow 0$, we have from $\left(A_{3}\right)$ that

$$
\tau(p, y) \geq 0, \forall y \in C
$$

This implies that $p \in \operatorname{GMEP}(f, A, \varphi)$ and hence $F\left(T_{r}\right)=\operatorname{GMEP}(f, A, \varphi)=\hat{F}\left(T_{r}\right)$. Thus, $T_{r}$ is a relatively nonexpansive mapping. $F\left(T_{r}\right)=\operatorname{GMEP}(f, A, \varphi)$ is closed and convex
e) $\phi\left(p, T_{r} x\right)+\phi\left(T_{r} x, x\right) \leq \phi(p, x)$. From (b) and (2), for each $x, y \in E$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi\left(T_{r} x, T_{r} y\right)+\phi\left(T_{r} y, T_{r} x\right) & \leq \phi\left(T_{r} x, y\right)+\phi\left(T_{r} y, x\right) \\
& -\phi\left(T_{r} x, x\right)-\phi\left(T_{r} y, y\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $y=p \in F\left(T_{r}\right)$, we obtain

$$
\phi\left(p, T_{r} x\right)+\phi\left(T_{r} x, x\right) \leq \phi(p, x)
$$

## 3. MAIN RESULS

We introduce an iterative scheme for finding a common element of the set of solution of the generalized mixed equilibrium problems and the set of common fixed points of infinite family of multivalued asymptotically quasi nonexpansive mapping in Banach space.

Theorem 1: Let $E$ be a uniformly smooth and uniformly convex Banach space, and Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $E$ and $\hat{C} B(C)$ be the class of nonempty, closed and bounded subsets of $C$. Let $f: C \times C \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be bi functions which satisfy the conditions $\left(A_{1}\right)-\left(A_{4}\right), A: C \longrightarrow E^{*}$ be continuous and
monotone. Let $\varphi: C \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup\{\infty\}$ be proper, convex and lower semi-continuous function. Let $\left\{T_{i}\right\}$ be a sequence of continuous multivalued asymptotically quasi nonexpansive mappings from $C$ into $\hat{C} B(C)$ with $F:=\cap_{i=1}^{\infty} F\left(T_{i}\right) \cap G M E P(f, A, \varphi) \neq \emptyset$, for which $T_{i} x^{*}=\left\{x^{*}\right\}, \forall x^{*} \in \cap_{i=1}^{\infty} F\left(T_{i}\right) \cap \operatorname{GMEP}(f, A, \varphi)$. Let $\left\{\alpha_{i, n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, i=$ $0,1,2,3, \ldots$ be sequences in $(0,1)$ such that $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{i, n}=1$ for all $n \geq 1$. Let $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{0, n} \alpha_{j, n}>0$, for all $j \in N$. Let $\left\{r_{n}\right\} \subset[a, \infty]$, for some $a>0$, where $T_{i}^{n}$ stand for the $n$ compositions of $T_{i}$. Assume that the condition $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(k_{n}-1\right)<\infty$ is satisfied .
Then the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ generated by (1) converges strongly to an element of $F$ if and only if $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(x_{n}, F\right)=0$.
Proof: For $r>0$, let functions $\tau: C \times C \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $T_{r}: E \longrightarrow C$ be defined by

$$
\tau(x, y)=\sum_{j=1}^{K} f_{j}(x, y)+\langle A x, y-x\rangle+\varphi(y)-\varphi(x) \geq 0, \forall x, y \in C
$$

and
$T_{r}(x)=\left\{u \in C: \tau(u, y)+\frac{1}{r}\langle y-u, J u-J x\rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C\right\}, \forall x \in E$
respectively. Now by Lemma 10, the function $\tau$ satisfies conditions $(A 1)-(A 4)$ and $T_{r}$ has the properties $(a)-(d)$. Therefore scheme(1) can be rewritten as

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{1} \in E \\
x_{n} \in C \text { such that } \tau\left(x_{n}, y\right)+\frac{1}{r_{n}}\left\langle y-x_{n}, J x_{n}-J u_{n}\right\rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C \\
u_{n+1}=\alpha_{0, n} x_{n}+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{i, n} w_{i, n}, w_{i, n} \in T_{i}^{n} x_{n}, n \geq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

We divide the proof into a number of steps:
Step 1: We prove that the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(x_{n}, T_{i}^{n} x_{n}\right)=0$, for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $x^{*} \in F$ and notice that $x_{n}=T_{r_{n}} u_{n}$, then $\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|=\left\|T_{r_{n}} u_{n}-x^{*}\right\| \leq\left\|u_{n}-x^{*}\right\|$, we obtain
the following estimations;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|x_{n+1}-x^{*}\right\|=\left\|T_{r_{n+1}} u_{n+1}-x^{*}\right\| \\
& \quad \leq\left\|u_{n+1}-x^{*}\right\| \\
& \quad=\left\|\alpha_{0, n}\left(x_{n}-x^{*}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{i, n}\left(w_{i, n}-x^{*}\right)\right\| \\
& \quad \leq \alpha_{0, n}\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{i, n}\left\|w_{i, n}-x^{*}\right\| \\
& =\alpha_{0, n}\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{i, n}\left(d\left(w_{i, n}, T_{i}^{n} x^{*}\right)\right) \\
& \leq \alpha_{0, n}\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{i, n}\left(H\left(T_{i}^{n} x_{n}, T_{i}^{n} x^{*}\right)\right) \\
& \leq \alpha_{0, n}\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{i, n}\left[k_{n}\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|\right] \\
& =\alpha_{0, n}\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|+k_{n} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{i, n}\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\| \\
& =\left(\alpha_{0, n}+k_{n} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{i, n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\| \\
& \leq\left(k_{n} \alpha_{0, n}+k_{n} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{i, n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\| \\
& =k_{n}\left(\alpha_{0, n}+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{i, n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\| \\
& =k_{n}\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\| \\
& =\left(1+\left(k_{n}-1\right)\right)\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{n+1}-x^{*}\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|+\left(k_{n}-1\right)\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\| . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, by using Lemma $7, \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(k_{n}-1\right)<\infty$, we conclude that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|$ exists. Then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded and so are $\left\{w_{i, n}\right\},\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{T_{i}^{n} x_{n}\right\}$.

Now by using Lemma 5 we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x_{n+1}-x^{*}\right\|^{2}= & \left\|T_{r_{n+1}} u_{n+1}-x^{*}\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \left\|u_{n+1}-x^{*}\right\|^{2} \\
= & \left\|\alpha_{0, n} x_{n}+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{i, n} w_{i, n}-x^{*}\right\|^{2} \\
= & \left\|\alpha_{0, n}\left(x_{n}-x^{*}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{i, n}\left(w_{i, n}-x^{*}\right)\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \alpha_{0, n}\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{i, n}\left\|w_{i, n}-x^{*}\right\|^{2} \\
- & \alpha_{0, n} \alpha_{i, n} g\left(\left\|x_{n}-w_{i, n}\right\|\right. \\
= & \alpha_{0, n}\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{i, n}\left(d\left(w_{i, n}, T_{i}^{n} x^{*}\right)\right)^{2} \\
- & \alpha_{0, n} \alpha_{i, n} g\left(\left\|x_{n}-w_{i, n}\right\|\right) \\
\leq & \alpha_{0, n}\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{i, n}\left(H\left(T_{i}^{n} x_{n}, T_{i}^{n} x^{*}\right)\right)^{2} \\
- & \alpha_{0, n} \alpha_{i, n} g\left(\left\|x_{n}-w_{i, n}\right\|\right) \\
\leq & \alpha_{0, n}\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{i, n}\left[k_{n}\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|\right]^{2} \\
& -\alpha_{0, n} \alpha_{i, n} g\left(\left\|x_{n}-w_{i, n}\right\|\right) \\
= & \alpha_{0, n}\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{2}+k_{n}^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{i, n}\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{2} \\
- & \alpha_{0, n} \alpha_{i, n} g\left(\left\|x_{n}-w_{i, n}\right\|\right) \\
= & \left(\alpha_{0, n}+k_{n}^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{i, n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{2} \\
- & \alpha_{0, n} \alpha_{i, n} g\left(\left\|x_{n}-w_{i, n}\right\|\right) \\
\leq & \left(k_{n}^{2} \alpha_{0, n}+k_{n}^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{i, n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{2} \\
- & \alpha_{0, n} \alpha_{i, n} g\left(\left\|x_{n}-w_{i, n}\right\|\right) \\
= & k_{n}^{2}\left(\alpha_{0, n}+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{i, n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{2} \\
- & \alpha_{0, n} \alpha_{i, n} g\left(\left\|x_{n}-w_{i, n}\right\|\right) \\
= & k_{n}^{2}\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{2}-\alpha_{0, n} \alpha_{i, n} g\left(\left\|x_{n}-w_{i, n}\right\|\right) \\
= & \left(1+\left(k_{n}^{2}-1\right)\right)\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{2}-\alpha_{0, n} \alpha_{i, n} g\left(\left\|x_{n}-w_{i, n}\right\|\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|x_{n+1}-x^{*}\right\|^{2} & \leq\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{2}+\left(k_{n}^{2}-1\right)\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{2}  \tag{4}\\
& -\alpha_{0, n} \alpha_{i, n} g\left(\left\|x_{n}-w_{i, n}\right\|\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Now from (4), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{0, n} \alpha_{i, n} g\left(\left\|x_{n}-w_{i, n}\right\|\right) & \leq\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{2}-\left\|x_{n+1}-x^{*}\right\|^{2} \\
& +\left(k_{n}^{2}-1\right)\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

By the assumption that $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{0, n} \alpha_{i, n}>0$, we have $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} g\left(\| x_{n}-\right.$ $\left.w_{i, n} \|\right)=0$, then by continuity of $g$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-w_{i, n}\right\|=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(x_{n}, T_{i}^{n} x_{n}\right) \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-w_{i, n}\right\|=0
$$

This implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(x_{n}, T_{i}^{n} x_{n}\right)=0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$.
Step 2: We show that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(x_{n}, T_{i} x_{n}\right)=0$.
Now, from step 1 by using (3) with $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(k_{n}-1\right)<\infty$ and for each $x^{*} \in F$, then the $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|$ exists. This implies that $d\left(x_{n+1}, F\right) \leq\left(1+\left(k_{n}-1\right)\right) d\left(x_{n}, F\right)$, then by lemma $7, \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(x_{n}, F\right)$ exists. Hence by the assumption $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(x_{n}, F\right)=0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(x_{n}, F\right)=0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, let $x^{*} \in F$ be arbitrary, then

$$
\begin{align*}
d\left(x_{n}, T_{i} x_{n}\right) & \leq d\left(x_{n}, T_{i}^{n} x_{n}\right)+d\left(T_{i}^{n} x_{n}, x^{*}\right)+d\left(x^{*}, T_{i} x_{n}\right) \\
& \leq d\left(x_{n}, T_{i}^{n} x_{n}\right)+H\left(T^{n} i x_{n}, T_{i}^{n} x^{*}\right)+H\left(\text { Tix }_{n}, T_{i} x^{*}\right) \\
& \leq d\left(x_{n}, T_{i}^{n} x_{n}\right)+k_{n}\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|+k_{1}\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\| \\
& =d\left(x_{n}, T_{i}^{n} x_{n}\right)+\left(k_{n}+k_{1}\right)\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\| . \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
d\left(x_{n}, T_{i} x_{n}\right) \leq d\left(x_{n}, T_{i}^{n} x_{n}\right)+\left(k_{n}+k_{1}\right)\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\| .
$$

Taking infimum over all $x^{*} \in F$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(x_{n}, T_{i} x_{n}\right) \leq d\left(x_{n}, T_{i}^{n} x_{n}\right)+\left(k_{n}+k_{1}\right) d\left(x_{n}, F\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying (6) and (7) in (9), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(x_{n}, T_{i} x_{n}\right)=0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 3: We show that the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to a point in $F$.
Since $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(x_{n}, F\right)=0$. For all $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $b^{*} \in F \stackrel{n \rightarrow \infty}{ }$ such that

$$
\left\|x_{n}-b^{*}\right\|<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

Thus for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$
\left\|x_{n+m}-x_{n}\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n+m}-b^{*}\right\|+\left\|b^{*}-x_{n}\right\|<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}
$$

This implies that

$$
\left\|x_{n+m}-x_{n}\right\|<\varepsilon, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

Thus the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is cauchy. Since the space is complete, $x_{n} \rightarrow \hat{x}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
Finally, we show that $\hat{x} \in F$. Clearly for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$

$$
d\left(\hat{x}, T_{i} \hat{x}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(x_{n}, T_{i} x_{n}\right)=0
$$

This implies that $\hat{x}=T_{i} \hat{x}$, thus

$$
\hat{x} \in \cap_{i=1}^{\infty} F\left(T_{i}\right) .
$$

Next, we show that $\hat{x} \in \operatorname{GMEP}(f, A, \varphi)$. Now firstly, we show that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-u_{n}\right\|=0$. Let $x^{*} \in F:=\cap_{i=1}^{\infty} F\left(T_{i}\right) \cap \operatorname{GMEP}(f, A, \varphi)$ and by using Lemma 8, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{2} & =\left\|T_{r_{n}} u_{n}-T_{r_{n}} x^{*}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left\langle T_{r_{n}} u_{n}-T_{r_{n}} x^{*}, j\left(u_{n}-x^{*}\right)\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle x_{n}-x^{*}, j\left(u_{n}-x^{*}\right)\right\rangle \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left[\left\|u_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{2}+\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{2}-g\left(\left\|u_{n}-x_{n}\right\|\right)\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|u_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{2}-g\left(\left\|u_{n}-x_{n}\right\|\right) . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (1) and (11), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|u_{n+1}-x^{*}\right\|^{2} & =\left\|\alpha_{0, n} x_{n}+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{i, n} w_{i, n}-x^{*}\right\|^{2} \\
& =\left\|\alpha_{0, n}\left(x_{n}-x^{*}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{i, n}\left(w_{i, n}-x^{*}\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq \alpha_{0, n}\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{i, n}\left\|w_{i, n}-x^{*}\right\|^{2} \\
& =\alpha_{0, n}\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{i, n}\left(d\left(w_{i, n}, T_{i}^{n} x^{*}\right)\right)^{2} \\
& \leq \alpha_{0, n}\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{i, n}\left(H\left(T_{i}^{n} x_{n}, T_{i}^{n} x^{*}\right)\right)^{2} \\
& \leq \alpha_{0, n}\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{i, n}\left[k_{n}\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|\right]^{2} \\
& =\alpha_{0, n}\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{2}+k_{n}^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{i, n}\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{2} \\
& =\left(\alpha_{0, n}+k_{n}^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{i, n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left(k_{n}^{2} \alpha_{0, n}+k_{n}^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{i, n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{2} \\
& =k_{n}^{2}\left(\alpha_{0, n}+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{i, n}\right)\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{2} \\
& =k_{n}^{2}\left\|x_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{2} \\
& =k_{n}^{2}\left[\left\|u_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{2}-g\left(\left\|u_{n}-x_{n}\right\|\right)\right] \\
& k_{n}^{2}\left\|u_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{2}-k_{n}^{2} g\left(\left\|u_{n}-x_{n}\right\|\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{n}^{2} g\left(\left\|u_{n}-x_{n}\right\|\right) \leq k_{n}^{2}\left\|u_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{2}-\left\|u_{n+1}-x^{*}\right\|^{2} \\
& \quad=\left(1+\left(k_{n}^{2}-1\right)\right)\left\|u_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{2}-\left\|u_{n+1}-x^{*}\right\|^{2} \\
& \quad=\left(k_{n}^{2}-1\right)\left\|u_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{2}+\left\|u_{n}-x^{*}\right\|^{2}-\left\|u_{n+1}-x^{*}\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, since $k_{n} \longrightarrow 1$ as $n \longrightarrow \infty$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|u_{n}-x^{*}\right\|$ exists, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} k_{n}^{2} g\left(\left\|u_{n}-x_{n}\right\|\right)=0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from the property of $g$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-u_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using (13) and since $J$ is uniformly norm-to-norm continuous on bounded sets, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|J x_{n}-J u_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the assumption $r_{n} \in[a, \infty)$ and $a>0$, we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|J x_{n}-J u_{n}\right\|}{r_{n}}=0 .
$$

Since $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded and so is $\left\{J x_{n}\right\}$, there exists a subsequence $\left\{J x_{n_{k}}\right\}$ of $\left\{J x_{n}\right\}$ such that $J x_{n_{k}} \rightharpoonup \hat{x}$, since $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded, by (14), we also obtain $J u_{n_{k}} \rightharpoonup \hat{x}$. Putting $x_{n}=T_{r_{n}} u_{n}$, we have

$$
\tau\left(x_{n}, y\right)+\frac{1}{r_{n}}\left\langle y-x_{n}, J x_{n}-J u_{n}\right\rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C
$$

Replacing $n$ by $n_{k}$, and using $\left(A_{2}\right)$ we have

$$
\frac{1}{r_{n}}\left\langle y-x_{n_{k}}, J x_{n_{k}}-J u_{n_{k}}\right\rangle \geq-\tau\left(x_{n_{k}}, y\right) \geq \tau\left(y, x_{n_{k}}\right), \forall y \in C
$$

Letting $k \longrightarrow \infty$, we have from $J x_{n_{k}} \rightharpoonup \hat{x}$ and $\left(A_{4}\right)$ that

$$
\tau(y, \hat{x}) \leq 0, \forall y \in C
$$

For $t$ with $0<t \leq 1$ and $y \in C$, let $y_{t}=t y+(1-t) \hat{x}$. Since $y \in C$ and $\hat{x} \in C$, we have $y_{t} \in C$ and $\tau\left(y_{t}, \hat{x}\right) \leq 0, \forall y \in C$, so from $\left(A_{1}\right)$ and $\left(A_{3}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\tau\left(y_{t}, y_{t}\right) \\
& \leq t \tau\left(y_{t}, y\right)+(1-t) \tau\left(y_{t}, \hat{x}\right) \\
& \leq t \tau\left(y_{t}, y\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Dividing by $t$, we have

$$
\tau\left(y_{t}, y\right) \geq 0, \forall y \in C
$$

Letting $t \longrightarrow 0$, and using $\left(A_{3}\right)$, we have

$$
\tau(\hat{x}, y) \geq 0, \forall y \in C
$$

Therefore $\hat{x} \in G M E P(f, A, \varphi)$. Hence, we have $\hat{x} \in F$.
Theorem 2: Let $E$ be a uniformly convex real Banach space and $C$
a nonempty, closed and convex subset of $E$. Let $\left\{T_{i}\right\}$ be a sequence of continuous multivalued asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings from $C$ into $\hat{C} B(C)$ with $F:=\cap_{i=1}^{\infty} F\left(T_{i}\right) \cap \operatorname{GMEP}(f, A, \varphi) \neq$ $\emptyset$, for which $T_{i} x^{*}=\left\{x^{*}\right\}, \forall x^{*} \in \cap_{i=1}^{\infty} F\left(T_{i}\right) \cap G M E P(f, A, \varphi)$. Let $\left\{T_{i}\right\}$ be hemi compact for at least one $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $T_{i}$ is continuous for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\left\{\alpha_{i, n}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}, i=1,2,3, \ldots$ be a sequence in $(0,1)$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{i, n}=1$ for all $n \geq 1$. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence defined by (1) with $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{i, 0} \alpha_{j, n}>0$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to a point in $F$.
Proof: From Theorem 1, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(x_{n}, T_{i} x_{n}\right)=0, \forall i \in \mathbb{N}$. Now, let $T_{i_{o}}$ be hemi compact for some $i_{o} \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(x_{n}, T_{i_{o}} x_{n}\right)=0$ and there exists a subsequence $\left\{x_{n_{k}}\right\}$ of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ such that $x_{n_{k}} \longrightarrow q$ as $k \longrightarrow \infty$ for some $q \in C$. By continuity of $T_{i}$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we get $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} d\left(x_{n_{k}}, T_{i} x_{n_{k}}\right)=d\left(q, T_{i} q\right)$. This implies that $d\left(q, T_{i} q\right)=0$ and $q \in F$. Since $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-q\right\|$ exists, it follows that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $q$. This complete the proof.

Theorem 3: Let $E, C,\left\{T_{i}\right\},\left\{\alpha_{i, n}\right\}$ and $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be as in Theorem 1, where $C$ is a compact convex subset of $E$. Then $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to a point in $F$.
Proof: By Theorem 1, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(x_{n}, T_{i} x_{n}\right)=0, \forall i \in \mathbb{N}$. From the compactness of C , there exists a subsequence $\left\{x_{n_{k}}\right\}_{n=k}^{\infty}$ of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ such that
$\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n_{k}}-q\right\|=0$ for some $q \in C$. Thus for $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(q, T_{i} q\right) & \leq d\left(q, x_{n_{k}}\right)+d\left(x_{n_{k}}, T_{i} x_{n_{k}}\right)+H\left(T_{i} x_{n_{k}}, T_{i} q\right) \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n_{k}}-q\right\|+d\left(x_{n_{k}}, T_{i} x_{n_{k}}\right)+k_{1}\left\|x_{n_{k}}-q\right\| \\
& =\left(1+k_{1}\right)\left\|x_{n_{k}}-q\right\|+d\left(x_{n_{k}}, T_{i} x_{n_{k}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then,

$$
d\left(q, T_{i} q\right)=0, q \in F\left(T_{i}\right), \forall i \in \mathbb{N}
$$

Hence, since $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n_{k}}-q\right\|=0$ and using the conclusion of Theorem 1 , we have $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}-q\right\|=0$. i.e $x_{n} \longrightarrow q, q \in F$. This complete the proof.
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